### **Original Research Article**

## Glycated hemoglobin as a marker of dyslipidemia in type II Diabetic patients

Arora R<sup>1</sup>, Thakurdas B<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dr Rajiv Arora Professor & HOD Department of Physiology

<sup>2</sup>Dr Bhavana Thakurdas Associate Professor Department of Biochemistry

<sup>1,2</sup>Punjab Institute of Medical Sciences Jalandhar city, Punjab, India

> Received: 10-11-2015 Revised: 14-12-2015 Accepted: 22-12-2015

Correspondence to:

Dr Rajiv Arora +919815405500 drrajivarora@yahoo.com

#### **ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Diabetes is an important public health problem and leading cause of cardiovascular problems its early detection and good glycemic control can reduce the complications associated with the disease.

**Objective:** This was a prospective study planned to evaluate the relationship of glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) and lipid profile in type II diabetic Punjabi male population.

Material and methods: A total of 200 diabetes type II patient ranging from age group of 30 to 70 years were included in the study after taking a preinformed written consent. The glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) & lipid profile were performed on fully automated analyzers. The statistical analysis was done by using SPSS statistics and correlation among HbA1c and lipid profile of type II Diabetic patients was established.

**Results:** The mean HbA1c was in the range of 7.54  $\pm$  1.24%. There were 61% subjects with high total cholesterol (TC) levels and 96% were with raised LDL levels. The 68% of the subjects were having lower HDL level. HbA1c demonstrated significant positive relationship with total cholesterol, TC (r=0.995), triglyceride, TG (r=0.997), high density lipoprotein cholesterol, HDL-C (r=0.940) and with low density lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-C (r=0.993).

**Conclusion:** The study concluded that HbA1c apart from acting as important diagnostic marker for glycemic control can also be used as a positive predictor of dyslipidemia in type 2 diabetics.

Keywords: HbA1c, diabetes mellitus, glycemic control, lipid profile, dyslipidemia

#### Introduction

Diabetes Mellitus (DM), often referred to as diabetes—is a condition in which the body either does not produce enough insulin, or does not properly respond to insulin. [1] The prevalence of type 2 diabetes is increasing at an alarming rate. Current projections suggest that the absolute number of cases worldwide may double over the next two decades. [2] DM has become an important public health problem worldwide, [3-5] which estimated to be the third most challenging disease threatening public malignant tumors cardiocerebral vascular diseases. [6] It is now the leading cause of cardiovascular, renal and other serious comorbidities not only in old but also young adult. [7-9] and estimated global number of individuals with diabetes will double from 171 million in 2000 to 366 million in 2030 among adults aged ≥20 years. [10] The global prevalence of diabetes in adults (20-79 years old) according to a report published in 2013 by the IDF was 8.3% (382 million people), with 14 million more men than women (198 million men vs 184 million women), the majority between the ages 40 and 59 years and the number is expected to rise beyond 592 million by 2035 with a 10.1% global prevalence. With 175 million cases still undiagnosed, the number of people currently suffering from diabetes exceeds half a billion. [11] There are two major types of Diabetes type 1 and type 2. [12,13] Type 2 diabetes is the commonest form of diabetes and is characterized by disorders of insulin secretion and insulin resistance. [14] Early detection and intervention in diabetes is now considered one of the most important public health agendas. Strict control of blood sugar remains the pivot in the decreased incidence of complications. [1] Good glycemic control is essential in preventing diabetic complications. [15]

Early detection and intervention in diabetes is now considered one of the most important public health agendas. Strict control of blood sugar remains the pivot in the decreased incidence of complications. Good glycemic control is essential in preventing diabetic complications. Fasting plasma glucose (FPG) is a simple, easy, inexpensive, and widely available to general population and has been most frequently used to identify subjects at high risk of diabetes. [16] The 2-h plasma glucose after oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) is also useful to identify subjects of impaired glucose tolerance.

