

Indexed in Scopus Compendex and Geobase Elsevier, Geo-Ref Information Services-USA, List B of Scientific Journals, Poland, Directory of Research Journals International Journal of Earth Sciences and Engineering

ISSN 0974-5904, Volume 09, No. 02

The Short Exposure effect of CeO₂ Nanoparticles on Microbial Metabolism in Agricultural Soil

HANKUI CHAI¹, JUN YAO¹, MIJIA ZHU¹ AND BRUNELLO CECCANTI²

¹School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing, China ²Institute of Ecosystem Studies (ISE), Italian National Research Council (CNR), Pisa, Italy **Email:** yaojun@ustb.edu.cn

Abstract: With the development of nanotechnology, the soil ecosystem is becoming increasingly exposed to engineered nanoparticles (NPs). Few studies thus far have reported the susceptibility of soil microorganisms to NPs. The aim of this work is to understand the effects of CeO₂ NPs on microorganism activity and to evaluate the nanotoxicity in agricultural ecosystems. We incubated soil samples contaminated with CeO₂ NPs at concentrations of 0, 0.5, 5, 50 mg kg⁻¹ for 30 days. We quantified the toxic effect of CeO₂ NPs on soil metabolism by combining microcalorimetry with specific enzymatic tests (urease, catalase and fluorescein diacetate hydrolase, FDA) and azobacter counting. The thermodynamic parameters obtained from the powertime curves show reductions of total heat output, Q_{total} , and peak heat output, P_{max} , with increasing CeO₂ NP concentration. This finding reveals that CeO₂ NPs are toxic to the metabolism of microbial populations in soil. Similar tests with urease, catalase and FDA, exhibited similar negative concentration relationships, thus providing further evidence to support the microcalorimetric results. Furthermore, the soil diazotroph group is particularly sensitive to CeO₂ NPs concentrations of 5 and 50 mg kg⁻¹, indicating disturbance of N cycling. In conclusion, these results indicate that CeO₂ NPs become bioavailable for microorganisms in soil, thereby exerting toxic effects on metabolism activity and the azobacter group.

Keywords: CeO₂ NPs, Soil microorganism metabolism, Enzyme activity, Toxicity

1. Introduction

Nanotechnology has the potential to dramatically promote and improve agricultural production in application of nano-fertilizer, nano-pesticide, nanoherbicide. It has previously been shown that engineered nanoparticles (NPs) are inevitably introduced into soil matrix through biosolids [1]. However, there are currently large uncertainties associated with the knowledge of fate and behavior in agricultural systems. Moreover, estimation of environmental contaminants is challenging, because grave damage to nontarget organisms is hard to diagnose and control [2]. Phytotoxicity results have shown that CeO₂ NPs affect various plant metabolism including seed germination, photosynthesis rate, chlorophyll content, food quality and genotoxicity [3-7]. Recent studies have shown that these particles exhibit an extremely negative effect through a bioluminescence test [8], and are capable of altering the protein and polysaccharide structure of extracellular polymeric substances in Sinorhizobium *meliloti* [9]. The bacteriostatic effects of CeO₂ NPs necessitate understanding toxicity of CeO₂ NPs on microorganisms in the soil environment, because the bioavailability of NPs may depend on their retention and soil properties. However, knowledge of the fate and behavior of CeO₂ NPs in the soil environment is still very limited. A previous study revealed that dissolution of CeO₂ NPs is low in soil at pH values of 7 and 9 [10]. It can be considered that CeO₂ NPs will

remain stable in the soil environment for an extended period of time. It was reported that free Ce were found in soil contaminated with CeO_2 NPs, indicating it may be up taken by microorganisms [11].

