

Gut microbiota: metabolic programmers as a lead for deciphering Ayurvedic pharmacokinetics

Anagha Ranade¹, Shivani Gayakwad², Shridhar Chougule², Amey Shirolkar³, Sudesh Gaidhani⁴ and Sharad D. Pawar^{2,*}

¹Department of Ayurveda, and

²Department of Pharmacology, Regional Ayurveda Institute for Fundamental Research, Pune 411 038, India

³National Center for Cell Science, Pune 411 007, India

⁴Central Council for Research in Ayurvedic Sciences, New Delhi 110 058, India

Gut microbiota has tremendous potential to influence human physiology in terms of health and disease. Factors like improper diet, lifestyle and stress contribute in development of dysbiosis. Ayurveda emphasizes on the importance of mahasrotasa (GIT system) and its homeostasis in maintenance of health. Pharmacokinetic principles of Ayurvedic pharmacology include Vipaka, commonly referred to as ‘postdigestive irreversible process’ which is closely associated with biotransformation of drugs caused by microbiota. There is evidence that the metabolism of polyphenols by the microbiota can influence their bioactivity. Consequently, inter-individual variation in microbial metabolism could have implications for health benefits of phytochemicals.

Keywords: Ayurveda, gut microbiota, pharmacokinetics, metabolic programmes.

As science advances, it opens an arena of novel leads in every field. The seers of Ayurveda have also quoted that in order to obtain thorough knowledge about one shastra (science), one needs to get acquainted with the other scientific literature which complements the former, one should be multifaceted in approach¹.

With the advent of sophisticated instrumentation and omics approaches, microbiome is the most trending field that deals with the mechanism of equilibrium of our body. Recent studies have reported that a host of trillion microbes residing in our body govern the health status. It has been termed as the metabolic organ², wherein the emphasis from the vital organs is now shifting to the gut, especially microflora of human gut for its essential role in the establishment of homeostasis. The microbial ecosystem of our gut comprises native species that are permanently found and those variable ones colonizing transiently. The whole of microorganisms, their genes and metabolites are together termed as ‘microbiome’.

Significance of diet (ahara) and medicine (aushadha) in Ayurveda

Ayurveda, the science of life, bestows certain unique principles in relation to the fundamentals of physiology of our body. Among the three pillars (trayopastambha) quoted by the seers, diet (ahara) is an essential component³. The significance of ahara has been quoted in four separate chapters (swasthya chatushka) of *Charak Samhita*⁴. Herein, they have emphasized that the overall maintenance of health is strongly associated with the quantity (matra) of diet (ahara)⁵. The optimum food consumption further contributes in establishment of strength (bala), luster, radiance (varna), vitality and immunity (oja)⁶. Later, Charak adds that the quantity of ahara to be consumed is closely dependent upon bala (strength) of agni (metabolism)⁷.

The diet to be consumed and the status of agni (energy of metabolism) for its metabolism are interdependent. The strength of agni influences digestion in every season which has been given in the *rutucharya* (seasonal regimen). Hence, Acharyas have also suggested the diet regimen to be followed during each season (rutu)⁸.

The suitable food for consumption is that which without disturbing the homeostasis of tissues (dhatus) or dosha of the body gets digested as well as metabolized in appropriate time. Thus, it ought to possess individual variations due to variable digestive powers.

Ayurveda has classified the quantity of food (ahara matra) into two types, viz. sarvagraha and parigraha⁹. Sarvagraha refers to the amount as a whole (viz. all food constituents served in a meal together), whereas the individual component portions of the meal, viz. quantity of chapati, daal, etc. is parigraha. Ayurveda also emphasizes upon the pertinent consumption of shadrasa (diet possessing foods with six tastes). Any error in consumption of any individual component of ahara affects the health of tissues which results into ageing or pathology¹⁰.

Modern nutritionists have focused more on the proportions of carbohydrates, fats and proteins in diet, which comes under the parigraha aspect of matra. Ayurvedic

*For correspondence. (e-mail: sd_pawar@yahoo.com)

approach to food and dietetics is different from the conventional Western approach. *Charaka Samhita* describes the eight ethics of ahara vidhi, i.e. (1) prakriti (nature of food substances), (2) karana (processing), (3) samyoga (combination), (4) rashi (proportion), (5) desha (region and climate), (6) kala (time), (7) upayogasamstha (rules of consumption), and (8) upayokta (the consumer)¹¹.

Digestion in ayurveda

The avasthapaka (digestive process) basically consists of three phases according to Charaka, viz. Madhura, amla and katu¹².

Madhura avasthapaka: It commences as soon as food comprising shadrasa comes in contact of bodhaka kapha (component for taste perception from salivary secretions) and continues up to amashaya (stomach). Here, some thin and frothy kapha (one of the three bodily doshas responsible for stability, unctuousness, lubrication, immunity and cohesion) is generated. It is often correlated with the breakdown of starch by salivary amylase and partial digestion in fundal part of stomach, where polysaccharides are broken down to monosaccharide.

Amla avasthapaka: Later, the partially digested (pakva and apakva) food comes in contact with amashaya (pyloric part of stomach) with the environment consisting of HCl that facilitates digestion of proteins and the resultant acidified chyme (vidagdha) is propelled into the duodenum ahead where achha pitta (bile) is produced.

Katu avasthapaka: When this food product reaches pakvashaya (large intestine), it gets further metabolized and hydrolysed by the agni (enzymes) and takes a bolus-form (paripindita) resulting in pungent taste. This stimulates vata dosha. This phase is often equated with the last stage of digestion occurring in the colon along with formation of indole, skatol and excretory products.

The avasthapaka thus can form a part of mechanical and biochemical digestion process in human physiology.

Difference between vipaka and avasthapaka

The vipaka (post-digestive metabolism) is the final transformation of food while avasthapaka is the initial phase. Vipaka commences only after the avasthapaka has ceased. This has been mentioned in the Chakrapani commentary¹². The term 'bhinna kala' (time factor) denotes that these two stages are different. The actual vitiation of doshas and which in turn intimates the end of metabolism (rasa mala vivek) is termed vipaka. The factors that determine vipaka are dosha, mala (faecal matter, urine) and effect on shukra dhatu (tissue responsible for reproduction), which is the last tissue in sequential nutrition as

per Ayurveda. Thus, vipaka is closely associated with secretion and absorption functions after the mechanical and chemical digestion. It is quoted as karma nishthaya, i.e. it manifests its properties at the end of complete digestion of food/drug¹³.

This again exhibits that vipaka is the ultimate transformation of food/drug (dravya) of particular perceptive attribute into final madhura, amla or katu tastes (rasas)¹⁴. Thus, it suggests that vipaka is an entity which comes into play after drug/food digestion for tissue nutrition or cellular nutrition.

Unlike conventional medicine, according to Ayurveda any dravya be it medicine (aushadha) or diet (ahara) will have to pass through vipaka for metabolism and manifestation of the action. The difference is of quantity and functions, where ahara is more in amount and results in nutrition, whereas medicines (aushadha) are administered in less amounts and have target actions but not nutritive as a whole to our body. Acharya Kashyapa has termed food (anna) as super medicine (mahabhaishyajya)¹⁵.