The level of glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) provides a measure of the glycemic control of diabetes patients during the previous 2–3 months. [17] (HbA1c), an indirect measure of mean blood glucose, does not require fasting, and is more reproducible than FPG. **Glycated** hemoglobin should be measured in all individuals with DM during their initial evaluation and part as of comprehensive diabetes care. [18] It is the predictor long-term primary of [19] complications of DM. Measuring glycated hemoglobin, the "gold standard" method for assessing glycemic control, is

therefore fixed firmly in the sights of the clinical target setters. [20]

Due to the recent advancement of HbA1c measurement, the American Diabetes report in 2009<sup>[21]</sup> Association (ADA) advocated that, the diagnosis of diabetes be conveniently based HbA1c≥6.5%.Diabetes is defined according to the 2010 American Diabetes Association (ADA) criteria : FPG≥7.0 mmol/l, HbA1c values ≥6.5%, or both, or treatment by oral antidiabetic drugs or insulin. [22] The advantages of using HbA1c to diagnose diabetes include greater convenience and preanalytical stability, lower CV (3.6%) compared to FPG (5.7%) and 2h OGTT  $(16.6\%)_{i}$ stronger correlation with microvascular complications especially retinopathy, and a marker for glycemic control and glycation of proteins which is the direct link between diagnosis of diabetes and its complications. [23-28] The advantages of using HbA1c to diagnose diabetes include greater convenience and preanalytical stability, lower CV (3.6%) compared to FPG (5.7%) and 2h OGTT  $(16.6\%)_{i}$ stronger correlation with microvascular complications especially retinopathy, and a marker for glycemic control and glycation of proteins which is the direct link between diagnosis of diabetes and its complications. [23-28]

The abnormalities like insulin resistance, hyperinsulinemia, hyperglycemia, dyslipidemia, and hypertension in type 2 diabetics tend to cluster and are often referred to as the "metabolic syndrome. [29] Elements of the metabolic syndrome are strong risk factors for cardiovascular disease. [30,31] An early intervention to normalize circulating lipids has been shown to reduce cardiovascular complications and mortality. [32] Estimated risk of CVD has

shown to be increased by 18% for each 1% increase in absolute HbA1c value in diabetic population. [33]

# Classification of Lipid Levels from the National Cholesterol Education Program Expert Panel [34]

Expertituiei		
Level(mg/dl)	Classification	
<b>Total Cholesterol</b>		
<200	Desirable	
200-239	Borderline high	
<u>&gt;</u> 240	High	
TG		
<150	Normal	
150-199	Borderline high	
200-499	High	
>500	Very high	
LDL-C		
<100	Optimal	
100-129	Near or above optimal	
130-159	Borderline high	
160-189	High	
>190	Very high	
HDL-C		
<40	Low	
>60	High	
VLDL		
<30	Normal	

HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; VLDL-C, very-low-density lipoprotein cholesterol

Thus the aim of the study was to correlate the elevated glycated hemoglobin levels with presence of dyslipidemia in known diabetic patients.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The present study was conducted in the Department of Biochemistry in Punjab Institute of Medical Sciences, Jalandhar. About 200 male patients with type II

Diabetes participated in the study. A preinformed written consent was taken from all the patients. The Lipid Profile -total cholesterol (TChol), high density lipoprotein (HDL-c), Triglycerides (TG) and Glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) of each subject was measured on fully automated biochemistry analyzer. The low density lipoprotein (LDL) was calculated by using Friedewald formula: LDL= TChol – (TG/5) - HDL.

#### Measurement of parameters

- Total Cholesterol (TChol) was estimated in serum by CHOD-PAP: enzymatic photometric test method (Diaysis) on BS400 fully automated analyzer.
- Triglycerides (TG) were estimated in serum by Enzymatic Colorimetric Method (Diaysis) onBS400 fully automated analyzer.
- High density lipoproteins (HDL-C) was estimated in serum by HDL-C Immuno FS (fluid stable) Method (Diaysis) onBS400 fully automated analyzer.
- Low density lipoproteins (LDL-C) was calculated by friedwald's formula. LDL-C was not calculated for samples having TG >400 mg/dl.
- Glycated hemoglobin HbA1c was estimated in whole blood (EDTA) by particle enhanced Immunoturbidimetric test Method (Diaysis) onBS400 fully automated analyzer.

The statistical analysis was done and correlation among HbA1c and lipid profile of type II Diabetic patients was established by Pearsons's correlation test and SPSS ver.21.