Any change in microorganisms that involve organic decomposition, nutriment cycling, plant protection and symbiosis can influence soil health and fertility [12]. Soil health can be indicated by the abundance and activity of the microbial community. Soil fertility is crucial for plant growth and food production since most food consumed by humans and animals is grown in soil [13]. However, few studies have assessed the impact of CeO₂ NPs on the susceptibility of functional microorganism such as azobacter in soil. Azobacter promotes plant growth and benefits nitrogen cycling in soils, and may be used to evaluate of nanotoxicity on microbial biodiversity and community structure conservation [14]. This motivates the need to better understand the behavior of CeO₂ NPs in soil and their interactions with microorganisms especially under intensive cultivation. The purpose of this work is to provide new data to understand the effect of CeO_2 NPs on microorganisms in arable soil. The experiments presented in this work identify the potential toxicity of CeO2 NPs on azobacter and quantify overall soil microbial activity, including the metabolism of thermogenesis and soil enzyme The thermodynamic technique activities. in conjunction with other specific bio-tests have proven be useful in measuring the toxicity of to

environmental hazardous pollutants on soil microbial metabolism [15, 16]. Soil enzyme activities are excellent indicators of soil microbial function and nutrient cycling [17]. As such, this study focuses on investigating the toxicity of CeO_2 NPs on microbial metabolism in arable soil. We systematically measure the metabolic thermogenic flux, enzyme activities (urease, catalase, fluorescein diacetate hydrolase) and number of soil azobacter as markers of soil fertility and soil health.

2. Material and methods:

2.1. Experiment design

This study makes use of uncontaminated soil collected from arable maize cropland in Hebei Province of China ($38^{\circ}46'51''$, $115^{\circ}33'36''$). Soil samples were collected at a depth of 5-10 cm after removal of the top surface layer. Samples were passed through a 2 mm sieve to separate roots and large particles. The physicochemical properties of are: pH 7.46, organic matter content 16.8 g kg⁻¹, total N 0.82 mg kg⁻¹, available P 13.3 mg kg⁻¹ and available K 96.43 mg kg⁻¹.

The CeO₂ NPs was with a purity >99.9% and surface area of 50-60 m² g⁻¹. Transmission electron microscopy was used to verify that CeO2 NPs have an average particle size of 10-20 nm (Figure 1). Before addition to the test-microcosm, CeO2 NPs were diluted in distilled water and sonicated for 30 min to achieve a homogeneous mixture [18]. Each microcosm consists of 100 g of soil in sterile plastic bottle. These CeO₂ NP suspensions were dropped into the soil and stirred for at least 35 min to achieve concentrations of 0.5, 5.0 and 50.0 mg kg⁻¹, respectively. A microcosm free of CeO₂ NPs was used as the control. All treatments were prepared in triplicate. After adding the CeO₂ NPs, the soil was incubated at 25 °C for 30 days. The soil water content was then adjusted to 70% of water holding capacity.

2.2. Metabolism of soil microorganisms

The TAM III multi-channel microcalorimeter (TA instruments, New Castle, DE, USA) was used measure the metabolism of microorganism in soil after one month of incubation. This microcalorimeter was equipped with 12 channels allowing continuous monitoring of the microbial metabolism activity. The 4.5 mL stainless steel ampoules were sterilized before being used in the experiment. Every measurement of thermal metabolism was carried out in ampoules containing 1.0 g of soil and 200 μ L of solution containing 5.0 mg of glucose and 5.0 mg of ammonium sulfate to support the growth of soil microorganism [19]. In the exponential growth phase, the relationship between microbial number (*n*) and growth rate constant (*k*) follows the equation [20].

$$n = n_0 e^{kt}$$

Where n_0 is the initial soil microorganism number and k is the growth rate constant. Heat production, Q, evolving in the ampoule under limited nutrition source follows the same relationship above [19,21,22].

$$Q = Q_0 e^{kt} \tag{2}$$

Similarly, the thermal power output, p_t , which is equal to the first time derivative of Q, also obeys the same kinetics:

$$p_t = p_0 e^{kt}; \quad \ln p_t = \ln p_0 + kt$$
 (3)

If the NPs have a toxic effect, they would reduce microbial biomass and this effect would be reflected by a smaller value of k. We calculate the total thermal effect, Q, the microbial growth rate constant, k, and the peak-time value, P_{max} at time T_{max} , from powertime curves for all curves. These parameters can be used as indices to evaluate how fast glucose is decomposed by soil microbes because metabolic rate is proportional to glucose degradation rate [23, 24].

