ISSN 2394–806X (Print), ISSN 2454-5139 (Electronic) IJHRMLP, Vol: 04 No: 01 January, 2018 Printed in India © 2018 IJHRMLP, Assam, India

# **ORIGINAL PAPER**

# A comparative study of MRI and arthroscopic findings in shoulder pathologies

Saikia Lelin<sup>1</sup>, Das Chinmoy<sup>2</sup>, Daolagupu AK<sup>3</sup>, Gogoi PJ<sup>4</sup>

Received on June 29, 2017, editorial approval on October 10, 2017

### **ABSTRACT**

**Background**: Correct diagnosis of shoulder pathology is essential to start treatment immediately and avoid complications. MRI is an important imaging tool, however, arthroscopy remains the reference standard in diagnosing shoulder pathologies against which alternative diagnostic modality should be compared. This study seeks to compare to what extent MRI findings are accurate, with arthroscopic findings, as the "gold standard" in shoulder pathologies. Methods: This was a prospective study of 22 patients with various shoulder pathologies apart from recent fracture, tumor. Both sexes within age group 18-60 years were included in the study. The patients were first examined clinically, followed by 1.5 tesla MRI scan and finally arthroscopically. The findings of MRI were correlated with diagnostic arthroscopy. Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value of MRI findings was calculated to correlate with arthroscopic findings. Results: MRI had a significant statistic correlation (P < 0.05) with various lesions of shoulder. Conclusion: By analysing the results of this study, we conclude that Magnetic Resonance imaging is accurate, practical, efficient, non-invasive, acceptable diagnostic modality in shoulder pathologies especially in condition like full-thickness supraspinatus tear, impingement syndrome, Hill-Sachs lesion and Bank art's lesion. However, statistically significant correlation was not found in SLAP lesions and subscapular is tear.

**Keywords**: SLAP lesions, Subscapular is tear, Bursoscopy, Diagnosis

### INTRODUCTION

Shoulder joint includes three primary articulations, the glen humeral joint, the acromioclavicular joint and the sternoclavicular joint. The shoulder mobility is at the expense of stability, and the resulting "freedom of movement" of the joint predisposes it to a variety of conditions. Third most common cause of musculoskeletal consultation in primary care. Shoulder problems

tend to present mainly as pain. Any disability or pain in the shoulder affects a person's ability to carry out daily activities and work. Early diagnosis to attain prompt recovery and to avoid chronicity and complications is important.

Shoulder pain is mainly due to (i) referred pain, (ii) systemic illness and (iii) musculoskeletal pain arising from shoulder. Clinical history, physical examination, special tests, imaging modalities (plain X- rays, U/S shoulder, CT- scan, MRI) and diagnostic shoulder arthroscopy are usual diagnostic modalities. MRI is the preferred imaging study. However several lesions continue to provide diagnostic challenge. Arthroscopy of the shoulder is a major modality in the diagnosis and treatment of shoulder pathologies. Diagnostic arthroscopy is the most essential step in treating shoulder pathology.

Arthroscopy is the reference standard in diagnosing shoulder pathologies against which alternative diagnostic modality should be compared. This study seeks to compare to what extent MRI findings are accurate, with arthroscopic findings, as the "gold standard", in shoulder pathologies.

**Objectives**: The aim of the present study is to compare MRI and Arthroscopic findings in shoulder pathologies and to find out the accuracy of MRI findings as compared to arthroscopy in the diagnosis of shoulder pathologies.

### **METHODS**

This was a prospective study of 22 patients carried out at our institute in a period of 1 year. Patients aged between 18 to 60 years irrespective of sex or with suspected shoulder pathology and with radiologically diagnosed shoulder pathology were

# Address for correspondence:

<sup>1</sup>Registrar of Orthopaedics

<sup>2</sup>Associate Professor (Corresponding Author)

Dept. of Orthopaedics

Tezpur Medical College and Hospital, Tezpur

Email: drchinmoydas@yahoo.com

**Mobile**: +919435043908

included in the study. Patients with musculoskeletal tumours around shoulder joint or with recent fractures around shoulder joint or with psychiatric disease, pregnancy or lactation or with Medical contraindications for surgery/MRI were excluded from the study.

