Physiological and Ethological Disruptions Induced by a Mixture of Saccharose/Sucralose 99.5/0.5 - A Study on Ants as Models

Marie-Claire Cammaerts^{1*}, Roger Cammaerts² and Zoheir Rachidi³

¹Université Libre de Bruxelles, Faculte des Sciences, DBO, CP 160/12, 50 Av F.D. Roosevelt, 1050 Bruxelles, Belgium; mtricot@ulb.ac.be

²Bruxelles, Belgium; rogercammaerts@gmail.com

³Université Libre de Bruxelles, Campus Erasme, CP 609/01, 808 route de Lennik, 1070 Bruxelles, Belgium; zrachidi@ulb.ac.be

Abstract

Experiments made on ants as biological models revealed that a saccharose/sucralose 95.5/.05 mixture increased sugar water consumption, decreased general activity, precision of reaction, audacity, brood caring, cognition and ability in acquiring visual conditioning (short term memory), induced aggressiveness against nestmates, and slightly reduced tactile perception. It may not change the speed of locomotion and did not affect middle and long term memory. The adverse effects may be related to the strong sugared taste of the mixture (in presence of sugar and the saccharose/sucralose mixture, the ants slightly preferred the mixture) though it contains little sugar, and to the toxic chloropropanols sucralose may produce. When ants consumed again sugar instead of a saccharose/sucralose mixture, their aggressiveness rapidly decreased during eight hours and entirely vanished in 29 hours.

Keywords: Aggressiveness, Cognition, Conditioning, Food Consumption

1. Introduction

Sweeteners are largely consumed all over the world, the two more used being aspartame (in North America and Europe) and 'stevia' (in South America and Asia). According to a previous study made on ants as biological models, the strong sweet taste of aspartame, although being not a sugar, leads to ethological disturbances such as enhancing the search for food, increasing food consumption and decreasing several cognitive abilities¹. It hydrolyzes into phenylalanine, methanol and finally formaldehyde in several tissues². On the contrary, stevia is a true glycoside extracted from the plant *Stevia rebaudiana*. It has few adverse effects but not a nice sweetened taste; it slightly reduces food consumption, and finally gives rise to steviol which is not without harmful effects³. An adequate mixture of these two sweeteners cancels the adverse effects of each⁴, but is presently not on the market.

In the same way we have studied the effects of aspartame, stevia and a mixture of these two products^{1,3,4}, we here examine the effects of a commercially available mixture of saccharose/sucralose 99.5/0.5. We did not study the effects of sucralose alone, a non-sugar sweetener, because we know that consuming no sugar though having the perception of the sugar taste, thus of its presence, leads to strong ethological and physiological disruptions¹. We only wondered if a saccharose/sucralose 99.5/0.5 mixture could have no or nearly no adverse effect since, in addition to a pronounced sugar taste (the sweetness potency of sucralose is *ca* 600 times that of saccharose⁵), it contains sugar.

^{*} Author for correspondence

Here below, we summarize what is known about sucralose and a commercial mixture of saccharose/ sucralose, why ants could be used as models, and what we intended to study.

Sucralose structure is similar to that of saccharose, from which it is synthetized by selective replacement of three hydroxyl groups by chlorine (Figure 1). This synthesis and the pronounced sugared taste of sucralose have been discovered in 19766. Sucralose was first approved as food additive by Canada in 1991 and is nowadays authorized in more than 100 countries^{5,7}. It is not assimilated by the organism, being thus caloriefree; it is relatively non-reactive⁵, thus deemed having no adverse effects. It can be consumed by children, pregnant women, and diabetics⁷. A saccharose/sucralose 99.5/0.5 mixture is commercialized under registered trademark. Sucralose has a stronger sweet taste than pure sugar. The mixture can thus contain far less sugar, and thus fewer calories, than pure sugar for obtaining an equivalent taste. No wonder that people do not hesitate to consume this mixture instead of pure sugar.

Most biological processes are similar for all animals. A lot of invertebrates and vertebrates can thus be used as models for studying biological subjects⁸. Invertebrates are more and more used due to their short life cycle, simple anatomy, and availability. Among the invertebrates, insects are good models9. Ants could advantageously be used. Their colonies contain thousands of individuals and can be maintained in laboratories at low cost throughout the entire year. Ants are among the most complex and social invertebrate animals as for their morphology, physiology, social organization and behavior¹⁰. They have a unique resting position of their labium, mandibles and maxilla, as well as many glands emitting numerous efficient pheromones. Their societies are highly organized with a strong division of labor, an age-based polyethism and a social regulation. Their behavior is well developed: They care for their brood, build sophisticated nests, chemically mark the inside of their nest, and, differently, their nest entrances, nest surroundings and foraging area. They generally use an alarm signal, a trail pheromone, and a recruitment signal; they navigate using memorized visual and olfactory cues; they efficiently recruit nestmates where, when and as long as it is necessary, and finally, they clean their nest and provide their area with cemeteries^{9,10}. According to the complexity of their society and behavior, it looks reasonable to use them as biological models for studying the effects of substances or treatments.

During many years, we worked on Myrmica ant species, and among others, on Myrmica ruginodis Nylander 1846. We know about their ecological traits, eye morphology¹¹, subtended angle of vision¹², visual perception¹³, navigation system¹⁴, visual and olfactory conditioning capabilities¹⁵, and recruitment strategy¹⁶. The ontogenesis of cognitive abilities of Myrmica species has also been approached¹⁷. Studies on the impact of age, activity and diet on their conditioning capability¹⁸ led to presume that ants could be good biological models. This was confirmed on Myrmica species by the study of the effects of caffeine, theophylline, cocaine and atropine¹⁹, of nicotine²⁰, of morphine and quinine²¹, of fluoxetine (an 'ISRS' antidepressant)²², of anafranil (an 'ACT' antidepressant) and efexor (an 'IRSNa' antidepressant)²³, of carbamazepine²⁴, and of buprenorphine and methadone²⁵. Each time, effects observed on humans were confirmed and precised, and new information was produced. Here, we used *M. ruginodis* as a model for examining the effects of a sugar/sucralose 99.5/0.5 aqueous solution.