2.3. Soil enzyme activity and azobacter counting

The activity of urease is measured using colorimetric analysis of ammonium released from urea hydrolysis. In this procedure, 2.5 g of soil samples added in 50 mL Erlenmeyer flasks were mixed with 0.5 mL toluene for 15 min. Then, 2.5 mL of 10% urea and 5 mL of citrate buffer (pH 6.7) were added to the samples and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. After incubation, the mixtures were diluted to 50 mL with 37 °C distilled water and oscillated thoroughly. 3 mL filtrate was transferred into a 50 mL volumetric flask, to which 10 mL of distilled water, 3 mL of 1.35 mol L⁻¹ sodium phenate and 3 mL sodium hypochlorite (active chlorine 0.9%) were added. Urea hydrolysis was subsequently determined by absorbance of the supernatant at 578 nm.

Catalase activity was determined by back-titrating residual H_2O_2 with 0.1 mol L⁻¹ KMnO₄ solution [25]. A 40 mL aliquot of distilled water and 5 mL of 0.3% H_2O_2 were added to 5 g of soil. The mixture was shaken for 30 min, and 5 mL of 1.5 mol L⁻¹ H_2SO_4 was then added to terminate the reaction. A 25 mL aliquot of the filtered solution was titrated with 0.01 mol L⁻¹ KMnO₄. An identical mixture without the inclusion of H_2O_2 was used as the control.



Figure 1. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of CeO₂ NPs

Fluorescein diacetate (3', 6'-diacetylfluorescein; FDA) hydrolase was carried out at 490 nm as absorption of the hydrolysis product fluorescein following [26]. A 5 g sample of soil and 15 mL of 60 mM Potassium dihydrogen phosphate buffer (pH 7.6) were added to a 50 mL Erlenmeyer flask and shaken at 30°C. After 30 min, 0.2 mL of 1000 μ g ml⁻¹ of FDA solution was added, and the suspension was shaken for an additional 30 min. The reaction was terminated by adding a 15 mL of 2:1 chloroform/methanol mixture. The suspension was centrifuged, and the absorbance of the supernatant was measured at 490 nm.

Soil samples (5.00 g) were placed in Erlenmeyer flasks containing sterile water and shaken (180 rpm, 30 min). This was followed by continuous dilutions for plate counting. Viable counts of cultural azobacter were performed on Ashby Mannitol Phosphate Agar (mannitol 10 g, KH₂PO₄ 0.2 g, NaCl 0.2 g, MgSO₄·7H₂O 0.2 g, CaCO₃ 5 g, CaSO₄ 0.1 g, agar 15 g L⁻¹, pH7.0), and incubated at 28°C for 7 days. All bioassays were conducted with materials that are in accordance with national and institutional guidelines for the protection of human subjects and animal welfare.

2.4. Data analysis

SPSS 13.0 (SPSS International, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Differences between each treatment and the control were tested by One-Way ANOVA. The Duncan test was applied to determine significant differences at the level p<0.05. Correlation analyses (p<0.05) between the parameters were performed with two-tailed Pearson tests.

3. Results and discussion:

3.1. The effect of CeO₂ NPs on metabolic activity

Figure 2 shows the thermograms of soil samples spiked with various concentrations CeO₂ NPs. Over the course of 40 h, soil heat effluxes exhibit a similar pattern, reaching a peak after ~10 h and declining gradually thereafter. However, the curves differ in their growth characteristics. Namely, the height of each peak decreases with increasing concentration of CeO₂ NPs. The microcalorimetric parameters of soil at different CeO₂ NP concentrations are shown in Table 1. The global maxima (P_{max}) occur at earlier times (T_{max}) for samples contaminated with higher CeO₂ NPs concentrations, with the control exhibiting its peak at the latest time. All differences are significant (p < 0.05). It is also noteworthy that P_{max} values decrease with increasing concentrations of CeO₂ NPs; P_{max} of the control is as high as 913.04 μ W (curve a), exceeding the treatment of 50 mg/kg CeO₂ NPs by 249.71µW (curve d).



Figure 2. Thermogenesis metabolism curves of soil microorganism spiked with CeO₂ NPs. (a) control; (b) 0.5 mg kg⁻¹; (c) 5 mg kg⁻¹; (d) 50 mg kg⁻¹

These results clearly indicate that agricultural soil contaminated with CeO₂ NPs produce substantial changes to the metabolic activity of soil microorganisms. This is implied by the decrease in the total heat evolution, Q_{total} , with increasing concentrations of CeO₂ NPs (p<0.05). Since the same amounts of glucose and ammonia sulphate were added to each ampoule, differences in the inhibition of metabolic activity can be solely attributed to the toxicity of CeO₂ NPs.