#### Results

The mean age of the patients was 48.6± 10.9 years, HbA1c levels had a mean of 7.56±1.25%, total cholesterol, triglycerides,

high density lipoprotein and low density lipoprotein were 210.4±16.4 mg/dl, 162.3 ± 16.9 mg/dl,  $36.5 \pm 6.5$  mg/dl and  $122.1 \pm$ 10.9 mg/dl and respectively. Diabetes was as American Diabetes defined per Association (ADA) criteria. Results shows that 60% type 2 diabetics in this study had hypercholesterolemia, 56% Hypertriglyceridemia, 96% abnormal LDL levels and 68% of them the HDL was less than 40 mg/dl (Table 1).

Table 1: Mean ± SD of HbA1c & lipid profile of type 2 diabetics		
Variables	Mean	
Age (years)	48.6± 10.9 years	
HbA1C (%)	7.56±1.25%	
TChol (mg/dl)	210.4±16.4	
TG (mg/dl)	162.3 ± 16.9	
LDL-C (mg/dl)	122.1 ± 10.9	
HDL-C(mg/dl)	36.5 ± 6.5	

Further, it was concluded that glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) was positively and significantly related with lipid profile i.e. total cholesterol (r=0.995), high density lipoproteins (r=-0.940), triglycerides (r=0.997) and very low density lipoproteins (r=0.993).

Table 2. Correlation: HbA1c			
T. Cholesterol	r- value	0.995	
	p- value	< 0.001	
TG	r- value	0.997	
	p- value	< 0.001	
LDL	r- value	0.993	
	p- value	< 0.001	
HDL	r- value	-0.940	
	p- value	< 0.001	

HbA1c-glycated haemoglobin, TC- total cholesterol, HDL- high density lipoproteins, LDL-low density lipoproteins, VLDL- very low density lipoproteins, TG- triglycerides

#### Discussion

This study has compared the lipid profile (TChol, TG, LDL-C and HDL-C) with glycated haemoglobin in type II Diabetic Punjabi males. The presence of dyslipidemia is evident with Diabetes. It is known that among patients with diabetes, physicians focus more on antihyperglycemic treatment, although blood pressure and lipid control are more effective in affecting patient-related end points. (35) In SHIELD (Study to Help Improve Early evaluation and management of risk factors Leading to Diabetes), a community-based population survey conducted in the United States, a multivariate analysis of self-reported data from 22,001 patients showed that dyslipidemia was independently associated with a higher likelihood of type 2 diabetes diagnosis (odds ratio, 3.95; P < 0.0001). [36] Our study has also shown a positive correlation of dyslipidemia with total cholesterol(r=0.995), triglyceride (r=0.997) and LDL-C (r=0.993). The p value is statistically significant indicating that they act as positive predictor of dyslipidemia. The dyslipidemia becomes more evident as the levels of HbA1c increase i.e. lesser the control of glycemia higher is dyslipidemia In the UKPDS, a multivariate analysis found that LDL-C was the strongest independent predictor of CVD, followed by HDL-C, while modestly elevated TG levels did not predict CVD events, [37] helping to justify current national guidelines advocating LDL-C < 100 mg/dL as the primary target for the management of dyslipidemia in patients with type 2 diabetes. Serum TG levels are a surrogate for TG-rich lipoproteins (eg, VLDL-C), and non-HDL-C (LDL-C + VLDL-C or TC -HDL-C) reflects the concentration of cholesterol within lipoprotein particles considered atherogenic. [38,39] However in our study HDL-C showed a negative correlation with the dyslipidemia (r=-0.940) acting as a protective marker for CVD (p<0.001) Khan et et al. [40] reported the impact of glycemic control on various lipid parameters and observed the significant alterations in all lipid parameters except LDL-C with regard to glycemic control. The severity of dyslipidemia increases in patients with higher HbA1c value. Elevated levels of HbA1c and dyslipidemia are independent risk factors of cardiovascular diseases and hence, diabetic patients with elevated HbA1c and dyslipidemia considered as high risk group for cardiovascular disease. Improving glycemic control can reduce the risk of cardiovascular events in diabetes. [41]

In this present study we have been able to significantly correlate HbA1c and various lipid parameters, higher mean values of lipid parameters and glycated hemoglobin indicates that HbA1c is an important tool for monitoring dyslipidemia in diabetic patients so that timely intervention with life style modifications or lipid lowering drugs can be started.