We first assessed 12 traits on ants consuming pure sugar, then, after one month, the same 12 traits on the same ants consuming sugar/sucralose, and finally three more traits on the same ants going on consuming the mixture. The 15 examined traits were:

- Sugar water and meat consumption, general activity.
- Locomotion (linear and angular speed), precision of reaction, trail following behavior, audacity.
- Brood caring behavior, cognition, aggressiveness against nestmates, tactile (pain) perception.
- Acquisition of visual conditioning and visual memory.
- Preference between sugar water and an aqueous solution of sugar/sucralose.

After these studies, we gave again sugar instead of sugar/sucralose to the ants and analyzed the decrease of the effect of the mixture on aggressiveness.



Figure 1. Chemical structure of saccharose and sucralose (same structure, but with three Cl). The product presently tested was a mixture of saccharose (99.5%) and sucralose (0.5%).

2. Material and Methods

2.1 Collection and Maintance of Ants

The experiments were performed on four colonies of M. ruginodis collected in an old quarry of the Aise Valley (Ardenne, Belgium), on the borders of a forest, the ants nesting under stones or in wood. The colonies contained a queen, brood and about 250 workers. They were maintained in artificial nests made of three glass tubes half-filled with water, a cotton-plug separating the ants from the water. The glass tubes were set in a tray (34 cm x 23 cm x 4 cm), which internal sides were slightly covered with talc to prevent ants from escaping. The trays served as foraging areas, food being delivered in them. The ants were fed with 30% sugar water provided ad libitum in small glass tubes plugged with cotton, and with pieces of Tenebrio molitor larvae (Linnaeus, 1758) provided three times a week on a glass slide. After having made all the control assessments, the sugar water was replaced by an aqueous solution of sugar/sucralose 99.5/.05 w/w. Laboratory temperature was 18°C-22°C and the relative humidity circa 80%. Lighting had a constant intensity of 330 lux while caring for the ants, training and testing them; during other time periods, lighting was dimmed to 110 lux. The ambient electromagnetic field had an intensity of 2-3 μ W/m². All the members of a colony are here named nestmates, as commonly done by researchers on social hymenoptera.

2.2 Aqueous Solution of Sugar/Sucralose

used 99.5/0.5 sugar/sucralose The mixture is commercialized as lumps under the label 'Ti-light" by the manufacturer Raffinerie Tirlemontoise S.A. (Belgium, Südzucker Group). According to the manufacturer, one lump contains exactly 99.45% (=1.32 g) of sugar (saccharose and very few monosaccharides) and 0.55% (=0.07 g) of sucralose, furnishes 6 calories, and has the same sugared taste as (and even a nicer taste than) one lump of pure sugar (=6.25 g of sugar; 25 calories). Humans usually use one lump of the mixture into 150-200 ml of drink (coffee, tea, fruit juice...). In nature, ants consume nearly saturated sugared solutions. In the laboratory, we fed them with a solution of 10 lumps of pure sugar into 150 ml of water. Humans drink about

one litre per day, but insects proportionally drink about 10 times less. Consequently, for giving to ants an amount of sugar/sucralose similar to that consumed by humans, and for providing them with the sweetness to which they are accustomed, a solution of 10 lumps of the sugar/ sucralose 99.5/.05 mixture into 150 ml water must be used. The tubes used to provide sugar water to the ants had a capacity of 5 ml. We worked on four colonies (5 ml x 4 = 20 ml) and aimed to renew the solution each week; we thus made a stock solution of 4 lumps of the mixture into 60 ml water. Five ml of that solution were poured into tubes then plugged with cotton and set on the ants' foraging area. The cotton was refreshed each two days and the entire solution renewed every five or seven days. Sucralose is stable in water. A new stock solution was made when necessary. It was checked each day if ants actually consumed the aqueous solution of sugar/ sucralose.

All the assessments detailed below were made firstly, during one month, while ants did not consume that mixture, and after that, while they continuously consumed it for about 6 weeks. The long time period between each kind of experiment made during the two different diets allowed using non-parametric χ^2 and Mann-Whitney tests for analyzing some results²⁶.

2.3 Sugar Water and Meat Consumption, General Activity

For assessing the ants' sugared water and meat food consumption, as well as their general activity, we counted during five days, four times per day, the ants of the four colonies present on the sugared food, on the *T. molitor* larvae, as well as those moving at any place in their environment (food sites, foraging area, nest entrances and inside nest). For each four colonies and each kind of counts, we added the four numbers obtained (Table 1, Daily counts). The mean of these daily counts was established for each kind of counts (Table 1, Daily mean). The five mean values obtained for ants under sugar/ sucralose diet were compared to those obtained when ants were under sugar diet using the non-parametric test of Wilcoxon²⁶. We also established the average of these mean values (Table 1, Total mean).