In the microenvironment of ampoules, oxygen and nutrients are limiting factors for the growth and reproduction of microorganisms. At the biochemical level, heat output from soil samples show a 1:1 molar relationship with substrate addition. For this reason, the Q_{total} can represent the apparent degradation of the substrate. Therefore, Q_{total} can be considered a reliable indicator expressing minor changes of many microbial populations in response to various environmental stress factors. The change of the growth rate constant and the maximum heat production rate of each experiment indicated that CeO₂ NPs are capable of changing the ecological niche of soil microorganisms. Several comparisons of the kinetic parameters suggest that CeO₂ NPs have a major effect on soil microbial activity. Thermogenesis involves many enzymes that drive glycolysis, the Krebs cycle and electron transport train. This variability in the microbial activity of soil might be caused by direct oxidative stress on enzymes or released metal ions from nanoparticles [27].

 Table 1. Microcalorimetric parameters of soil treated
 with different concentration of CeO2 NPs

Dose	$Q_{ m total}$ a	$T_{\rm max}{}^{\rm b}$	$P_{\rm max}^{\rm c}$	k ^d
(mg kg ⁻¹)	(J g ⁻¹)	(h)	(µW)	(h^{-1})
Control	35.63	11.69	913.04	0.51
	±1.32 A	±0.120 A	±4.58 A	±0.125 A
0.5	22.63	11.28	794.05	0.46
	±1.26 B	±0.098 B	±3.69 B	±0.095 A
5	20.20	11.35	789.21	0.47
	±2.16 B	±0.085 B	±5.25 B	±0.147 A
50	18.58	10.13	663.33	0.50
	±1.65 C	±0.124 C	±7.27 C	±0.084 A

Data are means of three replicates \pm SD (standard deviation), cluster labeled with the different alphabet indicates statically significant difference (p<0.05, Duncan test)

^a The total heat output calculated from the Power-time curve.

^b The time to reach the maximum of the peak.

^c The power at the maximum of the peak.

^d The microbial growth rate constant.

3.2. The effect of CeO₂ NPs on soil enzyme activities

Urease, catalase and FDA hydrolase activities for soil contaminated with CeO₂ NPs for one month are shown in Figure 3. Urease activity is widely distributed in soil and approximately 17-77% of bacteria and 78-98% of fungi in soil have the capacity to catalyze the conversion of urea and amine to ammonia. In agreement with the results shown for the thermograms, Fig. 3 shows that lower urease activity is associated with higher CeO₂ NPs concentrations. It is well known that catalase accounts for biodiversity in soil environment. CeO2 NPs affect soil catalase activity. By contrast, FDA was statistically lower than the control at all CeO₂ NPs concentrations. FDA is a good indicator of overall soil microbial activity as it is involved in metabolic processes of organic matter transformation in order to gain energy for the growth of microbes. Soil enzyme activities are often used as direct indicators of soil metabolic demand and fertility. It has been suggested that maintaining critical functions may ultimately be more important than maintaining taxonomic diversity in soil microbial communities [28]. Reduction in soil enzyme activity through toxic contamination effects on soil micro flora, are a reliable indicator of the current microbial biological state. A bacterial cell usually contains approximately 1000 different enzymes, many of which are associated with the cell membrane. Thus, one possible reason for this reduction could be CeO₂ NP-induced cell membrane damage [29]. ZnO NPs can also inhibit soil protease, catalase, dehydrogenase, phosphatase and peroxidase activities [17, 25].





Figure 3. Enzyme activities and the colony forming unit of soil treated with CeO₂ NPs

3.3. Viable counting of soil diazotroph

Soil diazotrophs are important functional bacteria in nitrogen enrichment for plant growth as promoters of nitrogen fixation and stimulators of the N-cycle in soils, and are also good proxies for assessing soil ecosystem fertility and soil health. It was estimated that soil azobacters can provide 10-50% of the total N requirement for the growth of wheat [30, 31]. Furthermore, these bacteria may produce phytohormones, phosphorous for plant growth to promote nutrient uptake and improve water conditions and stress resistance to plant pathogens [32, 33]. We measured the abundance of azobacter via Ashby agar counting (Figure 3). The colony forming unit (CFU) of diazotroph in the control was greater than 0.5 mg kg⁻¹ CeO₂ NPs, 5 mg kg⁻¹ CeO₂ NPs and 50 mg kg⁻¹ CeO₂ NPs. Significant reduction was only observed in soil contaminated with 5 mg kg⁻¹ and 50 mg kg⁻¹ of CeO_2 NPs relative to the control (p < 0.05).