Discussion

Diet composition is a pivotal factor in modulating the gut microbial populations. Several studies have been performed to explore the inter-action between food and gut microbiota in relation to individual health status¹⁶. There are references in the treatise wherein a list of foods fit for daily consumption has been enlisted. Besides, the harmful effect of incompatible food (viruddha anna) has also been denoted which again relates with gut microbiota, i.e. incompatible food results in dysbiosis. This can be correlated with dhatvagnimandya that produces systemic effects and sets in pathologies of different diseases. Trillions of bacteria are distributed throughout the gastrointestinal tract from mouth to anus, which facilitate digestion and intestinal homeostasis. The components of diet are highly influential for colonization of microbiota. The variety of flavours (shadrasa) in a meal as stated before often point out the existence of many classes of bioactive compounds, that are necessary for constituting the needed consortium of microflora. Thus, the presence and quality of the consortium decides the fate of metabolism of the ingested food. The probable rationale behind ancient 'thali' diet prevalent in many parts of India has been delineated in a recent paper¹⁷. Similarly, in Ayurveda, the strength of agni has to be adjudicated on the basis of jarana shakti – capacity of digestion, that ultimately resides over the gut microbiota. Ayurveda considers the derangement of agni, i.e. its pachana karma (metabolism) to be the fundamental etiology for all anomalies. Similarly, unhealthy status of microbiota consortium due to wrong diet results in alteration of metabolism which thereby mirrors the physiopathology of nutritional disorder (closely associated with grahani). The anatomical distribution of gut microbiota resembles the mahasrotasa

(gastrointestinal system in this context). The composition of gut microbiota depends on the functional role of each region of the gut in the digestion process. Thus, the region wise digestion closely relates avasthapaka, whereas the specialized and definitive metabolism of nutrients, viz. production of short chain fatty acids (SCFA), phenolic compounds, bioactives and alteration at metabolic level is vipaka.

Role of gut microbiota on system physiology

The gut microflora is referred to as a key endocrine organ, as it has significant impact on physiology and different human disease states which convert nutritional signals from environment that are hormone-like signals¹⁸. Gut microbiota aids in routine digestion and metabolizes the substrates producing cytokines, hormones, antigenic responses, activation of cascades, producing neuronal signals, etc. They also produce immune responses on various bodily systems of the host other than the gut.

Ayurvedic vis-à-vis conventional ideology of the digestive system

As discussed above, Ayurveda has its own unique way of stating metabolism of diet and drugs in form of vipaka. This metabolic energy is termed 'agni' in Ayurveda. *Acharya Charak* has stated the seat of agni to be grahani, and is said to be located above umbilical region¹⁹. This coincides with the major seat of gut microbiota. Jatharagni produces the sarakitta vibhajana of ahara (food) and helps in the assimilation of food. This prasada (essence of food) portion is then passed on to bhutagni for further metabolism²⁰. This can be compared to various functions that gut microbiota perform in metabolism of carbohydrates, proteins, and fats and other nutrients in the body.

Gut microbiome is a key component in varied metabolic reactions such as digestion of carbohydrates, starch, sugars, oligosaccharides, proteins, non-digestible dietary fibre, transformation and degradation of the conjugated bile salts and oxalate-based complexes. The gut microbiota assist in the enzymatic reaction and stimulate production of various cytokines and other factors that can act either locally or systemically²¹. Commensals like *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* and *Lactobacillus innocua* produce antimicrobial proteins such as cathelicidins, (pro) defensins and C-type lectins²¹. The gut microbiota of healthy individual has high-energy metabolic markers such as pyruvic acid, citric acid, fumaric acid and malic acid²¹. The residual products of carbohydrate metabolism from the digestive system are further degraded by organisms (*Bacteroides*, *Roseburia*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Fecalibacterium* and *Enterobacteria* sps) producing SCFA like butyrate, propionate and acetate. These are proven to be high-energy yielding sources²¹ (Table 1). *Bacteroides*

intestinalis, *Bacteroides fragile* and *Escherichia coli* process bile salts and convert them into secondary products such as deoxycholic and lithocolic acid in the gut, which in turn lead to lipid peroxidation and breakdown of steroids such as cholesterol^{21,22}.

Various reports show the possible role of commensals, namely *Oxalobacter formigenes*, *Lactobacillus* species and *Bifidobacterium* species in reducing the chances of oxalate stones formation in the kidney²¹. Pancreatic lipase requires colipase for lipid digestion, commensal *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* alleviates the expression of colipase and thus enhances lipid hydrolysis²³.

Ghrelin release is controlled by cholecystokinin (CKK), glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), peptide tyrosine tyrosine (PYY), which is eventually modulated by gut microbiota. High intake of lipids and proteins stimulates production of CKK, GLP-1 and PYY pancreatic polypeptides by few intestinal microorganisms. These peptides are responsible for deciding the meal size and long-term energy balance²⁴. Gut microbiota metabolize bile salts by generating bile salt species that are distinct from those of the host²⁵.

Ayurvedic vis-à-vis conventional ideology of the respiratory system

Each system in Ayurveda has been explained with the term 'srotasa', i.e. channels. In case of respiratory system, Pranavaha srotasa has been mentioned. Also, Charak has mentioned the role of 'mahasrotasa' which is gastrointestinal system as the main seat of prana related to respiratory system²⁶.

The intestinal microbiota influences the respiratory microbiota by direct seeding of microbes from gut to the airway through the gut-lung axis or transferring the metabolites from the gut, short-chain fatty acids²⁷. In the intestine, commensals help in production of mucous layer, antimicrobial proteins and IgA, which provides a physical barrier against pathogenic microbes²¹. It has been reported that Paneth cells maintain homeostasis with intestinal microbiota by producing antimicrobial peptides. Reg III (gamma) separates the intestinal and cell wall microbiota; it protects from Gram-positive bacteria which impact pulmonary immunity against fungal pathogens²⁸. An experiment with germ free mice showed production of Th17 cells in the intestine after induction of commensal bacteria, which is important for various respiratory diseases²⁹ (Table 2).

Ayurvedic vis-à-vis conventional ideology of the cardiovascular system

Heart in Ayurveda is considered under rasavaha (related to heart) and pranavaha srotasa (related to respiratory). It is also considered to be seat of psyche and oja (vitality),

REVIEW ARTICLES

Table 1. Role of gut microbiota related to the human digestive system (after ref. 21)

Organism	Function
<i>Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria</i>	Breaking down of dietary polysaccharides, competing with pathogens and modulating host immune system
<i>Bacteroides, Bifidobacterium, Fecalibacterium, Roseburia and Enterobacteria</i>	Synthesis of butyrate, propionate and acetate that reduce D-lactate accumulation which is toxic to the host
<i>Bacteroides ruminococcus gnavus</i>	Proinflammatory bacteria
<i>Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron</i>	Upregulates lipid hydrolysis
<i>Bacteroides</i>	Production of vitamins
<i>Bifidobacterium, Bacteroides, Eubacterium, Lactobacillus, Clostridium, Propionibacterium, Roseburia and Prevotella</i>	Ferments dietary oligosaccharides and stimulates production of short chain fatty acids (SCFAs), therefore modifying the host immune system to express IgG, act as a energy source and produce signalling molecules

Table 2. Role of gut microbiota related to the human respiratory system

Organism	Function	Reference
<i>Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes</i>	Predominant phyla detected in the airways play an important role in protection from pathogenic bacteria	27
<i>Lactobacillus rhamnosus, Bifidobacterium lactis, and Bifidobacterium breve, or an inactivated Mycobacterium vaccae</i>	Induces antigen-specific regulatory T-cells that help reduce allergic responses	27
<i>Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes</i>	A-defensin 5 increases the balance of Bacteroidetes/Firmicutes	28

and any derangements in rasa dhatu leads to cardiovascular disease. In physiological aspects, it is clearly stated that for healthy functioning of the heart, an individual must consciously consumes diet favourable for heart and oja function. Thus, in Ayurveda each system has its connections ultimately with gut function³⁰.