Days and		Under sugar diet		Under sugar/sucralose diet				
colonies	sugar water	meat	activity	sug/suc	meat	activity		
1 A	1000 1	1111 4	Daily count	1211 5	1010 2	3432 12		
-	1,0,0,0	1,1,1,1	5,5,5,5 12	1,2,1,1 5	1,0,1,0 2	5,1,5,2 12		
В	1,1,0,0 2	0,0,0,0 0	1,2,3,2 8	1,1,0,1 3	1,1,1,1 4	4,2,1,2 9		
С	1,1,0,0 2	0,0,0,0 0	4,3,3,4 14	2,2,2,2 8	0,0,0,0 0	4,3,3,3 13		
D	1,0,0,0 1	2,1,3,3 9	4,3,5,5 17	1,3,2,1 7	1,0,0,0 1	4,3,4,2 13		
2 A	0,0,0,1 1	1,1,0,1 3	7,5,4,6 22	2,1,2,1 6	0,0,0,1 1	3,2,2,3 10		
В	1,1,0,0 2	0,0,0,0 0	3,2,2,1 8	1,1,1,1 4	1,1,1,1 4	2,0,2,3 7		
С	1,0,1,1 3	0,0,0,1 1	5,5,6,5 21	1,1,1,0 3	0,1,0,2 3	2,4,2,3 11		
D	0,0,0,0 0	1,1,1,1 4	2,2,3,4 11	0,0,0,1 1	1,2,3,1 7	2,2,3,3 10		
3 A	2,1,0,0 3	0,1,1,0 2	6,5,3,4 18	1,1,2,1 5	0,0,0,1 1	3,4,3,3 13		
В	1,1,1,1 4	0,0,0,0 0	2,3,2,3 10	2,1,3,1 7	1,1,1,1 4	4,4,4,3 15		
С	1,0,0,0 1	0,0,0,0 0	5,2,3,2 12	1,1,1,1 4	1,0,0,1 2	2,2,0,3 7		
D	3,3,3,3 12	0,1,0,2 3	6,6,6,6 24	1,1,2,1 5	1,1,0,1 3	4,3,4,4 15		
4 A	0,0,0,0 0	0,1,0,0 1	3,2,2,3 10	1,1,0,1 3	0,0,0,0 0	2,2,1,3 8		
В	0,0,1,1 2	0,0,1,1 2	2,3,3,3 11	2,1,1,1 5	0,0,0,0 0	2,2,2,2 8		
С	1,0,1,0 2	1,1,1,1 4	8,7,8,7 30	2,1,1,0 4	1,0,0,0 1	4,5,3,2 14		
D	0,0,0,0 0	1,0,0,0 1	4,1,2,2 9	1,1,1,1 4	1,1,1,3 6	4,3,4,4 15		
5 A	2,2,3,2 9	1,0,1,2 4	6,4,6,5 21	2,2,3,1 8	0,0,1,0 1	4,4,4,3 15		
В	1,1,1,1 4	0,0,0,1 1	2,2,1,2 7	0,0,2,2 4	0,0,0,0 0	2,0,2,3 7		
С	0,0,0,0 0	0,0,0,0 0	2,2,2,1 7	2,2,1,1 6	0,0,0,1 1	3,3,2,2 10		
D	2,2,2,2 8	1,1,1,0 3	5,5,5,8 23	0,2,1,1 4	2,0,1,1 4	5,3,3,3 14		
1	1 50	2.25	Daily	mean	1 75	11.75		
1	1.50	5.25	12.75	5.75	1.75	11./5		
2	1.50	1.50 2.00		3.50	3.75	9.50		
3	5.00	1.25	16.00	5.25	2.50	12.50		
4	1.00	2.00	15.00	4.00	1.75	11.25		
5	5.25	2.00	14.50	5.50	1.50	11.50		
	2.05	2.10	Total	mean	2.25	11.20		
	2.00	2.10	14./3	4.00	2.23	11.30		

Table 1. Effect of a sugar or a sugar/sucralose 99.5/0.5 diet on the ants' consumption of the sugared (sugar or sug/suc) solution and of the meat food, and on their general activity. Experimental details and statistical analysis are given in the text. Briefly, the mixture increased sugared solution consumption, and reduced general activity



Figure 2. Some views of the experiments. (A) Ants in a trophallaxis process after having drunk a large amount of sugar/sucralose solution. (B) An ant under sugar/sucralose diet departing from a circular trail. (C) An ant under sugar/sucralose diet taking a larva in its mandibles; two other larvae were not cared. (D), (E) Ants under sugar/sucralose diet presenting aggressive behavior, i.e., (D) opening their mandibles. (E) biting. (F) An ant under sugar/sucralose diet choosing the correct way with the yellow cube after having been trained to such a cue. The arrows indicate the two possible ways. (Ga), (Gb) Confronted to sugar water and a solution of sugar/sucralose (red dot), the ants only slightly preferred the latter solution.

2.4 Linear and Angular Speed; Orientation towards an Alarm Signal

The assessments were made on ants freely moving on their foraging area. For each assessment, the movement of ten ants of each colony ($n = 4 \times 10 = 40$ ants) was analyzed. Linear and angular speed was assessed without presenting stimulus to the ants. Orientation towards an alarm signal was assessed by presenting an isolated worker's head to the ants. Such a head emits alarm pheromone just like an alarmed worker.

Trajectories were manually recorded, a metronome set at 1 second allowing assessing the total time of each trajectory, until the ant reached the stimulus or walked along about 6 cm. The trajectories were then copied on transparent polyvinyl sheets remaining affixed to a PC monitor screen due to their own static electricity charge. They were analyzed using specifically designed software²⁷, each trajectory being entered in the software by clicking as many points as wanted with the mouse and by entering then the location of the presented worker's head. After that, the total time of the trajectory was entered, and the software was asked to calculate the ant's linear speed, angular speed and orientation. The linear speed (V, measured in mm/s) is the length of a trajectory divided by the time spent moving along it. The angular speed (S, measured in angular degrees/cm) is the sum of the angles, measured at each successive point of the trajectory, made by each segment 'point i to point i – 1' and the following

segment 'point i to point i + 1', divided by the length of the trajectory. The orientation (O, measured in angular degrees) towards a given point is the sum of the angles, measured at each successive point of the recorded trajectory, made by each segment 'point i of the trajectory - given point' and each segment 'point i - point i + 1', divided by the number of measured angles. When O is lower than 90°, the animal has a tendency to orient itself towards the point; when it is larger than 90°, the animal has a tendency to avoid the point. Each distribution was characterized by its median and quartiles (Table 2) and the distributions obtained for ants consuming sugar/ sucralose were compared to those obtained for ants under sugar diet, using the non-parametric χ^2 test.