The number of diazotroph correlates positively with the thermal metabolism parameters and enzyme activities. Our results indicated a tight link between thermogenesis and microorganism activity. Many studies reported that soil enzyme activities are positively correlated with microbes counts [34]. Certain microorganisms are more susceptible to the stress of CeO₂ NPs than others. Agricultural soil contaminated with ZnO and TiO₂ NPs resulted in a decrease of N-fixation capacity of the microorganism, *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* [35]. Many results also reported other NPs exhibit toxic effects on N-cycling bacteria and N-fixation rate of aquatic organism [36, 37].

4. Conclusion:

In summary, the present data show that CeO_2 NPs potentially have negative effects on the microorganism activity of agricultural soil. We employed calorimetry and indicators of soil fertility, i.e., urease, catalase, FDA, and azobacter to develop an efficient and fast screening assay. Our results successfully demonstrated that the toxic effect of

CeO₂ NPs strongly depends on their concentration. We also used thermodynamic parameters as reliable indicators to reflect the negative effect of CeO₂ NPs on soil microorganism activity. Analysis of the three soil activities, can allow us to better understand how CeO₂ NPs may interfere with soil fertility. We find that soil diazotroph is very sensitive to CeO₂ NPs at concentrations of 5 and 50 mg kg⁻¹. Further assays need to be conducted to test the susceptibility and diversity of key bacteria groups such as rhizobium, ammonifier, nitrifier, etc. These functional bacteria can pave the way for improved knowledge of the ramifications of nanotoxicity on nutrient cycling and ecological service.

5. Acknowledgments:

Financial supports from the International Joint Key Project of the Chinese Ministry of Science and Technology (2010DFB23160), International Joint Key Project of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (40920134003), National Natural Science Foundation of China (41273092), Overseas, Hong Kong and Macau Young Scholars Collaborative Research Fund (41328005), and National Outstanding Youth Research Foundation of China (40925010) are gratefully acknowledged.

References:

- [1] V. Shah, J. Jones, J. Dickman, S. Greenman, Response of soil bacterial community to metal nanoparticles in biosolids. *J Hazard Mater*, 274, 399-403, 2014.
- [2] A. Balmford, Pollution, politics, and vultures. *Science*, 339, 653-654, 2013.
- [3] L. Zhao, B. Peng, J. A. Hernandez-Viezcas, C. Rico, Y. Sun, J. R. Peralta-Videa, X. Tang, G. Niu, L. Jin, A. Varela-Ramirez, Stress response and tolerance of *Zea mays* to CeO₂ nanoparticles: Cross talk among H₂O₂, heat shock protein, and lipid peroxidation. *ACS nano*, 6, 9615-9622, 2012.
- [4] L. Zhao, J. R. Peralta-Videa, A. Varela-Ramirez, H. Castillo-Michel, C. Li, J. Zhang, R. J. Aguilera, A. A. Keller, J. L. Gardea-Torresdey, Effect of surface coating and organic matter on the uptake of CeO₂ NPs by corn plants grown in soil: Insight into the uptake mechanism. J Hazard Mater, 225-226, 131-138, 2012.
- [5] L. Zhao, Y. Sun, J. A. Hernandez-Viezcas, A. D. Servin, J. Hong, G. Niu, J. R. Peralta-Videa, M. Duarte-Gardea, J. L. Gardea-Torresdey, Influence of CeO₂ and ZnO nanoparticles on cucumber physiological markers and bioaccumulation of Ce and Zn: A life cycle study. *J Agric Food Chem*, 61, 11945-11951, 2013.
- [6] J. Hong, J. R. Peralta-Videa, C. Rico, S. Sahi, M. N. Viveros, J. Bartonjo, L. Zhao, J. L. Gardea-Torresdey, Evidence of translocation and physiological impacts of foliar applied CeO₂

nanoparticles on cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) plants. *Environ Sci Technol*, 48, 4376-85, 2014.

