Short communication



Resistance to fruit fly, *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Hendel) and tea mosquito bug, *Helopeltis antonii* (Sign.) in certain wild *Psidium* species

P. Venkata Rami Reddy and C. Vasugi

Division of Plant Genetic Resources Indian Institute of Horticultural Research Hessaraghatta Lake Post, Bangalore-560089, India E-mail: pvreddy@iihr.ernet.in

ABSTRACT

Five wild species of *Psidium* viz., *Psidium cattleianum lucidum*, *P. chinensis*, *P. friedrichsthalianum*, *P. molle* and *P. quadrangularis* were evaluated for resistance to fruit fly [*Bactrocera dorsalis* (Hendel)] and tea mosquito bug (TMB) [*Helopeltis antonii* (Sign.)], during 2002-04. Significant variations were recorded among species in their reaction to pests. Two species viz., *P. chinensis and P. quadrangularis* were resistant to fruit fly (<10% fruit damage) while *P. quadrangularis* was immune. *Psidium. molle and P. cattleianum* were resistant to tea mosquito bug. Pest incidence was correlated with fruit biochemical components viz., total soluble solids (TSS), total sugars, vitamin C and acidity. The TSS and total sugars were positively correlated with fruit fly infestation while acidity was negatively correlated. The TMB incidence did not exhibit significant correlation with any of these parameters.

Key Words: Guava, Psidium species, resistance, fruit fly, tea mosquito bug

Guava [*Psidium guajava* L., (Family: Myrtaceae)] is the only cultivated species among 70 odd Psidium species (Landrum et al, 1995). Though other species of Psidium are non-edible and wild in nature, some of them possess a few desirable traits, which could be exploited either as rootstocks or as parents for incorporating the pest and disease résistance. (Vasugi and Dinesh, 2007). Mango fruit fly, Bactrocera dorsalis (Hendel) and tea mosquito bug, Helopeltis antonii (Sign.) are serious and economically important pests of guava (Butani, 1975) and finding a source of resistance against them would be useful in evolving resistant varieties. Varietal differences of guava in their reaction to fruit fly and tea mosquito bug are reported (Arora et al, 2000; Reddy and Vasugi, 2004) but information is lacking on the reaction of wild Psidium species. In the present study, five wild species of Psidium were field evaluated for their resistance to B. dorsalis and H. antonii. As endogenous metabolites were reported to play a significant role in fruit fly resistance (Kaur et al, 1994), the per cent fruit fly and tea mosquito bug infestation were correlated with TSS, total sugars, vitamin C and acidity.

Field studies were carried out during 2002-2004 at the Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Bangalore

using 8-10 years old wild Psidium species viz., P. cattleianum var. lucidum, P. chinensis, <u>Р</u>. friedrichsthalianum, P. molle and P. quadrangularis. 'Lucknow-49', a popular cultivar of P. guajava, was used as standard check. One hundred and fifty randomly selected, mature fruits were harvested from each species (comprising four trees) and brought to the laboratory to record fruit fly incidence during the months of August - September. On attaining the fully ripened stage, fruits were cut open and observed for the maggots. Tea mosquito bug incidence was recorded based on the visual symptoms of damage such as corky appearance on the fruit surface. Three replications were maintained with fifty fruits per replication. Data were subjected to the analysis of variance (ANOVA) to compare the significance of mean differences. Species were classified as immune (0% fruit damage), resistant (1-10%), moderately resistant (11-25%), susceptible (26-50%) and highly susceptible (>50%) based on the pooled means of both the years following a partially modified varietal classification suggested by Reddy and Vasugi (2002). The titrable acidity (%), vitamin C (mg/100g) and total sugars (g/100g) were estimated following Ranganna (2000) and total soluble solids (TSS) was determined as ⁰Brix using a hand refractometer.

Species	Fruit fly damage (%)			Tea mosquito bug damage (%)		
	2002-03	2003-04	Mean	2002-03	2003-04	Mean
P. cattleianum var. lucidum	38.66	26.00	32.33	5.33	3.00	4.17
P. chinensis	7.33	6.00	6.67	21.00	16.33	18.67
P. friedrichsthalianum	16.00	12.00	14.00	29.33	32.00	30.67
P. molle	39.33	34.00	36.67	6.33	4.33	5.33
P. quadrangularis	4.66	3.33	4.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
P. guajava (Lucknow-49)	35.00	30.33	32.62	30.66	25.66	28.16
CV (%)	15.59	11.14		15.37	14.85	
CD (<i>P</i> =0.05)	4.31	4.67		4.19	4.02	

Table 1. Extent of fruit fly and tea mosquito damage in Psidium species

Data on the variable reaction of *Psidium* species to fruit fly and tea mosquito bug are presented in table 1. There were significant differences in the extent of fruit damage due to both the pests. The resistance trends of species were consistent in both the years of study. None of the *Psidium* species was completely free from fruit fly infestation. Psidium quadrangularis recorded the lowest fruit fly damage (4.66%) followed by *P. chinensis* (7.33%) during 2002-03 and they sustained the resistance in the subsequent year also with 3.33 and 6.00 % damage, respectively. Two species viz., P. cattleianum var. lucidum and *P. molle* suffered high incidence (38.66 and 39.33%, respectively) and were on par with 'Lucknow-49' (35.00%) during 2002-03 and recorded a similar trend in the following year. The extent of fruit damage due to H. antonii ranged from 0.00 to 29.33 % and 0.00 to 32.00 % during 2002-03 and 2003-04 respectively. Psidium quadrangularis remained free from tea mosquito bug damage in both the years while P. friedrichsthalianum had the highest fruit damage and at a par with check variety.