#### References

- 1. UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group. Intensive blood-glucose control with sulphonylureas compared with conventional treatment and risk of complications in patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 33). Lancet 1998;352:837–53.
- 2. Shaw JE, Sicree RA, Zimmet PZ. Global estimates of the prevalence of diabetes for 2010 and 2030. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2010;87:4–14.
- 3. Zimmet P, Alberti KGMM. Global and societal implications of the diabetes epidemic. Nature 2001;414(6865):782–7.

- 4. Motala AA, Omar MAK, Pirie FJ. Epidemiology of type 1 and type 2 diabetes in Africa. Journal of Cardiovascular Risk 2003;10(2):77–83.
- 5. Engelgau MM, Geiss LS, Saaddine JB, Stephanie MB, Edward WG, Edward FT, et al. The evolving diabetes burden in the United States. Annals of Internal Medicine 2004;140(11):945–50.
- 6. King H, Aubert RE, Herman WH. Global burden of diabetes, 1995–2025: prevalence, numerical estimates, and projections. Diabetes Care 1998; 21(9):1414–31.
- 7. Dandona P, Aljada A, Chaudhuri A, Mohanty P, Garg R. Metabolic syndrome: a comprehensive perspective based on interactions between obesity, diabetes, and inflammation. Circulation 2005;111:1448–54.
- 8. Ford ES. Risks for all-cause mortality, cardiovascular disease, and diabetes associated with the metabolic syndrome: a summary of the evidence. Diabetes Care 2005;28:1769–78.
- Fox CS, Pencina MJ, Meigs JB, Vasan RS, Levitsky YS, D'Augistino RB. Trends in the incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus from the 1970s to the 1990s: the Framingham Heart Study. Circulation 2006;113:2914–8.
- 10. Wild S, Roglic G, Green A, Sicree R, King H. Global Prevalence of Diabetes: estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. Diabetes Care 2004;27(5):1047–53.
- 11. International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas. 6th ed. Brussels, Belgium: International Diabetes Federation; 2013.
- World Health Organization Study Group. Diabetes Mellitus: WHO Technical Report, Series 727. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1985.
- 13. Magliano DJ, Zimmet P, Shaw JE. Classification of diabetes mellitus and other

- categories of glucose intolerance. In: Defronzo RA, Ferrrannini E, Albert KGMM, Zimmet P, editors. International Textbook of Diabetes Mellitus 4<sup>th</sup> ed. USA: Wiley Blackwell; 2015.p.3-16.
- 14. DeFronzo RA, Bonadonna RC, Ferrannini E. Pathogenesis of NIDDM. In Albert KGMM, Zimmet P, DeFronzo RA, editors. International Textbook of Diabetes Mellitus, 2nd ed. Chichester: Wiley; 1997.p.635-712.
- 15. DCCT Study Group. The Effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med 1993; Sep 30;329(14):977–86.
- 16. International Expert Committee. International Expert Committee report on the role of the A1C assay in the diagnosis of diabetes. Diabetes Care 2009;32:1327–34.
- 17. Jeffcoate SL. Diabetes control and complications: the role of glycated haemoglobin, 25 years on. Diabet Med 2004;21(7):657–65.
- 18. International Expert Committee Report on the role of the A1c assay in the diagnosis of diabetes. Diabetes Care 2009;32:1327–34.
- 19. Greci Laura S, Kailasam Mala. Utility of HbA1c Levels for Diabetes Case Finding in Hospitalized Patients With Hyperglycemia Diabetes Care 2003;26(4):1064–8.
- 20. British Diabetic Association. Recommendations for the management of diabetes in primary care. London: BDA, 1993.
- 21. Sato KK, Hayashi T, Harita N, Yoneda T, Nakamura Y,Endo G, Kambe H. Combined measurement of fasting plasma glucose and A1C is effective for the prediction of type 2 diabetes: the Kansai Healthcare Study. Diabetes Care 2009;32:644–6.
- 22. American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes care 2011;34:S62–9.