Tlaskalová-Hogenova *et al.*²⁹ reported that atherosclerosis development was observed in germ free Apo-E deficient mice and not in conventionally reared Apo-E deficient (atherosclerosis model, apolipoprotein E-deficient mice). This denotes that commensals exhibit protective effect on mice health. The microbiota of conventional mice suppressed intestinal expression of fasting-induced adipocyte factor (Fiaf) and a circulating Lipoprotein lipase (LPL) inhibitor promote absorption of monosaccharides from gut lumen and storage of triglycerides in adipocytes³¹. The gut bacteria are known to synthesize estrogen, which leads to vasodilatation and protects the blood vessels from injury. This depicts their protective role in cardiovascular diseases³².

Ayurvedic vis-à-vis conventional ideology of the nervous system

All the functions of the nervous system resemble those of *Vata* (biophysical energy associated with bodily movements up to cellular level) in Ayurveda. Among five types of *vata*, *samana vata* is the enteric nervous system (ENS) which ultimately governs the entire gastrointestinal tract. In real sense, it is the sensory stimulus of ENS (*samana vata*) that is sent to central nervous system and resulting in vagal stimulation and gastrin feedback mechanism (*prana vata*) leading to the muscular movements,

secretion of gastric juices (*vyana vata*) for digestion, absorption and discrimination³³.

The gut-brain axis is a two-way communicating channel wherein the development and function of the nervous system is dependent on the gastrointestinal tract and vice versa. Muscularis macrophages (MM) regulate the intestinal motility; it communicates with enteric neurons by providing bone morphogenetic protein 2 (BMP2) signals and these neurons in return facilitates MM homeostasis by macrophage specific factor, viz. Colony stimulating factor 1 (CSF1). Thus, the signalling between neurons and GI is required for GI motility³⁴. Mucosal enteric glial cells (EGCs) are regulated throughout the intestine by the microbial metabolites. Therefore changes in the functioning of glial cells relate to the gut-brain axis and dysbiosis in the gut³⁴. As discussed earlier, the neuronal peptides such as CKK, GLP-1 and PYY are stimulated by the gut microorganisms for expressing gut hormones (like ghrelin).

The gut-brain axis is responsible for the regulation of hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) affecting the behaviour of the host³⁵. The production of various neurotransmitters such as GABA, serotonin, dopamine and noradrenaline is also done by the commensal thereby regulating the mood, cognition, emotion, motility and various secretions³⁶⁻³⁸. Therefore, it can be stated that the central nervous system is controlled by the gut microbiota protecting it from various neurological diseases (Table 3).

Ayurvedic vis-à-vis conventional ideology of the skeletal muscle system

In Ayurveda, it is diet and successive products formed that are nutritive to tissues³⁹. Thus, in case of muscular

Table 3. Role of gut microbiota related to the central nervous system

Organism	Function	Reference
<i>Lactococcus</i> sp., <i>Streptococcus</i> , <i>Morganella morganii</i> , <i>Hafnia alvei</i>	Production of serotonin	21
<i>Bacillus cereus</i> , <i>B. mycooides</i> , <i>Bacillus cereus</i> , <i>B. mycooides</i> , <i>B. subtilis</i> , <i>Proteus vulgaris</i> , <i>Serratiamarcescens</i> , <i>S. aureus</i> , <i>E. coli</i> , <i>E. coli</i> K-12, <i>M. morganii</i> (NCIMB, 10466), <i>K. pneumonia</i> (NCIMB, 673), <i>H. Alvei</i>	Production of dopamine	36
<i>B. mycooides</i> , <i>B. subtilis</i> , <i>P. vulgaris</i> , <i>S. marcescens</i> , <i>E. coli</i> K-12	Production of noradrenaline	36
<i>L. brevis</i> DPC6108, <i>L. rhamnosus</i> YS9, <i>B. adolescentis</i> DPC6044, <i>B. dentium</i> DPC6333, <i>B. dentium</i> NFBC2243, <i>B. infantis</i> UCC35624	Production of GABA	37
<i>L. plantarum</i>	Production of acetylcholine	38
<i>L. lactis</i> subsp. <i>cremoris</i> (MG 1363) <i>L. lactis</i> subsp. <i>lactis</i> (IL1403) <i>L. plantarum</i> (FI8595) <i>H. alvei</i> (NCIMB, 11999), <i>S. thermophiles</i> (NCFB2392), <i>K. pneumoniae</i> (NCIMB, 673), <i>M. morganii</i> (NCIMB, 10466)	Production of histamine	36

Table 4. Role of gut microbiota related to the skeletal muscle system (after ref. 41)

Organism	Function
<i>Lactobacillus reuteri</i> and <i>F. prausnitzii</i>	Preservation of muscle mass and reduced systemic inflammation
<i>Bifidobacteria lactobacilli</i>	Production of folate
<i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Klebsiella</i>	Production of glycine betaine
<i>Propionibacteria</i> , <i>Lactobacillus reuteri</i>	Production of vitamin B12
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> , <i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Bifidobacteria</i>	Production of riboflavin

physiology too, diet plays a major role. Malnutrition results in degenerative disorders, whereas over nutrition results in production of 'ama' contributing to rheumatic disorders⁴⁰.

The role of gut–muscle axis in the supply of nutrients to muscles and their development has been reported⁴¹. Urolithins are produced by few bacterial species, which are responsible for skeletal muscle cell preservation, mitochondrial biogenesis and its activity. SCFA, are involved in improving metabolic efficiency of myofibres and protecting muscle protein catabolism⁴¹. The gut–muscle axis has been found to be associated with various pathways leading to increasing the metabolic efficiency of myofibre⁴². There can be emergence of new therapies which can reduce muscle wasting and related diseases by inoculation of certain commensal in the host (Table 4).

Ayurvedic vis-à-vis conventional ideology of the reproductive system

In the description of healthy spermatogenesis and oogenesis, a role of Shardrasatmak ahara (diet comprising six rasas) has been given prime importance. Thus, proper vipaka can result into formation of respective healthy tissue. In order to have a normal and healthy conception, hita anna sevana (wholesome diet) has been specified in *Charak Samhita*⁴³.

Hormone production in the reproductive system is different for the male and female, which is assisted by different gut microflora. Over the course of pregnancy, the gut microbiota protects the mother and the foetus by producing hormones and signals. The gut impacts the immune system and produces inflammation in the body required in the pregnancy for the protection and devel-

opment of the foetus. Proteobacteria, *Actinobacteria* and *Lactobacillus* are found in abundance in faecal matter of pregnant women⁴⁴. The estrogen produced by gut microbiota using dietary estrogens and precursors can reduce metabolic disease during post menopausal period, thereby coping up with the decreased estrogen levels^{45,46} (Table 5).

Gut microbiota and its association with disease pathogenesis

The efficiency of gut microbiota in safeguarding human well-being by means of different pathways of metabolism has led to development of numerous associated linkages with the progression of disease owing to dysbiosis^{47–49}. The derangement of gut microbiota consortium has been attributed for development of various diseases as follows.

Ayurvedic vis-à-vis conventional pathophysiology of obesity

In Ayurveda, meda dhatu adipose tissue dysfunction due to improper agni (metabolic energy) has been delineated as a cause for sthauilya, i.e. obesity. The vicious circle of hunger to satiety has been linked in etiology of obesity. Excessive consumption of madhura (sweet), sneha (lipid), lack of exercise lead to sthauilya even in upcoming progeny. Even treatment peculiarly consists of dietary management along with lifestyle modification and cleansing therapies of gut, viz. vamana (induced emesis), virechan (induced purgation) and Basti (enema)⁵⁰.