- [7] M. L. López-Moreno, G. de la Rosa, J. Á. Hernández-Viezcas, H. Castillo-Michel, C. E. Botez, J. R. Peralta-Videa, J. L. Gardea-Torresdey, Evidence of the differential biotransformation and genotoxicity of ZnO and CeO₂ nanoparticles on soybean (*Glycine max*) plants. *Environ Sci Technol*, 44, 7315-7320, 2010.
- [8] A. García, R. Espinosa, L. Delgado, E. Casals, E. González, V. Puntes, C. Barata, X. Font, A. Sánchez, Acute toxicity of cerium oxide, titanium oxide and iron oxide nanoparticles using standardized tests. *Desalination*, 269, 136-141, 2011.
- [9] S. Bandyopadhyay, J. R. Peralta-Videa, G. Plascencia-Villa, M. José-Yacamán, J. L. Gardea-Torresdey, Comparative toxicity assessment of CeO₂ and ZnO nanoparticles towards *Sinorhizobium meliloti*, a symbiotic alfalfa associated bacterium: Use of advanced microscopic and spectroscopic techniques. *J Hazard Mater*, 241–242, 379-386, 2012.
- [10] G. Cornelis, B. Ryan, M. J. McLaughlin, J. K. Kirby, D. Beak, D. Chittleborough, Solubility and batch retention of CeO₂ nanoparticles in soils. *Environ Sci Technol*, 45, 2777-82, 2011.
- [11] L. Vittori Antisari, S. Carbone, A. Gatti, G. Vianello, P. Nannipieri, Toxicity of metal oxide (CeO₂, Fe₃O₄, SnO₂) engineered nanoparticles on soil microbial biomass and their distribution in soil. *Soil Biol Biochem*, 60, 87-94, 2013.
- [12] V. Shah, D. Collins, V. K. Walker, S. Shah, The impact of engineered cobalt, iron, nickel and silver nanoparticles on soil bacterial diversity under field conditions. *Environ Res Lett*, 9, 1-6, 2014.
- [13] I. L. Pepper, The soil health-human health nexus. *Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol*, 43, 2617-2652, 2013.
- [14] R. Dinesh, M. Anandaraj, V. Srinivasan, S. Hamza, Engineered nanoparticles in the soil and their potential implications to microbial activity. *Geoderma*, 173–174, 19-27, 2012.
- [15] K. Zhang, J. Du, X. Liu, H. Zhang, Molten salt flow and heat transfer in paddle heat exchangers. *Int J Heat Technol*, 34, S43-S50, 2016.
- [16] N. Giannetti, A. Rocchetti, K. Saito, Thermodynamic optimization of three-thermal irreversible systems. *Int J Heat Technol*, 34, S3-S90, 2016.
- [17] S. Kim, J. Kim, I. Lee, Effects of Zn and ZnO nanoparticles and Zn^{2+} on soil enzyme activity and bioaccumulation of Zn in *Cucumis sativus*. *Chem Ecol*, 27, 49-55, 2011.
- [18] H. Chai, J. Yao, J. Sun, C. Zhang, W. Liu, M. Zhu, B. Ceccanti, The Effect of Metal Oxide Nanoparticles on Functional Bacteria and

Metabolic Profiles in Agricultural Soil. *Bull of environ contam toxicol*, 94, 490-495, 2015.

