

9. Junk, W. J., Bayley, P. B. and Sparks, R. E., The flood pulse concept in river – floodplain systems. In Canadian Special Publication of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, Canada, 1989, vol. 106, pp. 110–127.
10. Ministry of Shipping, Cabinet approves Jal Marg Vikas Project for enhanced navigation on the Haldia–Varanasi stretch of National Waterway-1, Press Information Bureau, GoI, 2018.
11. Newson, M. D. and Newson, C. L., Geomorphology, ecology and river channel habitat: mesoscale approaches to basin-scale challenges. *Prog. Phys. Geogr.*, 2000, **24**, 195–217.
12. Corenblit, D., Tabacchi, E., Steiger, J. and Gurnell, A. M., Reciprocal interactions and adjustments between fluvial landforms and vegetation dynamics in river corridors: a review of complementary approaches. *Earth-Sci. Rev.*, 2007, **84**, 56–86.
13. Statzner, B., Gore, J. A. and Resh, V. H., Hydraulic stream ecology: observed patterns and potential applications. *J. North Am. Benthol. Soc.*, 1988, **7**(4), 307–360.
14. Jaeger, C. P. and Cobb, V. A., Comparative spatial ecologies of female painted turtles (*Chrysemys picta*) and red-eared sliders (*Trachemys scripta*) at Reelfoot Lake, Tennessee. *Chelonian Conserv. Biol.*, 2012, **11**, 59–67.
15. Lindeman, P. V., Resource use of five sympatric turtle species: effects of competition, phylogeny, and morphology. *Can. J. Zool.*, 2000, **78**, 992–1008.
16. Chauvet, H. *et al.*, Recirculation cells in a wide channel. *Phys. Fluids*, 2014, **26**(1), 016604-10.
17. Gaurav, K. *et al.*, Morphology of the Kosi megafan channels. *Earth Surf. Dyn.*, 2015, **3**(3), 321–331.
18. Gaurav, K., Tandon, S. K., Devauchelle, O., Sinha, R. and Métivier, F., A single width–discharge regime relationship for individual threads of braided and meandering rivers from the Himalayan Foreland. *Geomorphology*, 2017, **295**, 126–133.
19. Fredsøe, J., Meandering and braiding of rivers. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 1978, **84**, 609–624.
20. Rosgen, D. L., A classification of natural rivers. *Catena*, 1994, **22**, 169–199.
21. CUTS International, Expanding tradable benefits of inland waterways: case of India, 2017; www.cuts-international.org
22. Garrad, P. N. and Hey, R. D., Boat traffic, sediment resuspension and turbidity in a Broadland river. *J. Hydrol.*, 1987, **95**, 289–297.
23. Houser, C., Sediment resuspension by vessel-generated waves along the Savannah River, Georgia. *J. Waterway. Port, Coastal, Ocean Eng.*, 2011, **137**, 246–257.
24. Smart, M. M., Rada, R. G., Nielsen, D. N. and Clafflin, T., The effect of commercial and recreational traffic on the resuspension of sediment in Navigation Pool 9 of the Upper Mississippi River. *Hydrobiologia*, 1985, **126**, 263–274.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. Funds for this study were provided by the Wildlife Institute of India, Dehra Dun through the grant WII/SAH/IITK-2018. K.G. thanks the Ministry of Earth Sciences, Government of India, for research funding (Letter no. MoES/PAMC/H&M/84/2016-PC-II). We thank IISER Bhopal and IIT Kanpur for providing institutional support. G.K.S. thanks the University Grants Commission, New Delhi for JRF fellowship to pursue Ph D at IISER Bhopal.

Received 29 January 2019; revised accepted 20 May 2019

doi: 10.18520/cs/v116/i12/2063-2071

Erratum

Carbon storage potential of mangroves – are we missing the boat?

P. Ragavan, K. Sivakumar, R. S. C. Jayaraj,
P. M. Mohan and T. S. Rana

[*Curr. Sci.*, 2019, **116**(6), 889–891]

Page 890, 2nd column, para 2, line 9

The sentence *should read* as: They have estimated that the CH₄ evasion rate (96.5–1049.8 μmol m⁻² day⁻¹)...

Instead of

They have estimated that the CH₄ evasion rate (96.5–1049.8 mmol m⁻² day⁻¹)....

We regret the error.

Authors