A potential connection between gut microbiome and development of an obese phenotype has been mentioned by Ley. A proportional decrease in Bacteroidetes with

Table 5. Role of gut microbiota related to the reproductive system (after ref. 46)

Organism	Function
<i>Clostridia</i> sp., Non <i>Clostridiales</i> sp., <i>Ruminococcaceae</i> sp.	Production of estrogen
<i>C. scindens</i>	Production of androgen by converting glucocorticoids to androgens
<i>Prevotella intermedius</i>	Metabolizes estradiol and progesterone and changes their expression

increase in relative loads of Firmicutes was found to be interrelated with the microbial population of obese mice. This was confirmed in a clinical dietary intervention assessment demonstrating that weight loss in obese individuals was due to increase in the relative proportions of Bacteroidetes. The microbial population within our intestine play a key role in obesity⁵¹. In spite of reports stating that these distinct microbial colonies increase energy harvest which contributes to obesity⁵², this has not been found to be totally imperative. It is therefore obvious that there can be multifactorial aspects of microbiota engaged in weight gain etiology and host metabolism. Following weight loss in human subjects, Ley⁵¹ found a decline in the Firmicutes to Bacteroidetes ratio. Turnbaugh *et al.*⁵² demonstrated that the levels of Firmicutes stay unchanged with lower proportion of Bacteroidetes and increased loads of Actinobacteria in obese individuals. The magnitude of the ratio Firmicutes: Bacteroidetes in obesity, however, is still doubtful. Long-standing dietary habits with the constituting gut microbiota strongly influence the manifestation of lifestyle disorders. They set in chronic low-grade inflammatory processes in our body⁵³.

Ayurvedic vis-à-vis conventional pathophysiology of diabetes

In Ayurveda, Madhumeha is often regarded to share pathogenesis and clinical implication with diabetes. Madhumeha is one of the types of prameha, wherein the pathological changes in normal urine have been observed⁵⁴. Even some pre-diabetic symptoms stress upon the characteristics of urine⁵⁵. The pathogenesis of prameha as given by seers clearly indicate its close correlation with Mutravaha samsthana, i.e. urinary system⁵⁵. In Ayurvedic physiology, main function of urine is kleda vahana⁵⁶ (moisture components aroused due to imbalances in metabolism.) The genesis of urine in Ayurveda consists of its commencement in pakvashaya⁵⁷ (part of colon), which is a unique feature after the assimilation of food occurs in alimentary canal. Ayurveda treatment regimens in diabetes strongly emphasize the establishment of homeostatic environment primarily in the gut rightly by emesis and purgation therapies⁵⁸. Also, prescriptions on dietary alterations along with exercise⁵⁹ further highlight the utmost importance given to the gastrointestinal tract. If read in between the lines, we can analyse the significance that it bestows upon the metabolic equilibrium essential in curbing diabetes.

Type-2 diabetes (T2D) is a rampant global metabolic epidemic often termed as a silent killer. The association of gut microbial composition and progress of T2D are gradually being unveiled⁵³. The translocation of microbial colonies from the gut to the surrounding tissues with low-grade inflammation is considered to be the underlying mechanism for this disease⁶⁰. Pedersen and other scientists highlighted that the human gut microbiome apparently impinge on insulin resistance coupled with disturbed metabolomics of serum. The contributing species that have been identified for the same are *Prevotella copri* and *Bacteroides vulgates*⁶¹. Complex gene-environment interactions can lead to obesity and its associated metabolic complications. Larsen *et al.*⁶² scientists employed 16S rRNA compositional sequencing in two groups; one of diabetics and other of healthy controls. It was observed that the proportions of clostridia class were reduced while the Bacteroidetes and Betaproteobacteria were enhanced in a group with T2D compared with control.

Ayurvedic vis-à-vis conventional pathophysiology of liver diseases

The etiological factors for liver disorders in Ayurveda have been faulty dietary habits, wrongly given emesis/purgation therapies results in (dhatu vaishmya) malfunctioning in tissue metabolism. These are termed to be santarpan janya (due to faulty lifestyle habits) disorders⁶³. This can be well linked to dysbiosis. Management of these lifestyle disorders often include gut-cleansing therapies mentioned above, which probably alter the gut microbiota composition or formulations that target gut for amapchana (metabolizing harmful residue).

Evidence exhibits the close interlinks of gut microbiota and chronic liver diseases⁶⁴. Factors, namely gut barrier integrity, immune responses of liver to factors derived from gut; potentially contribute to address solutions for chronic liver diseases. The domain of bile acid signalling has disclosed the concept of the dynamic and highly regulated gut: liver axis⁶⁴. Recent facts depict that dysbiosis can lead to the phenomenon of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) occurring as a sequel to obesity. Patients suffering from this disease have bacterial overgrowth located in small intestine. They also express deranged permeability of intestine that leads to shift of microbe derived products into the portal circulation⁶⁴.

Interference of certain multi-protein structures marks the imbalance of Bacteroidetes: Firmicutes ratio resulting

into hepatic steatosis and inflammatory processes. Alcoholic liver disease expresses similar symptoms proclaiming abundant colonies of Bacteroidetes and *Akkermansia*, but decreased proportions of *Lactobacillus* and other similar colonies. Of late, it was explored that patients diagnosed with primary sclerosing cholangitis have distinct gut microbiota⁶⁴. A recent study by Nicolas *et al.*⁶⁵ documented that hepatic gluconeogenesis can be controlled by the gut microbiota. Use of probiotics induces hepatic gluconeogenesis.

Ayurvedic vis-à-vis conventional pathophysiology of inflammatory bowel disease

This can be correlated to 'pravahika' in Ayurveda. The management of pravahika totally consists of series of medicated enemas again targeting colon and some wholesome recipes suitable for gut health like medicated porridges and soups⁶⁶.

Recent studies have demonstrated the relation among dysbiosis and gastrointestinal diseases⁶⁴. Imperative changes have been recognized in the microbial population of patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) compared to healthy controls. The ratio of Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes was found to be two times greater than healthy controls in IBD patients²¹.

Ayurvedic vis-à-vis conventional pathophysiology of cardiovascular diseases

Excessive eating, faulty timings of diet, incompatible food ingestion, faulty dietary habits as well as suppression of natural urges lead to formation of ama. This in turn deranges the metabolism in gut and hence hampers healthy functioning of the successive rasa dhatu which causes cardiovascular disorders⁶⁷.

Numerous facets of cardiovascular diseases comprise of plaque formation due to atherosclerotic changes followed by myocardial infarction and lastly heart failure. All of them are directly interlinked with dysbiosis. The metabolism of dietary phosphatidylcholine is a key example of the metabolic activity of gut microbiota on atherosclerosis development⁶⁸.

During digestion, phosphatidylcholine which is found in primary sources like meat, fish and egg is hydrolysed into trimethylamine by gut bacteria. It is later oxidized in liver in the form of trimethylamine N-oxide⁶⁹.

Ayurvedic vis-à-vis conventional pathophysiology of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

This is 'ama janya' disorder according to Ayurveda, which again proves its link to gut. Existing high-throughput next-generation sequencing (NGS) techniques

have reported that the airways harbour varied microbial communities. Microbial diversity is copious in severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) cases⁷⁰. Cameron *et al.*⁷¹ found remarkable changes in a COPD cohort summed with multiple features of COPD. Those comprised of specific exacerbation phenotypes, treatment differences and altered levels of key serum and sputum markers.

Ayurvedic vis-à-vis conventional pathophysiology of nervous system disorders

In Ayurveda, ahara is known to affect the properties of psyche satva, raja and tama. Accordingly, raja and tama are known to cause imbalance of doshas leading to psychiatric disorders⁷².

The gut microbiota critically contributes to activities of the central nervous system. These frequently encompass immunological, neural and endocrinal pathways. This also consists of direct interface between enteric neurons and gut microbiota. Besides this, synthesis of neurohormones like serotonin, dopamine, etc. with regulation of hypothalamo-pituitary axis are all attributed to gut microbial functions⁷³.