- [19] F. Wang, J. Yao, H. Chen, K. Chen, P. Trebse, G. Zaray, Comparative toxicity of chlorpyrifos and its oxon derivatives to soil microbial activity by combined methods. *Chemosphere*, 78, 319-26, 2010.
- [20] T. Kriščiunaite, I. Stulova, N. Kabanova, T.-M. Laht, R. Vilu, The effect of hydrogen peroxide on the growth of thermophilic lactic starter and acid gelation of UHT milk. *International Dairy Journal*, 21, 239-246, 2011.
- [21] E. H. Sandy, J. Yao, S. Zheng, A. B. Gogra, H. Chen, H. Zheng, T. B. Yormah, X. Zhang, G. Zaray, B. Ceccanti, M. M. Choi, A comparative cytotoxicity study of isomeric alkylphthalates to metabolically variant bacteria. *J Hazard Mater*, 182, 631-639, 2010.
- [22] Y. Zhou, J. Yao, M. He, M. M. Choi, L. Feng, H. Chen, F. Wang, K. Chen, R. Zhuang, T. Maskow, G. Wang, G. Zaray, Reduction in toxicity of arsenic(III) to *Halobacillus* sp. Y35 by kaolin and their related adsorption studies. *J Hazard Mater*, 176, 487-494, 2010.
- [23] H. Chen, J. Yao, F. Wang, M. M. Choi, E. Bramanti, G. Zaray, Study on the toxic effects of diphenol compounds on soil microbial activity by a combination of methods. *J Hazard Mater*, 167, 846-51, 2009.
- [24] Y. Zhou, J. Yao, M. M. Choi, Y. Chen, H. Chen, R. Mohammad, R. Zhuang, F. Wang, T. Maskow, G. Zaray, A combination method to study microbial communities and activities in zinc contaminated soil. *J Hazard Mater*, 169, 875-81, 2009.
- [25] W. Du, Y. Sun, R. Ji, J. Zhu, J. Wub, H. Guo, TiO₂ and ZnO nanoparticles negatively affect wheat growth and soil enzyme activities in agricultural soil. *J Environ Monit*, 13, 822-828, 2011.
- [26] J. Schnürer, T. Rosswall, Fluorescein diacetate hydrolysis as a measure of total microbial activity in soil and litter. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, 43, 1256-1261, 1982.
- [27] A. Thill, O. Zeyons, O. Spalla, F. Chauvat, J. Rose, M. Auffan, A. M. Flank, Cytotoxicity of CeO₂ nanoparticles for *Escherichia coli*. physico-chemical insight of the cytotoxicity mechanism. *Environ Sci Technol*, 40, 6151-6156, 2006.
- [28] B. A. Caldwell, Enzyme activities as a component of soil biodiversity: A review. *Pedobiologia*, 49, 637-644, 2005.
- [29] L. De Marzi, A. Monaco, J. De Lapuente, D. Ramos, M. Borras, M. Di Gioacchino, S. Santucci, A. Poma, Cytotoxicity and genotoxicity of ceria nanoparticles on different cell lines in vitro. *Int J Mol Sci*, 14, 3065-77, 2013.

- [30] S. Soliman, M. Abou Seeda, S. S. M. Aly, A. M. Gadalla, Nitrogen fixation by wheat plants as affected by nitrogen fertilizer levels and nonsymbiotic bacteria. *Egyp J of Soil Sci*, 35, 401-414, 1995.
- [31] I. Kennedy, N. Islam, The current and potential contribution of asymbiotic nitrogen fixation to nitrogen requirements on farms: A review. *Anim Prod Sci*, 41, 447-457, 2001.
- [32] S. Dobbelaere, J. Vanderleyden, Y. Okon, Plant growth-promoting effects of diazotrophs in the rhizosphere. *CRC Crit Rev Plant Sci*, 22, 107-149, 2003.
- [33] C. M. Creus, R. J. Sueldo, C. A. Barassi, Water relations and yield in *Azospirillum*-inoculated wheat exposed to drought in the field. *Canadian Journal Of Botany-revue Canadienne De Botanique*, 82, 273-281, 2004.
- [34] M. Lu, Z. Zhang, S. Sun, X. Wei, Q. Wang, Y. Su, The use of goosegrass (*Eleusine indica*) to remediate soil contaminated with petroleum. *Water Air Soil Pollut*, 209, 181-189, 2009.
- [35] J. H. Priester, Y. Ge, R. E. Mielke, A. M. Horst, S. C. Moritz, K. Espinosa, J. Gelb, S. L. Walker, R. M. Nisbet, Y.-J. An, Soybean susceptibility to manufactured nanomaterials with evidence for food quality and soil fertility interruption. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 109, E2451-E2456, 2012.
- [36] Y. Yang, J. Wang, Z. Xiu, P. J. Alvarez, Impacts of silver nanoparticles on cellular and transcriptional activity of nitrogen-cycling bacteria. *Environ Toxicol Chem*, 32, 1488-1494, 2013.
- [37] C. Cherchi, A. Z. Gu, Impact of Titanium Dioxide Nanomaterials on Nitrogen Fixation Rate and Intracellular Nitrogen Storage in Anabaena variabilis. *Environ Sci Technol*, 44, 8302-8307, 2010.