Based on two year means, two species viz., P. quadrangularis and P. chinensis were resistant (< 10%)

Table 2. Biochemical composition of Psidium species

Species	TSS	Total	Vitamin C	Acidity
	(%)	sugars (g)	(mg/100g)	(%)
P. cattleianum var. lucidum	7.40	5.85	16.78	1.31
P. chinensis	8.30	9.34	22.15	0.70
P. friedrichsthalianum	3.20	9.62	19.75	1.13
P. molle	11.60	8.12	80.65	2.70
P. quadrangularis	9.10	5.89	81.33	1.10
P. guajava (Lucknow-49)	11.50	8.80	19.50	0.61
CD (P=0.05)	1.14	1.85	4.02	0.78

Table 3. Correlation coefficient (r) values of per cent fruit infestation with biochemical parameters of *Psidium* species

Pest	TSS	Total	Vitamin C	Acidity			
		sugars					
Fruit fly	0.586*	0.674*	NS	-0.614*			
Tea mosquito bug	NS	NS	NS	NS			
* Significant at $p = 0.05$: NS = Non significant							

* Significant at p= 0.05; NS = Non-significant

damage) to fruit fly while *P. cattleianum* was moderately resistant. The other two species *viz.*, *P. friedrichsthalianum* and *P. molle* with >30% damage were classified as susceptible and were on par with the check 'Lucknow-49'. The species, *P. quadrangularis* was free from tea mosquito bug (immune) and the factors contributing to immunity need to be further examined. However, considering an earlier report of Reddy and Vasugi (2004), rough fruit surface can be one of the contributing factors. Among the rest of species, *P. cattleianum* (4.33%) and *P. molle* (5.33%) were resistant, *P. chinensis* (18.67%) was moderately resistant and *P. friedrichsthalianum* was susceptible.

The TSS in Psidium species ranged from 7.4% in P. cattleianum to 11.6% in P. molle (Table 2). Similarly total sugars varied from 5.85 to 9.62g, vitamin C from 16.78 to 81.33 mg/100g and total acidity from 0.61 to 2.70%. Correlation analysis (Table 3) showed that the extent of fruit fly incidence was significantly correlated with three characters viz., TSS, total acidity and sugars. There was a positive correlation between the fruit fly infestation levels in different species and their TSS and total sugar contents. The correlation coefficient values were 0.576 and 0.674 pertaining to TSS and total sugars, respectively. However, fruit acidity was negatively correlated with the fruit fly incidence (r = -0.614) and thus considered to have a role in imparting resistance, while correlation with vitamin C was non-significant. Arora et al (2000) reported a similar trend of correlation between fruit fly incidence and TSS of guava fruits. They also observed that total phenols were negatively correlated while vitamin C had non significant correlation. Our findings are in agreement with these observations. On the other hand, none of these parameters showed significant correlations with the tea mosquito bug infestation. The non significant effect of biochemical components on tea mosquito bug infestation could be because of the fact that, the infestation starts at early stages of fruit formation and also confines to the fruit surface unlike fruit fly, where maggots develop inside the fruit and are thus vulnerable to fruit biochemical composition variations.

High TSS and total sugars are generally desirable traits in fruits and hence it is appropriate to locate a resistance source rich in these quality parameters. Our results show that *P. quadrangularis*, which was immune to fruit fly and resistant to tea mosquito bug, holds promise in this direction. *Psidium cattleianum and P. chinensis*, which exhibited resistance to one pest and moderate resistance to another may also be useful. Though *P. molle* was resistant to tea mosquito bug, it was highly susceptible to fruit fly and thus its potential is limited. As majority of species tested showed high degree of resistance compared to the check, it is worthwhile to screen as many wild species as possible to find resistance.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors are grateful to the Director, IIHR for facilities and acknowledge the assistance of Sri. Dasappa, Field Technician, in the field gene bank maintenance. We thank Dr. N. K. Krishna Kumar, Head, Division of Entomology, IIHR for critical evaluation of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

Arora, P. K., Kaur., N., Thind, S. K. and Aulakh, P. S. 2000. Metabolites of some commercial cultivars of guava in relation to incidence of fruit fly. *Pest Mgt. Hortl. Ecosys.*, **6**: 61-62

Butani, D. K. 1979. Insects and fruits. Periodical Expert Book Agency, New Delhi

Kaur, H., Batra, R. C., Dhaliwal, G. S. and Singh, R. 1994. Relationship of biochemical constituents to incidence of fruit fly in guava cultivars. *Punjab Hort. J.*, 34: 47-49

- Landrum, L. R., Clark, W. D., Sharp, W. P. and Brenecke, J.1995. Hybridisation between *Psidium guajava* and *P. guineense. Eco. Bot.*, **49**:153-161
- Ranganna, 2000. Handbook of analysis and quality control for fruit and vegetable products. T a t a Mc Graw Hill Co. Ltd., New Delhi.
- Reddy, P.V. R. and Vasugi, C. 2002. Evaluation of guava germplasm for resistance to fruit fly, *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Hendel) in relation to fruit morphological characters. *Pest Mgt. Hortl. Ecosys.*, **8**: 27-32
- Reddy, P.V.R. and Vasugi, C., 2004. Screening of guava germplasm for resistance to tea mosquito bug, *Helopeltis antonii* Sign. in relation to certain morpho - characters of fruit. *Ind. J. Agril. Sci.*, **74** : 1-4
- Vasugi, C. and Dinesh, M. R. 2007. Genetic variability in some *Psidium* species. *Ind. J. Agril. Sci.*, 77: 420-23

(MS Received 12 October 2007, Revised 3 December 2007)