Neuropsychiatric disorders often go hand in hand with certain modification in the gut microbial colonies. Examples include anxiety, autism⁷⁴, bipolar disorder, chronic fatigue syndrome, major depressive disorder, stress and schizophrenia⁷⁵. It is also interconnected to neurodegenerative diseases, viz. Parkinson's disease, Alzheimer's disease, dementia, and stroke^{76,77}.

Ayurvedic vis-à-vis conventional pathophysiology of cancer

In Ayurveda, cancer can be termed as the bad prognosis of any disease mentioned in classics related to the respective systems/srotasa⁷⁸.

Latest studies suggest that perturbations in the gut microbial communities further add to tumorigenesis. The Gram-negative *Fusobacterium nucleatum* has been most strongly linked to colorectal cancer⁷⁹. The growth in gastric cancers also has an alliance with microbiota. This depends on the concentration of *H. pylori* and the corresponding changes in other microbial communities in the gut⁸⁰. Likewise, distinct microbial communities are found in case of oesophageal cancer after dysbiosis. Pertaining to liver, it has been stated that patients with hepatocellular carcinoma show profuse quantities of *E. coli* in faeces, much higher than healthy controls. Some studies have also described that gut microbiota aids in genesis of pancreatic cancer by promoting inflammatory changes, activating the immune response and by disseminating cancer-associated inflammation⁸¹.

Drug metabolism by gut microbiota

The liver is the chief organ in charge of executing biotransformation and drug metabolism. However, drug metabolism may also partly occur at the intestinal level, which aids to have significant effect on the drug efficacy and safety⁸².

Human gut microbiota influences the pharmacokinetics of many drugs, viz. chemotherapeutics, immunotherapies, anti-inflammatory and antimicrobial drugs and antiretroviral therapies. It is observed that microbiota augment or inhibit the desired therapeutic effect in case of these drugs. They carry out these processes by aiding biotransformation. Many factors, including gut transit time, host genotype, metabolic type, dietary intake and absorption influence microbial drug metabolism. Among them, reduction and hydrolysis occur frequently⁸³.

The class of carbohydrates and proteins that are complex and hence tedious to digest form the major substrates at the disposal of the microbiota. These substrates undergo fermentation in the presence of microbiota, which results in the production of a range of metabolites, including SCFA, branched chain fatty acids, ammonia, amines and phenolic compounds. Gases, viz. hydrogen, methane and hydrogen sulphide are also produced. In addition, the role of intestinal microbiota is implicated in the production of vitamins and the activation or inactivation of isoflavanoids⁸⁴.

Gut microbiota participate in drug metabolism in various ways

- They produce enzymes that degrade drugs directly.
- They compete with drugs over the metabolizing enzymes.
- They alter activity of host drug metabolizing enzymes.
- They produce enzyme-inducing metabolites that alter the drug metabolic activities or pathways⁸⁵.
- The first reported example of drug metabolism by gut microbiota is that of azo reduction of orally administered prontosil and neoprontosil to sulphanilamide. This modification was the first known pro-drug activation by gut bacteria because metabolite sulphanilamide was found to have antibacterial effect⁸⁶. In order to understand the role of the gut microbiota in drug metabolism, *in vivo* studies are crucial representing different reduction–oxidation status of the gut and liver⁸⁷.

One of the first acknowledged and studied interactions of intestinal bacteria with drugs is the anticoagulant therapy. The intestinal bacteria play a role in the synthesis of vitamin K. Broad spectrum antibiotics, by decreasing the population of bacterial flora in the gut, can lead to vitamin deficiency. This may consequently enhance the anti-

coagulant therapy action causing clinically significant adverse events⁸⁸.

It has been found that reduced numbers of gut microbiota by pre-treatment of antibiotics reduces acetaminophen metabolism in gut⁸⁹. The pharmacokinetic alterations of amiodarone and its active metabolite by treatment with probiotics was witnessed which rendered significant changes in the pharmacological effect of amiodarone, making the active metabolite therapeutically more potent⁹⁰. These are some of the positive pharmacological actions exhibited due to metabolism of drugs by the innate gut microbiota.

Some negative or adverse effects also have been reported, viz. alleviation of drug-induced enteropathy in case of diclofenac, indomethacin and ketoprofen⁹¹, via β -glucuronidase inhibition mechanism. More examples include reduction of nitrazepam by microbiota human intestinal tract to 7-aminonitrazepam, which is then further metabolized in the liver to metabolite 7-acetylamino-nitrazepam which is teratogenic.

Gut microbiota show evidence of a noteworthy action on the metabolism of polyphenols⁹². The metabolism of chlorogenic acid, naringin, and rutin, representative bioactives of three common families, viz. hydroxycinnamates, flavanones and flavonols respectively was studied by Rechner *et al.*⁹³ using *in vitro* mixed culture model of the human microflora.

Some examples of the effect of gut microbiota on metabolism of certain medicinal plants are as follows.

Yashtimadhu (Glycyrrhiza glabra)

There is evidence which denotes that gut microbiota is responsible for conversion of glycyrrhizin to 18 β -glycyrrhetic acid completely⁹⁴.

Panax ginseng

The gut microbiota is reported to metabolize orally administered ginsenoside Rb1 to bioactive compounds such as 20-O β -D-glucopyranosyl-20(S)-protopanaxadiol often known as compound K. The same action is inhibited when performed after administration of antibiotics⁹⁵.

Pueraria species

The effects of metabolites calycosin and daidzein are biologically superior to those of puerarin and daidzin, which are metabolized by gut microbiota⁹⁶.

Aloe vera

Barbaloin, a C-glycoside present in aloe, is reported to be metabolized in human faecal suspensions to the deglycosylated aloe-emodinanthrone. It exerts cathartic effect which is not exhibited by barbeloin⁹⁷.

Scutelleria baicalensis

Microbiota hydrolyse baicalin to baicalein which increase its bioavailability. Some pharmacological properties of baicalein, viz. anti-inflammatory, cytotoxicity for cancerous cells, anti-angiogenic potential are more than baicalin⁹⁸.

Citrus fruits

Rutin is a flavonoid glycoside found in many citrus fruits which is metabolized by the human intestinal microbiota into several metabolites, including quercetin-3-O-glucoside, quercetin, the aglycone and 3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid⁹⁹. Similarly, hesperidine present in the variety of orange is primarily metabolized by gut microbiota into hesperetin which in turn exhibits enhanced cytotoxic activity in cancerous cells¹⁰⁰.

Naringenin from grapes metabolizing in naringin inhibits hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA (HMG-CoA) reductase. It also induces antioxidant enzymes, such as hepatic superoxide dismutase and glutathione peroxidase, which leads to reduction of hepatic cholesterol and lipid peroxidation¹⁰¹.

Therefore, gut microbiota initiated pharmacokinetics and toxicological changes of drugs should be considered in future studies. It is also essential to accomplish the exact characterization of metabolites produced by the gut microbiota because these differ from those produced by hepatic metabolism. Finally, regulation of the gut microbiota may be a good target for enhancing the pharmacological effects of drugs.

Future scope

In spite of such extensive research (both *in vitro* and *in vivo*) related to gut microbiota, there are still deficient data regarding the exact mechanism of action of medicinal plants and also dietary components. This is still a big question related to the collective fate of metabolism of diet along with drugs which are often consumed. It can pave the way to unveil the role of aahara (diet) and aushadha (medicine) abiding by the principles of pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics of dravya (drugs) in Ayurveda.

The different responses of drugs due to their varied metabolism by gut microbiota explain vividly the need to probe into the fundamental concept of agni, which in turn affects vipaka and its degrees. This ultimately reciprocates on drug utilization and excretion at biota level, which highlights the importance of sara (utilizing of necessary metabolites)—kitta vibhajan (elimination by converting into excretory products at minute level.)