2.5 Trail following Behavior

The ants' response to their trail pheromone was assessed by quantifying the reaction of 40 ants on an artificial circular trail. The trail pheromone of Myrmica ants is produced by the workers' poison gland. Ten poison glands were isolated in 500 µl hexane and stored at -25°C. To perform one experiment, 50 µl of the solution was deposited, using a metallic normograph pen, on a circle (R = 5 cm)pencil drawn on a piece of white paper and divided into 10 angular degrees arcs (Figure 2(b)). One minute later, the piece of paper was set in the ants' foraging area. When an ant came into contact with the trail, its response was assessed by the number of 10 angular degree arcs it walked without departing from the trail, even if it reversed its walking. If an ant turned back when coming in front of the trail, its response was assessed as "zero arc walked"; when it crossed the trail without following it, its response equaled "one walked arc". Before testing the ants on a trail, they were observed on a "blank" circumference imbibed with 50 μ l of pure hexane (Table 2). Each distribution of values was characterized by its median and quartiles. The distributions obtained for ants consuming sugar/ sucralose was compared to those obtained for ants under sugar diet using the non-parametric χ^2 test.

2.6 Audacity

This trait was assessed on the four colonies. A cylindrical tower built in strong white paper (Steinbach $^{\circ}$, height = 4 cm; diameter = 1.5 cm) was set on the ants' foraging area, and the ants present on it, at any place, were counted 12 times within 12 min. The mean and extremes of the

obtained values were established (Table 2). The values obtained under the two kinds of diet were compared using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test.

2.7 Brood Caring Behavior

This trait was assessed on colonies A and C which contained numerous larvae. A few larvae were removed from the inside of the nest and deposited in front of the nest entrance. For each colony, five of them were observed, as well as the ants' behavior in front of them (Figure 2(c)). The larvae still remaining out of the nest were counted after 5 s, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 min, and the numbers recorded for each colony added (Table 2). The results obtained for ants under sugar/sucralose diet were compared to those obtained for ants under sugar diet using the non-parametric Wilcoxon test.

2.8 Cognition

This trait was assessed on ants of colonies A and C using two apparatus, previously described²⁰, one for each colony. Each apparatus consisted in a small tray (15 cm x 7 cm x 4.5 cm) inside of which pieces of white extra strong paper (Steinbach *, 12 cm x 4.5 cm) were inserted in order to create a way with twists and turns between a loggia too narrow for 15 ants (the initial loggia) and a large one. For each colony, 15 ants were collected and set in the initial loggia. Then, the ants located in the initial loggia and in the large one were counted after 5 s, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 minutes. The numbers obtained for the two colonies were added (Table 2), and the results obtained for ants under sugar/sucralose diet were compared to those obtained for these ants under sugar diet using the non-parametric Wilcoxon test.

2.9 Aggressiveness between Nestmates

Ants' potential aggressiveness against nestmates was assessed, on ants of colonies A and C, in the course of dyadic encounters of five pairs of ants of each colony. The encountering was conducted in a small cylindrical cup (diameter = 2 cm, height = 1.6 cm), the borders of which were slightly covered with talc. Each time (in total, $5 \ge 2 = 10$ encounters), one ant of the pair was observed for 5 minutes and its encounter with the other ant was characterized by the numbers of times it did nothing (level 0 of aggressiveness), touched the other ant with its

antennae (level 1), opened its mandibles (level 2, Figure 2(d)), gripped and/or pulled the other ant (level 3), tried to sting or stung the other ant (level 4). The numbers recorded for the two colonies were added (Table 2), and the results obtained for ants consuming the mixture were compared to those obtained when ants consumed sugar, using the non-parametric χ^2 test. We set up a variable 'a', equaled to the number of levels 2 + 3 + 4 divided by the number of levels 0 + 1, for easily examining the decrease of the 'aggressiveness' effect of the mixture after this mixture was replaced by sugar (Figure 3).

2.10 Tactile (Pain) Perception

This trait was assessed on ants of colonies A and C using two apparatus (one for each colony) made of a tray (15 cm x 7 cm x 4.5 cm) into which a piece (3 cm x 11 cm) of rough emery n° 280 paper, folded (11 cm: 2 cm + 7 cm + 2 cm), was tied to the bottom and the borders, dividing the tray in three zones: a initial smooth one 3 cm long (too small for 12 ants at a time), a 3 cm long one on which ants' walking should be uncomfortable, and a large smooth one 9 cm long. For each colony, 12 ants were set all together in the initial zone, and the linear and angular speed of 12 ants (n = 2 x 12 = 24) moving on the rough substrate were assessed (Table 2, tactile (pain) perception). The values obtained for ants consuming the mixture were compared to those of ants consuming sugar using the non-parametric χ^2 test.

2.11 Acquisition of Visual Operant Conditioning, and Visual Memory

This was examined on the four colonies. At a given time, a yellow hollow cube under which ants could enter was set above pieces of *T. molitor* larvae as food, the ants undergoing so visual operant conditioning. These cubes were made of strong paper according to previously instructions¹⁵, and the wavelengths reflection of the yellow paper has previously been determined. Tests were performed while ants were expected to acquire conditioning, and after removal of the yellow cube, while they were expected to partly lose their conditioning. Ants were individually tested in a Y-apparatus constructed of strong white paper, and set in a tray (30 cm x 15 cm x 4 cm) as previously explained¹⁵. Each colony had its own Y-apparatus the sides of which were slightly covered with talc, and the floor changed between tests. The Y-apparatus was provided with a yellow hollow cube in one of its branches. Half of the tests were conducted with the cube in the left branch, the other half with the cube in the right branch. Choosing the way with the yellow cube was considered as giving the correct choice. Control and training experiments had previously been made on ants consuming sugar¹⁵ because, once an animal is conditioned to a stimulus, it becomes no longer naïve for such an experiment. To conduct a test on a colony, 10 ants were transferred one by one onto the area at the entrance of the Y-apparatus. Each ant was observed until it turned to the left or to the right, and its first choice was recorded when it was beyond a pencil drawn line indicating the entrance of a branch (Figure 2(e)). After that, the ant was transferred into a polyacetate cup, until 10 ants were tested, this avoiding testing twice the same ant. All the tested ants were then returned in their foraging area. For each test, the numbers of ants $(n = 10 \times 4 = 40)$ which chose the correct way with the yellow cube, or went to the wrong empty branch of the Y were recorded, and the percentage of correct responses established (Table 3). Numerical results for ants consuming sugar/sucralose were compared to those for ants consuming sugar using the non-parametric Wilcoxon test.