**Surgical Technique**: The basic steps of diagnostic arthroscopy are as Patient positioning in lateral decubitus position, Surface outlining of bony landmarks, making portals, Insertion of scope, Visualizing the intrarticular and extrarticular structures in a systematic manner and Closure.

**Procedure**: The patients were examined under anaesthesia. They were put in lateral decubitus position with 30° posterior tilt of the trunk. The shoulder was abducted to 70° and forward flexed to 20°-30°. Traction was applied with adhesive bandage tied to forearm and fixed with a post (**Fig. 1**).



Figure 1 Positioning of the patient



Figure 2 Surface outlining

**Surface Outlining**: Anteriorly, the coracoid process (CP), the acromioclavicular joint (ACJ) and the anterior border of the acromion are located and marked. Laterally, the lateral border of the acromion is palpated and marked, posteriorly and laterally, the poster lateral corner of the acromion is also marked (**Fig. 2**).

Making Portals: Posterior portal was made at a point located 2

cm inferiorly and 1cm medially to the poster lateral acromial edge (Fig. 3). Arthroscopic cannula with a tapered-tip obdurate was inserted through the posterior skin incision and through the muscle until the posterior humeral head was palpated. After the capsule was punctured, the scope was inserted. For anterior portal creation, the tip of the arthroscopy is passed into the anterior triangle between the biceps and the subscapular is tendons. The scope is angled a few degrees superiorly and laterally and hold it against the anterior capsule. The scope is removed and a taper-tipped guide rod is inserted into the cannula to puncture the anterior capsule and rent the skin. A small stab wound adjacent to the tip of guide rod and anterior portal is created.



Figure 3 Making portals

**Glenohumeral Joint Evaluation**: The arthroscopic evaluation was performed with the video image oriented so that the glenoid surface horizontal on the lower half of the television monitor. A 15- Point anatomy review was done systematically as mentioned by Snyder.<sup>3</sup> The first 10 points of anatomy are visualized in a sequential manner from the posterior portal. The arthroscopy was changed to anterior portal and remaining 5 points of anatomy was reviewed.

### 15 POINTS ANATOMY REVIEW

# **Posterior Portal**

- 1. Biceps tendon and superior labrum.
- 2. Posterior labrum and capsule recess.
- 3. Inferior axillary recess and inferior capsule insertion.
- 4. Inferior labrum and glenoid articular surface.
- 5. Supraspinatus tendon of rotator cuff.
- 6. Posterior rotator cuff insertion and bare area.
- 7. Articular surface of the humeral head.
- 8. Anterior superior labrum, SGHL, MGHL and subscapular is tendon.
- 9. Anterior inferior labrum.
- 10. Anterior inferior ligament.

# **Anterior Portal**

- 1. Subscapular is tendon.
- 2. Posterior rotator cuff.
- 3. Anterior glenoidlabrum.

- 4. Posterior glenoidlabrum.
- 5. Anterior surface of the humeral head.

**Sub acromialBursoscopy**: Diagnostic bursoscopy was performed to complete the shoulder arthroscopic evaluations as mentioned by Snyder.<sup>4</sup>

**Post-operative Care**: Padded cotton dressing was applied from mid-clavicle to mid arm for 24-48 hours to give better compression and haemostasis. Postoperatively, patient was given routine IV analgesics and antibiotics for 48 hours. Passive range of motion exercises from second postoperative day onwards.

**Follow-Up Period**: Patients were followed up in the out-patient department on 10<sup>th</sup> day operation and on 3<sup>rd</sup>, 6<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> week. After that every month for 6 months.