Most studies focus on individual components/constituents may it be of drug or food interaction with indivi-

dual microbial communities. However, the very focus of Ayurveda resides upon the doctrine of holistic approach. Study designs which consider interface between whole drugs and not the isolates and consortium of gut microbiota will help further discover the fundamentals of the Indian traditional system of medicine.

1. Acharya, J. T. (ed.), *Sushruta samhita*. Commentary by Dalhana. Sutrasthana, Chap 4, Verse 7, Chaukhamba Sanskrit Sansthan, Varanasi, 2010, p. 18.
2. Possemiers, S., Bolca, S., Verstraete, W. and Heyerick, A., The intestinal microbiome: a separate organ inside the body with the metabolic potential to influence the bioactivity of botanicals. *Fitoterapia*, 2011, **82**(1), 53–66.
3. Acharya, J. T. (ed.), *Charak Samhita* with the Ayurved Dipika commentary, Sutrasthana, Chapter 11, Verse 35, Chaukhamba Krishnadas Academy, Varanasi, 2010, p. 74.
4. Acharya, J. T. (ed.), *Charak Samhita* with the Ayurved Dipika commentary, Sutrasthana, Chapter 30, Verse 36, Chaukhamba Krishnadas Academy, Varanasi, 2010, p. 190.
5. Acharya, J. T. (ed.), *Charak Samhita* with the Ayurved Dipika commentary, Vimanasthan, Chapter 2, Verse 4-6, Chaukhamba Krishnadas Academy, Varanasi, 2010, p. 238.
6. Dwivedi, L. (ed.), *Charak Samhita*, commentary of Chakrapani, Chikitsasthana, Chap 15, verse 5, Chaukhamba Prakashana, Varanasi, 2013, 1st edn, p. 510.
7. Dwivedi, L. (ed.), *Charak Samhita*, commentary of Chakrapani, Sutrasthana Chap 5, verse 3, Chaukhamba Prakashana, Varanasi, 2013, 1st edn, p. 127.
8. Acharya, J. T. (ed.), *Charak Samhita* with the Ayurved Dipika commentary, Sutrasthana, Chapter 6, Chaukhamba Krishnadas Academy, Varanasi, 2010, pp. 44–49.
9. Acharya, J. T. (ed.), *Charak Samhita* with the Ayurved Dipika commentary, Vimanasthan, Chapter 1, Verse 21(4), Chaukhamba Krishnadas Academy, Varanasi, 2010, pp. 235–236.
10. Acharya, J. T. (ed.), *Charak Samhita* with the Ayurved Dipika commentary, Sutrasthana, Chapter 29, verse 23, 24 and 42, Chaukhamba Krishnadas Academy, Varanasi, 2010, pp. 179–181.
11. Acharya, J. T. (ed.), *Charak Samhita* with the Ayurved Dipika commentary, Vimanasthan, Chapter 1, Verse 21, Chaukhamba Krishnadas Academy, Varanasi, 2010, p. 235.
12. Dwivedi, L. (ed.), *Charak Samhita*, commentary of Chakrapani, Chikitsasthana, Chap 15, verse 9-11, Chaukhamba Prakashana, Varanasi, 2013, 1st edn, p. 511.
13. Dwivedi, L. (ed.), *Charak Samhita*, commentary of Chakrapani, Sutrasthana, Chap 26, verse 66, Chaukhamba Prakashana, Varanasi, 2013, 1st edn, p. 499.
14. Paradkar, S. (ed.), *Ashtanga Hridaya*, Hemadry commentary, Sutrasthana, Chap 9, verse 20, Chaukhamba orientalia, Varanasi, 1982, 7th edn.
15. Sharma, H. (ed.), *Kashyapa Samhita*, Khila Sthana, Chap 4, verse 6, Chaukhamba Sanskrit Sansthana, Varanasi, 2013, p. 249.
16. Rajoka, M. S. R. *et al.*, Interaction between diet composition and gut microbiota and its impact on gastrointestinal tract health. *Food Sci. Hum. Wellness*, 2017, **6**, 121–130.
17. Kaitlyn, S., Rob, K., Sanivarapu, A., Shuji, O. and Jairam, K. P., Vanamala, ancient thali diet: gut microbiota, immunity, and health. *Yale J. Biol. Med.*, 2018, **91**, 177–184.
18. Brown, J. M. and Hazen, S., The gut microbial endocrinal organ: bacterially derived signals driving cardio-metabolic diseases. *Annu. Rev. Med.*, 2015, **66**, 343–359.
19. Acharya, J. T. (ed.), *Charak Samhita* with the Ayurved Dipika commentary, Chikitsasthana, Chapter 15, Verse 56, Chaukhamba Krishnadas Academy, Varanasi, 2010, p. 517.