2.12 Preference between Sugar Water and a Sugar/Sucralose Solution

After the ants of colonies A and C had consumed sugar/ sucralose for 19 days, an experiment was performed for examining if they preferred that mixture to pure sugar. For each colony, 15 ants were transferred into a tray (15 $cm \times 7 cm \times 5 cm$), the borders of which had been covered with talc, and in which two tubes (h = 2.5 cm, diam. = 0.5 cm) were laid, one containing sugar water, the other the same sugar/sucralose solution as that used during the experimental work, each tube being plugged with cotton. In one tray, the tube containing the mixture was located on the left; in the other, it was located on the right (Figure 2(f)). The ants drinking each liquid food were counted 12 times in 15 min, the mean values being then established for each kind of food. They were statistically compared to those expected if ants randomly went drinking each kind of food, using the non-parametric goodness of fit χ^2 test²⁶.

2.13 Loss of the Aggressiveness Effect of Sugar/Sucralose after its Consumption Ended

On day 22, after 21 days of continuous sugar/sucralose consumption, at 9:30, the tubes containing the mixture were removed from the ants' tray and replaced by tubes containing sugar water. After that, the ants' aggressiveness against nestmates was assessed in the course of time, in the way it had previously been assessed. The results (Table 4, Figure 3) were statistically analyzed using the non-parametric χ^2 test.

3. Results

3.1 Food Consumption and General Activity

Ants were more numerous on a sugar/sucralose solution than previously on a sugar solution, this being significant (Table 1, N = 5, T = 15, P = 0.031). They were as numerous on meat when provided with a sugar/sucralose solution as when receiving a sugar solution (Table 1, N = 5, T = -7, +8, NS). Ants under sugar/sucralose diet were significantly less active than those consuming sugar water (Table 1, N = 5, T = 15, P = 0.031).

3.2 Speed of Locomotion

Under sugar/sucralose diet, the ants walked at a slightly lower speed than when they consumed sugar water, but the difference was not significant ($\chi^2 = 4.30$, df = 2, NS). The ants moved with an identical sinuosity being under either diet (Table 2).

3.3 Orientation towards an Alarm Signal

This trait was affected by sugar/sucralose instead of sugar consumption (Table 2). While ants under sugar diet oriented themselves well towards a source of alarm pheromone, when consuming sugar/sucralose instead of sugar, they had difficulties in doing so, and their orientation was statistically less precise ($\chi^2 = 19.35$, df = 2, P < 0.01). This result was checked and confirmed by a supplementary experiment (Table 2, Orientation, 2nd).

3.4 Trail following Behavior

This trait was affected by sugar/sucralose instead of sugar consumption (Table 2). Under sugar diet, ants followed a circular trail along about 9.0 arcs of 10°. Under sugar/ sucralose diet, they followed only 3.0 arcs of 10°. This

difference of ants' capability in following a trail was significant: $\chi^2 = 29.01$, df = 2, P < 0.01.

3.5 Audacity

This trait was affected by sugar/sucralose instead of sugar consumption (Table 2). Meanly 1.7 times more ants under sugar diet were counted on the apparatus and a few ones climbed to the top of the tower. Ants under sugar/ sucralose diet were less inclined in doing so and no ant climbed to the top of the tower. Such a difference between the two diets was significant: U = 485, Z adjusted = 3.4031, P = 0.00066.

3.6 Brood Caring Behavior

This trait was affected by sugar/sucralose instead of sugar consumption (Table 2). Ants under sugar diet quickly found the larvae removed from the nest, took them between their mandibles, transported them to an entrance and re-entered them. After ten minutes, only one among the ten observed was not re-entered. Ants under sugar/sucralose diet found the larvae with difficulty. They delayed in holding them between their mandibles (Figure 2(c)) and walked erratically before reaching an entrance and re-entering the larvae. At the end of the ten minutes, four larvae, among the ten, were not re-entered. The difference between the two diets was at the limit of significance: N = 4, T = 10, P = 0.0625.

3.7 Cognition

This trait was affected by sugar/sucralose consumption (Table 2). Under sugar diet, ants soon moved into the twists and turns and, after the ten experimental minutes, only five ants were still in the initial loggia while six ones had reached the large loggia beyond the twists and turns. Ants under sugar/sucralose diet were less able to do so. A few ants went into the twists and turns but often turned back on their way so that after the ten experimental minutes, 18 ants were still in the initial loggia while only one had reached the large loggia. The difference in ants' behavior between the two diets was significant: initial loggia: N = 6, T = 21, P = 0.016; large one: N = 3, NS, due to the smallness of the sample.