**Rehabilitation Protocol**: Phase 1 (1<sup>st</sup> 3 weeks) Active and passive range of motion of exercises and pendulum exercises. Phase 2 (Weeks 3 to 6) Shoulder muscles strengthening exercises and light work allowed with the involved shoulder. Phase 3 (Weeks 6 onwards) Normal works allowed.

**Statistical Analysis**: Fisher exact test has been used to find the significance of study parameters on categorical scale between two or more groups. Diagnostic statistics viz. sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV has been calculated.

# **RESULTS**

An MRI finding was compared with diagnostic arthroscopic findings. The data was analyzed to calculate true positive, true negative, false positive and false negative. Using these specificity and sensitivity, positive and negative predictive values were calculated. Arthroscopic examination was taken as the gold standard for comparison.

The age ranged from 18-54 years with a mean age of 29.5 years in our study. Maximum incidence of shoulder pain and/or instability was found in 21-30 years of age group. Out of 22 patients, 18(81.8%) patients were male and 4(18.2%) were females in our study. Right shoulder joint was involved in 17(77.3%) patients and left side was involved in 5(22.7%) patients. Dominant hand was involved in 15(68.2%) cases and non-dominant hand was involved in 7(31.8%) cases. In 13 patients where features of shoulder instability were found in arthroscopy, 12(92.3%) patients had a history of trauma preceding symptoms whereas in 1(7.7%) patient there was no such history. All the patients presenting with features of shoulder instability were of anterior type. The mean duration between MRI and arthroscopy was 3.6 weeks.

Out of twenty two cases, MRI diagnosed impingement syndrome in six patients, partial thickness supraspinatus tear in thirteen patients, full-thickness supraspinatus tear in two patients, partial subscapular is tear in four patients, Bank art's lesion in ten patients, Hill-Sachs lesion in five cases and SLAP lesion in three patients and adhesive capsulitis in three cases (**Table 1**).

**Table 1** Distribution of MRI and Arthroscopic Findings

DIAGNOSIS	MRI (No. of cases)	ARTHROSCOPY (No. of cases)	
Impingement syndrome	6	7	
Partial-thickness supraspinatus tear	13	13	
Full-thickness supraspinatus tear	2	2	
Partial thickness subscapular is tear	4	3	
Bankarts lesion	10	8	
Hill-sachs lesion	5	5	
Slap	3	5	
Adhesive capsulitis	3	4	

**Table 2** MRI Correlation with Arthroscopy of various shoulder pathology

Shoulder pathology	Sensitivity	Specificity	Positive	Negative	P value
			predictive value	predictive value	
			(ppv)	(npv)	
Impingement syndrome	85.7%	100%	100%	93.7%	<0.0001
Partial tear of supraspinatus	92.3%	88.9%	92.3%	88.9%	< 0.0002
Full thickness tear of	100%	100%	100%	100%	< 0.0001
supraspinatus					
Partial thickness subscapular is	66.7%	89.5%	50%	94.4%	< 0.0727
tear					
Bankart's lesion	100%	85.7%	80%	100%	0.0001
Hill-sachs lesion	100%	100%	100%	100%	< 0.0001
Slap lesions	40%	94.1%	66.7%	84.2%	< 0.1169
Adhesive capsulitis	75%	100%	100%	94.7%	< 0.0026

# DISCUSSION

Parsons et al<sup>5</sup> determined the highest prevalence of shoulder pain (17%) in the middle-age group from 45–64 years of age. But in our study we found 21-30 years age group as the most prevalent age group of shoulder pain (50%). This may be due to the fact that this age group is involved more with sports activities and is a sizeable working population. Moreover, most of the patients participating in our study belonged to this age group.