20. Acharya, J. T. (ed.), *Charak Samhita* with the Ayurved Dipika commentary, Chikitsasthana, Chapter 15, Verse 13, Chaukhamba Krishnadas Academy, Varanasi, 2010, p. 513.
21. Jandhyala, S. M., Talukdar, R., Subramanyam, C., Vuyyuru, H., Sasikala, M. and Reddy, D. N., Role of the normal gut microbiota. *World J. Gastroenterol.*, 2015, **21**(29), 8787–8803.
22. John, W. P., *Metabolism of Steroids and other Lipids*, Elsevier's Integrated Review Biochemistry, 2012, vol. 2, pp. 89–98.
23. Hooper, L. V. and Macpherson, A. J., Immune adaptations that maintain homeostasis with the intestinal microbiota. *Nature Rev. Immunol.*, 2010, **10**, 159–169.
24. Holzer, P., Reichmann, F. and Farzi, A., Neuropeptide Y, peptide YY and pancreatic polypeptide in the gut–brain axis. *Neuropeptides*, 2012, **46**, 261–274.
25. Kristin, S., Steven, W. M., Olde, D., Martin, von, B., Frank, G. and Schaap, Interactions between bile salts, gut microbiota, and hepatic innate immunity. *Immunol. Rev.*, 2017, **279**, 23–35.
26. Acharya, J. T. (ed.), *Charak Samhita* with the Ayurved Dipika commentary, Vimanasthana, Chapter 5, Verse 7-8, Chaukhamba Krishnadas Academy, Varanasi, 2010, p. 250.
27. Benjamin, J., Marsland, A. T. and Eva, S. G., The gut–lung axis in respiratory disease. *Ann. Am. Thorac. Soc.*, 2015, **12**(2), S150–S156.
28. Kamada, N., Chen, G. Y., Inohara, N. and Núñez, G., Control of pathogens and pathobionts by the gut microbiota. *Nature Immunol.*, 2013, **14**(7), 685–690.
29. Tlaskalová-Hogenová *et al.*, The role of gut microbiota (commensal bacteria) and the mucosal barrier in the pathogenesis of inflammatory and autoimmune diseases and cancer: contribution of germ-free and gnotobiotic animal models of human diseases. *Cell. Mol. Immunol.*, 2011, **8**(2), 110–120; doi:10.1038/cmi.2010.67.
30. Acharya, J. T. (ed.), *Charak Samhita* with the Ayurved Dipika commentary, Sutrasthana, Chapter 30, Verse 14, Chaukhamba Krishnadas Academy, Varanasi, 2010, p. 185.
31. Backhed, F., In 99th Dahlem Conference on Infection, Inflammation and Chronic Inflammatory Disorders: The Normal Gut Microbiota in Health and Disease. *Clin. Exp. Immunol.*, 2010, **160**, 80–84.
32. Michael, E., Mendelsohn, Richard, H., Karas, The protective effects of estrogen on the cardiovascular system. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 1999, **340**, 1801–1811.
33. Acharya, J. T. (ed.), *Charak Samhita* with the Ayurved Dipika commentary, Chikitsasthana, Chapter 28, Verse 8-11, Chaukhamba Krishnadas Academy, Varanasi, 2010, p. 617.
34. Chalazonitis, A. *et al.*, Bone morphogenetic protein regulation of enteric neuronal phenotypic diversity: relationship to timing of cell cycle exit. *J. Comp. Neurol.*, 2008, **509**, 474–492.
35. Jane, A. F. and Karen, M. N., Gut–brain axis: how the microbiome influences anxiety and depression. *Trends Neurosci.*, 2013, **36**(5), 305–312.
36. Ozogul, F., Production of biogenic amines by *Morganella morganii*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Hafnia alvei* using a rapid HPLC method. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.*, 2004, **219**, 465–469.
37. Barrett, E., Ross, R. P., O'Toole, P. W., Fitzgerald, G. F. and Stanton, C., γ -Aminobutyric acid production by culturable bacteria from the human intestine. *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, 2012, **113**, 411–417.
38. Stanaszek, P. M., Snell, J. F. and O'Neill, J. J., Isolation, extraction, and measurement of acetylcholine from *Lactobacillus plantarum*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 1977, **34**, 237–239.
39. Acharya, J. T. (ed.), *Charak Samhita* with the Ayurved Dipika commentary, Chikitsasthana, Chapter 15, Verse 14-16, Chaukhamba Krishnadas Academy, Varanasi, 2010, p. 514.
40. Acharya, J. T. (ed.), *Sushruta Samhita*, Commentary by Dalhana, Chikitsasthana, Chap. 5, Verse 33, Chaukhamba Sanskrit Sansthan, Varanasi, 2010, p. 424.
41. Andrea, T. *et al.*, Aging gut microbiota at the cross-road between nutrition, physical frailty, and sarcopenia: is there a gut–muscle axis. *Nutrients*, 2017, 1303–1320.
42. De Sire, R. *et al.*, Skeletal muscle–gut axis: emerging mechanisms of sarcopenia for intestinal and extra intestinal diseases. *Minerva Gastroenterol. Dietol.*, 2018, **64**(4), 351–362.
43. Acharya, J. T. (ed.), *Charak Samhita* with the Ayurved Dipika commentary, Sharirasthana, Chapter 2, Verse 4-6, Chaukhamba Krishnadas Academy, Varanasi, 2010, p. 302.
44. Omry, K. *et al.*, Host remodeling of the gut microbiome and metabolic changes during pregnancy. *Cell*, 2012, **150**, 470–480.
45. Baker, J. M., Al-Nakkash, L. and Herbst-Kralovetz, M. M., Estrogen-gut microbiome axis: physiological and clinical implications. *Maturitas*, 2017, **103**, 45–53.
46. Hadar, N., Justine, W. D., Rob, K. and Omry, K., Microbial endocrinology: the interplay between the microbiota and the endocrine system, *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.*, 2015, **39**(4), 509–521; <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuu010>
47. Gill, S. R., Pop, M., Deboy, R. T., Eckburg, P. B., Turnbaugh, P. J. and Samuel, B. S., Metagenomic analysis of the human distal gut microbiome. *Science*, 2006, **312**(5778), 1355–1359.
48. Roberfroid, M. B., Bornet, F., Bouley, C. and Cummings, J. H., Colonic microflora: nutrition and health. Summary and conclusions of an International Life Sciences Institute (ILSI) [Europe] workshop held in Barcelona, Spain. *Nutr. Rev.*, 1995, **53**(5), 127–130.
49. Rakoff-Nahoum, S. and Medzhitov, R., Innate immune recognition of the indigenous microbial flora. *Mucosal Immunol.*, 2008, **1**(Suppl 1), S10–S14.
50. Acharya, J. T. (ed.), *Charak Samhita* with the Ayurved Dipika commentary, Sutrasthana, Chapter 21, Verse 5-27, Chaukhamba Krishnadas Academy, Varanasi, 2010, pp. 116–117.
51. Ley, R. E., Obesity and the human microbiome. *Curr. Opin. Gastroenterol.*, 2010, **26**, 5–11.
52. Turnbaugh, P. J., Ley, R. E., Mahowald, M. A., Magrini, V., Mardis, E. R. and Gordon, J. I., An obesity-associated gut microbiome with increased capacity for energy harvest. *Nature*, 2006, **444**(7122), 1027–1031.
53. Othman, A. B., Mazin, A. Z., Ibrahim, T., Jehad, A. and Abu-Farha, M., The role of gut microbiota in the development of obesity and diabetes. *Lipids Health Dis.*, 2016, **15**(108), 1–8.
54. Paradkar, S. (ed.), *Ashanga Hridayam*, Arundatta commentary, Edition 7, Nidanasthana, Chap 10, Verse 7, Chaukhambha orientalia, Varanasi, 1982, p. 503.
55. Acharya, J. T. (ed.), *Sushruta Samhita*, Dalhana commentary, Nidanasthana, Chap. 6, verse 4-5, Chaukhambha Krishnadas Academy, Varanasi, Reprint 2014, 1st edn, p. 290.
56. Paradkar, S. (ed.), *Ashanga Hridayam*, Arundatta commentary, Sutrasthana, Chap 11, verse 5, Chaukhambha orientalia, Varanasi, 1982, 7th edn, p. 183.
57. Acharya, J. T. (ed.), *Sushruta Samhita*, Dalhana commentary, Nidanasthana, Chap 3, verse 21, Chaukhambha Krishnadas Academy, Varanasi, Reprint 2014, 1st edn, p. 279.
58. Tripathi, H. (ed.), *Vangasena Hridayam*, Prameha Rogadhikar, Verse 42, Chaukhambha Sanskrit Series, Varanasi, 2009, 1st edn, p. 181.
59. Suresh Babu, M. S. (ed.), *Chakradutta*, Chap 35, verse 6 & 59, Chaukhambha Krishna Das Academy, Varanasi, 2012, 1st edn, p. 273, 279.
60. Burcelin, R., Gut microbiota and immune crosstalk in metabolic disease. *Mol. Metab.*, 2016, **5**(9), 771–781.
61. Pedersen, H. K. *et al.*, MetaHIT Consortium human gut microbes impact host serum metabolome and insulin sensitivity. *Nature*, 2016, **535**(7612), 376–381.
62. Larsen, N., Vogense, F., Van den Berg, F., Nielsen, D., Andreasen, A. and Pedersen, B., Gut microbiota in human adults with type 2 diabetes differs from non-diabetic adults. *PLoS ONE*, 2010, **5**, e9085, 1–10.