3.8 Aggressiveness against Nestmates

Sugar/sucralose instead of sugar diet affected this trait (Table 2). Under normal diet, ants presented no aggressive

Traits		Sugar	Diet	Sugar/sucra	lose Diet
Linear speed (mm/s)		13.9 (12.4	- 15.7)	12.7 (11.6	- 13.9)
Angular speed (angular degrees/cr	n)	117 (92	- 133)	118 (102	- 132)
Orientation (angular degrees)		42.9 (28.8	8 – 54.1)	1 ^{rst} : 77.8 (52 2 nd : 78.5 (62	2.8 – 89.4) 2.1 – 93.5)
Trail following (n° of walked arcs)	C: 1.0 (1 T: 9.0 (6.0	.0-1.0)) - 12.3)	C: 1.0 (1.0 T: 3.0 (2.0	0 – 1.0) 0 – 5.3)
'Audacity'(n° of ants)		1.25 [0	9 – 2]	0.75 [0	- 2]
Brood caring					
n° of not re-entered larvae after:	5 sec	10)	10	1
2	min	8		8	
4	min	6		7	
6	min	4		6	
8	min	2		5	
10	min	1		4	
Cognition					
n° of ants in front and beyond twists and the	urns after:	in front	beyond	in front 1	revond
	5 sec	24	0	30	0
	2 min	20	0	29	0
in front = in the small initial loggia	4 min	19	0	24	0
beyond = in the large free loggia	6 min	17	2	23	0
	8 min	9	3	22	0
1	0 min	5	6	18	1
Aggressiveness against nestmates lev	vels: 0	12	1	34	
	1	69)	72	
	2	8		74	
	3	0		1	
	4	0		0	
variable 'a'		0.0	4	0.7	1
Tactile (pain) perception					
on a rough substrate: linear speed (mn	4.4 (3.9	- 5.1)	5.3 (4.6 - 6.5)		
angular speed (ang. deg./	cm)	288 (240	- 320)	236 (211	- 276)

Table 2. Effect of sugar/sucralose consumption on nine ants' traits. Experimental details and statistics are given in the text. Briefly, the mixture modified at least five traits. C = Control (blank circumference); T = Test (circumference drawn with trail pheromone)

behavior against nestmates. They stayed near them slowly moving their antennae, they responded to the antennal movements of their nestmates and touched them with their antennae. They seldom opened their mandibles. Under sugar/sucralose diet, ants seldom stayed near their nestmates. They contacted them roughly with their antennae and opened their mandibles many times. The difference of ants' behavior during encountering was significant: $\chi^2 = 101.19$, df = 2, P < 0.001.

3.9 Tactile (Pain) Perception

This trait was slightly affected by sugar/sucralose instead of sugar consumption (Table 2). On a rough substrate, the ants under sugar diet moved with difficulty, very slowly and sinuously. This was less pronounced for ants under sugar/sucralose diet but the difference was at the limit of significance: linear speed: $\chi^2 = 3.2$, df = 1, 0.05 < P < 0.10; angular speed: $\chi^2 = 6.66$, df = 2, 0.02 < P < 0.05.

3.10 Visual Conditioning and Memory

While ants under sugar diet acquired a conditioning score of 81-82 % in 72 hours, those consuming sugar/ sucralose reached only a score of 57.5-60 % in the same time period (Table 3). This difference of conditioning capability was significant: N = 5, T = -15, P = 0.031. After removal of the visual cue, ants under sugar diet lost part of their conditioning and retained about 10% of it. They successively lost 11%, 5%, 3%, 12%, gained 12%, lose again 2% of their conditioning after 7 h, 24 h, 31 h, 48 h, 55 h and 72 h respectively. After the same time

periods, ants under sugar/sucralose diet lost successively 0%, 0%, 2.5%, gained 2.5%, lost again 2.5% and gained again 2.5% of their conditioning (Table 3). The difference of ants' memory did not statistically differ, even if ants under sugar/sucralose diet obviously retained nearly all of their poor conditioning: N = 6, T = 17, P = 0.109. Sugar/sucralose consumption affected thus the ants' conditioning, so their short term memory, but did not reduce their middle and long term memory.

3.11 Preference between Sugar Water and a Sugar/Sucralose Solution

In front of these two solutions, 21 ants of colony A went drinking the mixture while 30 ants went onto the sugar solution, and two ants of colony C went drinking the sugar solution while 28 ants went onto the mixture. Thus, 49 ants (60.5%) preferred the sugar/sucralose solution while 32 ones (39.5%) chose the sugar one. This result did not differ from that expected if ants randomly went drinking the two solutions (χ^2 = 1.40, df = 1, NS).

Table 3. Effect of sugar/sucralose consumption on ants' visual conditioning and memory. The ants were trained to a yellow cube and tested in a Y apparatus provided with such a cube in one of its branch. The table gives the numbers of ants giving the correct response and the proportion of correct responses for the tested population. The mixture reduced the ants' ability in acquiring visual conditioning, but did not impact their middle and long term memory

Traits	Sugar/sucralose diet Colony					Sugar diet %	
		A	В	С	D	%	C C
Visual cond	Visual conditioning						control: 50%
6			-		_		15.0
after:	7 h	4	5	4	6	47.5	47.0
	24h	6	3	5	5	47.5	60.0
	31h	5	5	4	6	50.0	63.3
	48h	6	7	5	5	57.5	65.0
55h		6	6	6	6	60.0	75.0
	72h	6	5	7	5	57.5	81.7
Visual memory							
after:	7 h	6	5	6	6	57.5	70.0
	24h	6	4	6	7	57.5	65.0
	31h	5	6	7	4	55.0	62.0
	48h	6	6	5	6	57.5	50.0
	55h	6	6	5	5	55.0	62.0
	72h	6	5	6	6	57.5	60.0

Table 4.	Decre	ease	of the aggressiv	veness et	ffect of su	ıgar/
sucralose	after	its	consumption	ended.	Details	and
statistics a	re giv	en i	n the text. Brie	efly, the	studied e	effect
rapidly de	crease	d fro	om 2 h to about	8 h and	fully vani	shed
in 29 h						

Time after the end	Aggressiveness against nestmate						
consumption	Levels 0	1	2	3	4	variable 'a'	
0 h	28	67	81	1	0	0.86	
2 h	24	60	75	1	0	0.90	
4 h	26	65	61	0	0	0.67	
6 h	31	69	33	0	0	0.33	
8 1/2 h	45	69	30	0	0	0.26	
11 h	39	86	25	0	0	0.20	
15 h	42	86	20	0	0	0.15	
25 h	53	89	10	0	0	0.07	
29 h	84	65	7	0	0	0.04	
control	121	69	98	0	0	0.04	