Shoulder pathology was involved in 60% males and 40% females in a study by Halma et al. In our study, shoulder pathology was

present in 81.8% males and 18.2% females. This may be due to the low attendance of female patients having shoulder problems in our institution during the period of study. Rowe<sup>7</sup> stated that 96% were traumatic in origin and only 4% were a traumatic. In our study, out of 13 patients having features of instability, 12(92.3%) patients had a history of trauma preceding symptoms whereas in 1(7.7%) patient, there was no such history. According to Rowe,<sup>8</sup> majority of the patients present with traumatic anterior instability and 95% of shoulder dislocations are of the anterior type. In our study 100% of patients having features of instability

were of anterior instability. In a study by Iannotti et al9 and other similar study by A M Malhi, R Khan, 10 found sensitivity ranging from 84% to 93% and specificity ranging from 76% to 87% for impingement syndrome, which are comparable to our study. In a systematic study by Smith et al<sup>11</sup> and other similar studies. <sup>12, 13, 14</sup> the pooled sensitivity values ranged from 44% to 98% and specificity values ranged from were 90% to 95%, which are comparable to our study for partial-thickness supraspinatus tear. In a study by Troughed et al<sup>15</sup> and other similar studies, <sup>16, 17, 18</sup> MRI sensitivity ranged from 89% to 100% and specificity ranged from 95% to 100% for full thickness supraspinatus tear, which are comparable to our study. In a retrospective study comparing magnetic resonance imaging and arthroscopic findings by Guido<sup>19</sup> et al, MRI sensitivity and specificity were respectively 25% and 98% for subscapular is tendon tears, which is comparable to our study. Hayes ML, Collins MS et al<sup>20</sup> found that the sensitivity of MRI in detecting Bank art's lesion was 98.4% and specificity was 95.2%. For Hill-Sachs lesion, sensitivity of MRI was 96.3% and specificity was 90.6%. These are comparable to our study. In 2008, Kautzner et al,21 found MRI sensitivity of 43 % and specificity of 96 % for SLAP lesions. We found comparable results of 40% sensitivity and 94.1% specificity in our study. Jung et al,<sup>22</sup> found sensitivity and specificity of 79% and 100% respectively of MRI for adhesive capsulitis. In our study, we found sensitivity of 75% and specificity of 100% of MRI, which is comparable. Berjano et al<sup>23</sup> reported on 179 shoulder arthroscopic procedures noting an overall complication rate of 9.49%. In our study, out of 22 cases, 2 cases developed complications. One was bleeding during bursoscopy and debridement during intraoperative period for which radiofrequency ablation was done to control bleeding and other developed haemarthrosis which resolved spontaneously. Overall, complication rate in our study was 9.1% which is comparable to previous mentioned literature.

### CONCLUSION

Magnetic Resonance imaging is accurate, practical, efficient, non-invasive, acceptable diagnostic modality in shoulder pathologies especially in condition like full-thickness supraspinatus tear, impingement syndrome, Hill-Sachs lesion and Bank art's lesion. However, for SLAP lesions and partial tear subscapular is tendon we could not find statistically significant correlation between MRI and arthroscopy. Hence, a larger study is recommended for a conclusion to be made. Continued interaction and collaboration between a Radiologist and Shoulder arthroscopic surgeon may lead to a better understanding of the pathologies and may help in defining required modification and innovation in MRI technique for improved accuracy of MRI in diagnosing shoulder pathologies.

# REFERENCES

- Hazleman B. Shoulder problems in general practice. In: Adebajo AO, Dickson J, editor. Collected reports on the rheumatic disease.2005 series 4 (revised). Arthritis research campaign; 2000 May (reviewed 2003). Report no 2.
- Mitchell C, Adebajo A, Hay E, Carr A. Shoulder pain diagnosis and management in primary care. BMJ 2005;331:1124-8.
- 3. Stephen J Snyder. Shoulder arthroscopy. 2nd ed.

- Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2003. p. 22-38.
- Stephen J Snyder. Shoulder arthroscopy. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2003. p. 39-45.
- Parsons S, Breen A, Foster NE, Letley L, Pincus T, Vogel S, et al. Prevalence and comparative troublesomeness by age of musculoskeletal pain in different body locations. Fam Pract 2007;24(4):308-16.
- JJ Halma, R Eshuis, YMJ Krebbers, T Weits, Ade Gast. Interdisciplinary inter-observer agreement and accuracy of Mr imaging of the shoulder with arthroscopic correlation. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2012;132:311-20.
- 7. Rowe CR. Prognosis in dislocations of the shoulder. J Bone Joint Surg 1956;38:957-77.
- Rowe CR. Anterior dislocations of the shoulder prognosis and treatment. Surg Clin North Am 1963 Dec;43:1609-14.
- Iannotti JP, Zlatkin MB, Esterhai JL. Magnetic resonance imaging of the shoulder sensitivity, specify, and predictive value. J bone Joint Surg Am 1991;73:17.
- AM Malhi, R Khan. Correlation between clinical diagnosis and arthroscopic findings of the shoulder. Postgrad Med J 2005;81:657– 9.
- Smith TO, Daniell H, Geere JA. The diagnostic accuracy of MRI for the detection of partial- and full-thickness rotator cuff tears in adults. MRI 2012;30:336-46.
- Dinnes J, Loveman E, McIntyre L, Waugh N. The effectiveness of diagnostic tests for the assessment of shoulder pain due to soft tissue disorders: a systematic review. Health Technol Assess 2003;7(29):1-166.
- 13. Lenza M, Buchbinder R, TakwoingiY, Johnston RV, Hanchard NC, Faloppa F. Magnetic resonance imaging, magnetic resonance arthrography and ultrasonography for assessing rotator cuff tears in people with shoulder pain for whom surgery is being considered. Cochrane Database System 2013 Sep 24;9:009-020.
- 14. Jean-Sébastien Roy, Caroline Braën, Jean Leblond, François Desmeules, Clermont E Dionne, Joy C MacDermid, Nathalie J Bureau, Pierre Frémont. Diagnostic accuracy of ultrasonography, MRI and MR arthrography in the characterisation of rotator cuff disorders a meta-analysis. Br J Sports Med 2015;0:1-15.
- Traughter PD, Goodwin TE. Shoulder MRI arthroscopic correlation with emphasis on partial tears. J Computer Assists 1992 Jan-Feb:16(1):129-33.
- Marcello Henrique Nogueira-Barbosa, José Batista Volpon, Jorge Elias Jr and Gerson Muccillo. Diagnostic imaging of shoulder rotator cuff lesions. Actaortop Bras 2002;10(4):31-9.
- 17. Motamedi, AR Urrea LH, Hancock, RE Hawkins, RJ et al. Accuracy of MRI in determining the presence and size of recurrent rotator cuff tears. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2002;11(1):6-10.
- LoefflerB, Brown S, D Alessandro F, Fleischli E, Connor P. Incidence of false positive rotator cuff pathology in MRIS. Orthopaedics 2011;34(5):362.
- Guido Garavaglia, Henri Ufenast, EttoreTaverna. The frequency of subscapular is tears in arthroscopic rotator cuff repair a retrospective study comparing magnetic resonance imaging and arthroscopic findings. Int J Shoulder Surge 2011 Oct-Dec;5(4):90-94.
- Hayes ML, Collins MS et al. Efficacy of diagnostic magnetic resonance imaging for articular cartilage lesions of the glenohumeral joint in patients with instability. Skeletal Radiol 2010 Dec;39(12):1199-204.
- Kautzner J, Smetana P, Krótká I, Kos P, Frei R, Trc T. Shoulder joint disorder correlation of findings by arthroscopy and magnetic resonance imaging. Acta Chir Orthop Traumatol Cech 2008 Jun;75(3):190-5.
- 22. Jung JY, Jee WH, Chun HJ, Kim YS, Chung YG, Kim JM. Adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder evaluation with MR arthrography. Eur Radiol 2006;16(4):791–6.
- Berjano P, Gonzalez BG, Olmedo JF. Complications in arthroscopic shoulder surgery. Arthroscopy 1998;14:785–8.