63. Acharya, J. T. (ed.), *Charak Samhita* with the Ayurved Dipika commentary, Sutrasthana, Chapter 23, Verse 3-7. Chaukhamba Krishnadas Academy, Varanasi, 2010, p. 122.
64. Marchesi, J. R. *et al.*, The gut microbiota and host health: a new clinical frontier. *Gut*, 2015, **65**, 330–339; doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2015-309990.
65. Nicolas, S. *et al.*, Transfer of dysbiotic gut microbiota has beneficial effects on host liver metabolism. *Mol. Syst. Biol.*, 2017, **13**(921), 1–17; doi:10.15252/msb.20167356.
66. Acharya, J. T. (ed.), *Sushruta Samhita*, Dalhana commentary, Uttartantra, Chap 40, verse 139-140, Chaukhamba Krishnadas Academy, Varanasi, Reprint 2014, 1st edn, p. 706.
67. Acharya, J. T. (ed.), *Sushruta Samhita*. Dalhana commentary. Uttartantra. Chap.43. verse 2-4. Chaukhamba Krishnadas Academy. Varanasi, Reprint 2014, 1st edn, p. 727.
68. Scotti, E. *et al.*, Exploring the microbiome in health and disease: Implications for toxicology. *Toxicol. Res. Appl.*, 2017, **1**, 1–37.
69. Bennett, B. J. *et al.*, Trimethylamine-*N*-oxide, a metabolite associated with atherosclerosis exhibits complex genetic and dietary regulation. *Cell Metab.*, 2013, **17**, 49–60.
70. Pragman, A. A. *et al.*, The lung microbiome in moderate and severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *PLoS ONE*, 2012, **7**, e47305.
71. Cameron, S. J. *et al.*, Metagenomic sequencing of the chronic obstructive pulmonary disease upper bronchial tract microbiome reveals functional changes associated with disease severity. *PLoS ONE*, 2016, **11**, e0149095.
72. Lad, V., Svoboda, R. E., O'Connor, S., Luna, C. and Curry, K., *Textbook of Ayurveda: Volume 1 – Fundamental Principles of Ayurveda*, Ayurvedic Press, United States, 2002.
73. Lee, H. U. *et al.*, Host–microbiome interactions: the aryl hydrocarbon receptor and the central nervous system. *J. Mol. Med.*, 2017, **95**, 29–39.
74. Rosenfeld, C. S., Microbiome disturbances and autism spectrum disorders. *Drug Metab. Dis. Biol. Fate Chem.*, 2015, **43**, 1557–1571.
75. Rodrigues-Amorim, D. *et al.*, The role of the gut microbiota in schizophrenia: current and future perspectives. *World J. Biol. Psychiatry*, 2018, 1–15.
76. Vogt, N. M. *et al.*, Gut microbiome alterations in Alzheimer's disease. *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**(1), 1–11.
77. Winek, K., Dirnagl, U. and Meisel, A., The gut microbiome as therapeutic target in central nervous system diseases: implications for stroke. *Neurotherapeutics*, 2016, **13**, 762–774.
78. Ram Manohar, P., Descriptions and classification of cancer in the classical Ayurvedic texts. *Indian J. Hist. Sci.*, 2015, **50**(2), 187–195; doi:10.16943/ijhs/2015/v50i2/48234.
79. Sobhani, I. *et al.*, Microbial dysbiosis in colorectal cancer (CRC) patients. *PLoS ONE*, 2011, **6**(1), e16393.
80. Dias-Jácome, E., Libânio, D., Borges-Canha, M., Galaghar, A. and Pimentel-Nunes, P., Gastric microbiota and carcinogenesis: the role of non-*Helicobacter pylori* bacteria – a systematic review. *Rev. Esp. Enferm. Dig.*, 2016, **108**, 530–540.
81. Michaud, D. S. and Izard, J., Microbiota, oral microbiome, and pancreatic cancer. *Cancer J.*, 2014, **20**, 203–206.
82. Stojančević, M., Bojić, G., Salami, H. A. and Mikov, M., The influence of intestinal tract and probiotics on the fate of orally administered drugs. *Curr. Issues Mol. Biol.*, 2013, **16**(2), 55–68.
83. Patterson, A. D. and Turnbaugh, P. J., Microbial determinants of biochemical individuality and their impact on toxicology and pharmacology. *Cell Metab.*, 2014, **20**(5), 761–768.
84. Carding, S., Verbeke, K., Vipond, D. T., Corfe, B. M. and Owen, L. J., Dysbiosis of the gut microbiota in disease. *Microbial ecology in health and disease. Microb. Ecol. Health Dis.*, 2015, **26**(1), 1–9.
85. Overvik, E., Lindeskog, P., Midtvedt, T. and Gustafsson, J. A., Mutagen excretion and cytochrome P-450-dependent activity in germfree and conventional rats fed a diet containing fried meat. *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 1990, **28**, 253–261.
86. Gingell, R., Bridges, J. W. and Williams, R. T., The role of the gut flora in the metabolism of prontosil and neoprontosil in the rat. *Xenobiotica*, 1971, **1**(2), 143–156.
87. Noh, K. *et al.*, Impact of gut microbiota on drug metabolism: an update for safe and effective use of drugs. *Arch. Pharm. Res.*, 2017, 1–11.
88. Conly, J. M., Stein, K., Worobetz, L. and Rutledge-Harding, S., The contribution of vitamin K 2 (menaquinones) produced by the intestinal microflora to human nutritional requirements for vitamin K. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.*, 1994, **89**(6), 915–923.
89. Lee, S. H., An, J. H., Lee, H. J. and Jung, B. H., Evaluation of pharmacokinetic differences of acetaminophen in pseudo germ-free rats. *Biopharm. Drug Dispos.*, 2012, **33**, 292–303.
90. Matuskova, Z., Anzenbacherova, E., Vecera, R., Tlaskalova-Hogenova, H., Kolar, M. and Anzenbacher, P., Administration of a probiotic can change drug pharmacokinetics: effect of *E. coli* Nissle 1917 on amidarone absorption in rats. *PLoS ONE*, 2014, **9**, e87150.
91. Saitta, K. S., Zhang, C., Lee, K. K., Fujimoto, K., Redinbo, M. R. and Boelsterli, U. A., Bacterial beta-glucuronidase inhibition protects mice against enteropathy induced by indomethacin, ketoprofen or diclofenac: mode of action and pharmacokinetics. *Xenobiotica*, 2014, **44**, 28–35.
92. Duda-Chodak, A., Tarko, T., Satora, P. and Sroka, P., Interaction of dietary compounds, especially polyphenols, with the intestinal microbiota: a review. *Eur. J. Nutr.*, 2015, **54**, 325–341.
93. Rechner, A. R. *et al.*, Colonic metabolism of dietary polyphenols: influence of structure on microbial fermentation products. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.*, 2004, **36**, 212–225.
94. Kim, D.-H., *et al.*, Biotransformation of glycyrrhizin by human intestinal bacteria and its relation to biological activities. *Arch. Pharm. Res.*, 2000, **23**(2), 172.
95. Xu, R., Peng, Y., Wang, M., Fan, L. and Li, X., Effects of broad-spectrum antibiotics on the metabolism and pharmacokinetics of ginsenoside Rb1: a study on rats gut microflora influenced by lincomycin. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 2014, **158**, 338–344.
96. Lin, Y. J. *et al.*, *Puerariae radix* isoflavones and their metabolites inhibit growth and induce apoptosis in breast cancer cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 2009, **378**, 683–688.
97. Akao, T. *et al.*, A purgative action of barbaloin is induced by *Eubacterium* sp. strain BAR, a human intestinal anaerobe, capable of transforming barbaloin to aloe-emodin anthrone. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.*, 1996, **19**, 136–138.
98. Jung, M. A. *et al.*, The role of intestinal microflora in anti-inflammatory effect of baicalin in mice. *Biomol. Ther.*, 2012, **20**, 36–42.
99. Yang, J. *et al.*, Identification of rutin deglycosylated metabolites produced by human intestinal bacteria using UPLC–Q-TOF/MS. *J. Chromatogr. B*, 2012, **898**, 95–100.
100. Jin, M. J. *et al.*, Effects of gut microflora on pharmacokinetics of hesperidin: a study on non-antibiotic and pseudo-germ-free rats. *J. Toxicol. Environ. Health A*, 2010, **73**, 1441–1450.
101. Jeon, S. M. *et al.*, Hypocholesterolemic and antioxidative effects of naringenin and its two metabolites in high-cholesterol fed rats. *Transl. Res.*, 2007, **149**, 15–21.

Received 18 July 2019; revised accepted 25 May 2020

doi: 10.18520/cs/v119/i3/451-461