3.12 Loss of the Aggressiveness Effect of Sugar/Sucralose after its Consumption Ended

Results are detailed in Table 4 and partly illustrated in Figure 3. Before stopping consuming sugar/sucralose instead of sugar (t = 0 h), and still 2 h after having again consumed a sugar solution, the ants were aggressive against nestmates (t = 0 h: χ^2 = 119.18, df = 2, P < 0.001; t = 2 h: χ^2 = 116.39, df = 2, P < 0.001). This aggressiveness rapidly decreased from 2 h to about 8 h after the end of sugar/sucralose consumption; the results obtained after 2 h and 8 1/2 h statistically differed: $\chi^2 = 25.49$, df = 2, P < 0.001. After that, the decrease of the aggressiveness effect slowed: the results obtained after 8 1/2 and 15 h did not statistically differ (χ^2 = 3.44, df = 2, NS). After a total of 25 h, the ants became slightly aggressive against nestmates: They repeatedly contacted them with their antennae but seldom opened their mandibles; the results still differed from the control ones ($\chi^2 = 23.53$, df = 2, P < 0.001). After a total of 29 hours, the ants were no longer aggressive; the results were similar to the control ones ($\chi^2 = 2.28$, df = 2, NS).



Figure 3. Decrease of the aggressiveness effect of a sugar/ sucralose (99.5/0.5) solution after its consumption ended. Numerical details and results are given in Table 4; statistics are provided in the text.

4. Discussion

Using ants as models, we studied the effects of a sugar/ sucralose 99.5/0.5 mixture, an easily available substitute to sugar. This mixture increased sugar consumption and induced aggressiveness against nestmates. It decreased general activity, precision of reaction, orientation, audacity, cognition and conditioning ability, it slightly decreased brood caring behavior and tactile perception, but did not affect middle and long term memory. Having to choose between pure sugar and a sugar/sucralose mixture, the ants slightly but not significantly preferred the mixture, presenting thus no addiction to it. When ants stopped consuming the mixture and consumed again sugar, the aggressiveness effect of the mixture vanished in about 29 h.

How explaining these results? A first explanation may be the same as that causing the adverse effects of aspartame. The strong sugared taste of the sugar/sucralose mixture informs the brain of the presence of large amount of sugar though only small amount is provided. One lump of the product contains 1.32 g sugar, i.e., 4.7 times less than one lump of sugar. The organism reacts according to the information received by the brain, i.e., a large amount of sugar is provided, and uses or hydrolyses its own hydrocarbons. The brain perceives *in fine* a deficit of glucose, and the organism wants to eat sugar. This deficit may be accounted for the ants' more sugar consumption, slight aggressiveness against nestmates, less brood caring, and cognitive deficiencies. Because the mixture contains some sugar, it does not affect middle and long term memory nor the perception of pheromones, it does not suppress brood caring behavior and does not lead to strong aggressiveness against nestmates, it does not act as an addictive product. However, it contains sucralose which might have, by itself, some adverse effects (see below).

Although no acute toxic effects have been observed in crustacea and fishes in presence of sucralose using standardized toxicity tests²⁸, non-standard ecotoxicity tests have shown significant feeding and behavioral alterations on crustacea after a short term exposure to this substance^{29,30}. Sucralose was also shown to increase swimming speed and height in *Daphnia magna*, as well as more random orientation and lower respiration rate in *Gammarus zaddachi*²⁹. Moreover, sucralose induced biochemical responses in *Daphnia magna*³¹, with an increase of Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) and antioxidative defense. Such an increase was shown in humans to be linked to neurodegenerative diseases. All these effects may partly explain the physiological and behavioral changes we observed in the ant *M. ruginodis*.

Contrary to some reviews stating the safety of sucralose^{5,32}, a recent review shows that this substance is not biologically inert and may be harmful³³, even if not hydrolyzed in chloropropanols. Sucralose even affects the insuline responses in obese people, although it is used for losing weight³⁴.

We suggest testing a sugar/sucralose 99.9/0.1 mixture. It might have nearly no adverse effect since its content in sucralose is lower, its amount of sugar is higher and it should have a less pronounced sugared taste. It might be as safe as a stevia/aspartame 91/9 mixture⁴.

5. Conclusion

Using ants as models, the mixture sugar/sucralose (99.5/0.5), considered as inoffensive, was shown to induce physiological and ethological disruptions (i.e., more sugared food consumption, decrease of cognitive abilities, aggressiveness) because it has a strong sugared taste though containing little sugar, and because sucralose may metabolize into chloropropanols. The use of a saccharose/ sucralose mixture in the 99.5/0.5 proportion should thus be reconsidered.

6. Conflict of interest

We affirm having no conflict of interest concerning the use of the sugar/sucralose 99.5/0.5 mixture.

7. References

- 1. Cammaerts M-C, Cammaerts R. Aspartame increases food demand and impacts behavior: A study using ants as models. Acta Biomedica Scientia. 2016; 3:9–23.
- Trocho C, Pardo R, Rafecas I, Virgili J, Ramesar X, Fernandez-Lopez JA, Alemany M. Formaldehyde derived from dietary aspartame binds to tissue components in vivo. Life Sciences. 2009; 63:337–49.
- Cammaerts M-C, Dero A, Cammaerts R. Stevia: A true glycoside used as a sweetener and not affecting behavior. Asian J Pharmaceutical Research and healthcare. 2016; 8(1):19–31.
- 4. Cammaerts M-C, Cammaerts R, Dero A. A 0.123% stevia/ aspartame 91/9 aqueous solution balances the effects of the two substances, and may thus be a safer and tastier sweetener to be used. J Pharmacy and Nutrition Sciences. 2015; 5:236–48.
- Grotz VL, Molinary S, Peterson RC, Quinlan ME, Reo R. Sucralose. Chapter 12 in 'Alternative Sweeteners'. 4th ed. FL: Lyn O'Brien Nabors, CRC Press, Taylor and Francis; 2011. p. 181–96.
- Rodero AB, Rodero LS, Azoubel R. Toxicidad de la sucralosa en humanos: Una revisión. Int J Morphol. 2009; 27(1):239–44.
- 7. Available from: https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sucralose
- 8. Kolb B, Whishaw IQ. Cerveau et comportement. De Boeck Supérieur. Paris, Bruxelles; 2002. p. 649.
- Andre RG, Wirtz RA, Das YT. Insect models for biomedical research. In Woodhead AD, editors, Nonmammalian Animal Models for Biomedical Research. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 1989.
- 10. Holldobler B, Wilson EO. The ants. Berlin: Harvard University Press, Springer-Verlag; 1990.
- Rachidi Z, Cammaerts M-C, Debeir O. Morphometric study of the eye of three species of Myrmica (Formicidae). Belg J Entomol. 2008; 10:81–91.
- 12. Cammaerts M-C. Visual vertical subtended angle of *Myrmica ruginodis* and *Myrmica rubra* (Formicidae, Hymenoptera). Bull Soc r belg Ent. 2011; 147:113–20.
- Cammaerts M-C. The visual perception of the ant *Myrmica ruginodis* (Hymenoptera Formicidae). Biologia. 2012; 67:1165–74.
- Cammaerts M-C, Rachidi Z, Beke S, Essaadi Y. Use of olfactory and visual cues for traveling by the ant *Myrmica ruginodis* (Hymenoptera, Formicidae). Myrmecological News 2012; 16:45–55.
- 15. Cammaerts M-C, Nemeghaire S. Why do workers of *Myrmica ruginodis* (Hymenoptera, Formicidae) navigate by

relying mainly on their vision? Bull Soc r belg Ent. 2012; 148:42–52.

- Cammaerts MC, Cammaerts R. Food recruitment strategies of the ants *Myrmica sabuleti* and *Myrmica ruginodis*. Behav Proc 1980; 5: 251-270.
- Cammaerts M-C, Cammaerts R. Ontogenesis of ants' cognitive abilities (Hymenoptera, Formicidae). Advances Studies in Biology. 2015; 7:335–48 + synopsis: 349–50.
- Cammaerts M-C, Gosset G. Impact of age, activity and diet on the conditioning performance in the ant *Myrmica ruginodis* used as a biological model. Int J Biology. 2014; 6:10–20. ISSN: 1916-9671 E-ISSN 1916-968X.
- 19. Cammaerts M-C, Rachidi Z, Gosset G. Physiological and ethological effects of caffeine, theophylline, cocaine and atropine; study using the ant *Myrmica sabuleti* (Hymenoptera, Formicidae) as a biological model. Int J Biology. 2014; 3:64–84.
- 20. Cammaerts M-C, Gosset G, Rachidi Z. Some physiological and ethological effects of nicotine; studies on the ant *Myrmica sabuleti* as a biological model. Int J Biology. 2014; 6:64–81.
- Cammaerts M-C, Cammaerts R. Physiological and ethological effects of morphine and quinine, using ants as biological models. J of Pharmaceutical Biology. 2014; 4:43–58.
- 22. Cammaerts M-C, Cammaerts D. Physiological and ethological effects of fluoxetine, on ants used as biological models. Int J Biology. 2015; 7(2):1–18. DOI: 10.5539/ijb.v7n2p1.
- Cammaerts M-C, Cammaerts D. Physiological and ethological effects of antidepressants: A study using ants as biological models. Int J Pharmac Sciences Invention. 2015; 4(2):4–24. Available from: http://www.ijpsi.org/current-issue.html#Paper2, 27.6718/04204024
- 24. Cammaerts M-C, Cammaerts D. Potential harmful effects of Carbamazepine on aquatic organisms, a study using ants as invertebrate models. Int J Biology 2015; 7(3):75–93. DOI: 10.5539/ijb.v7n3p75.

- 25. Cammaerts M-C, Cammaerts R. Effects of buprenorphine and methadone, two analgesics used for saving humans dependent on morphine consumption. Int J Pharmac Sciences Invention. 2015; 4:1–19.
- 26. Siegel S, Castellan NJ. Nonparametric statistics for the behavioral sciences. Singapore: McGraw-Hill, Book Company; 1989.
- 27. Cammaerts M-C, Morel F, Martino F, Warzee N. An easy and cheap software-based method to assess two-dimensional trajectories parameters. Belg J Zoology. 2012; 142:145–51.
- 28. Tollefsen KE, Nizzetto L, Hugget DB. Presence, fate and effects of the intense sweetener sucralose in the aquatic environment. Science of the Total Environment. 2012; 438:510–6.
- 29. Wiklund A-KE, Breitholtz M, Bengtsson B-E, Adolfsson-Erici M. Sucralose- An ecotoxical challenger? Chemosphere. 2012; 86:50–5.
- Hjorth M, Hansen JH, Camus L. Short-term effects of sucralose on *Calanus finmarchicus* and *Calanus glacialis* in Disko Bay, Greenland. Chemistry and Ecology. 2010; 26(45):385–93.
- Wiklund A-KE, Adolfsson-Erici M, Liewenborg B, Gorokhova E. Sucralose induces biochemical responses in *Daphnia magna*. PLoS One 2014; 9(4):e92771. DOI: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0092771.
- Grotz VL, Munro IC. An overview of the safety of sucralose. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology. 2009; 55:1–5.
- Schiffman SS, Rother KI. Sucralose, a synthetic organochlorine sweetener: Overview of biological issues. J Toxicol Environ Health, Part B. 2013; 16:399–451.
- Pepino MY, Tiemann CD, Patterson BW, Wice BM, Klein S. Sucralose affects glycemic and hormonal responses to an oral glucose lead. Diabetes Care. 2013; 36:2530–5.