- 23. Shaw JE, d Emden MC, Goodall I. Is Australia ready to use glycated haemoglobin for the diagnosis of diabetes? Med J Aust 2011;195:7–8.
- 24. Davidson MB. Diagnosing diabetes with glucose criteria: worshiping a false God. Diabetes Care 2011;34:524–6.
- 25. Day A. HbA1c and diagnosis of diabetes. The test has finally come of age. Ann Clin Biochem 2012;49:7–8.
- 26. Malkani S, Mordes JP. Implications of using hemoglobin A1C for diagnosing diabetes mellitus. Am J Med 2011;124:395–401.
- 27. Sacks DB. A1C versus glucose testing: a comparison. Diabetes Care 2011;34:518–23.
- 28. Cheng YJ, Gregg EW, Geiss LS, Imperatore G, Williams DE, Zhang X, et al. Association of A1C and fasting plasma glucose levels with diabetic retinopathy prevalence in the US population: Implications for diabetes diagnostic thresholds. Diabetes Care 2009;32:2027–32.
- 29. Grundy SM. Multifactorial causation of obesity: implications for prevention. Am J Clin Nutr I 1998;67:563S–72S.
- 30. Lamarche, B, Tchernof A, Mauriege P, Cantin B, Dagenais GR, Despres JP. Fasting insulin and apolipoprotein B levels and low-density lipoprotein particle size as risk factors for ischemic heart disease. JAMA 1998;279(24): 1955-61.
- 31. Reaven GM, Laws A. Insulin resistance, compensatory hyperinsulin-aemia and coronary heart disease. Diabetologia 1994 sep;37(9): 948-52.
- 32. Windler E. What is the consequence of an abnormal lipid profile in patients with type 2 diabetes or the metabolic syndrome? Atheroscler Suppl 2005;6:11-4.
- 33. Selvin, E, Marinopoulos S, Berkenblit G, Rami T, Brancati FL, Powe NR. Meta-analysis: glycosy-lated hemoglobin and

- cardiovascular disease in diabe-tes mellitus. Ann Intern Med 2004 sep21;141(6):421-31.
- 34. National Cholesterol Education Program Expert Panel. Executive Summary of the Third Report of The National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III) JAMA 2001;285:2486–97.
- 35. Berthold H, Gouni-Berthold I, Bestehorn K, Bohm M, Krone W. Cardiovascular risk factors in patients with type 2 in Germany. Deutsches Arzteblatt 2007;104: A861–7.
- 36. Bays HE, Bazata DD, Clark NG, Gavin JR, Green AJ, Lewis SJ, et al. Prevalence of self-reported diagnosis of diabetes mellitus and associated risk factors in a national survey in the US population: SHIELD (Study to Help Improve Early evaluation and management of risk factors Leading to Diabetes) BMC Public Health 2007 oct3;(7):277.
- 37. Turner RC, Millns H, Neil HA, Stratton IM, Manley SE, Matthews DR, et al. Risk factors for coronary artery disease in non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus: United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS 23) BMJ 1998;316:823–8.
- 38. Goff DC Jr, Gerstein HC, Ginsberg HN, Cushman WC, Margolis KL, Byington RP, et al. Prevention of cardiovascular disease in persons with type 2 diabetes mellitus: current knowledge and rationale for the Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes (ACCORD) trial. Am J Cardiol 2007 Jun18;99(12A):4i–20i.
- 39. Brunzell JD, Davidson M, Furberg CD, Goldberg RB, Howard BV, Stein JH, et al. Lipoprotein management in patients with cardiometabolic risk: consensus statement from the American Diabetes Association

- and the American College of Cardiology Foundation. Diabetes Care 2008;31:811–22.
- 40. Khan HA, Sobki SH, Khan SA. Association between glycemic control and serum lipid profile in type 2 diabetic patients: HbA1c predicts dyslipidemia. Clin Exp Med 2007;7:24-9.
- 41. Selvin E, Wattanakit K, Steffes MW, Coresh J, Sharrett AR. HbA1c and peripheral arterial disease in diabetes: the Atherosclerosis risk in communities study. Diabetes Care 2006;29:877-82.

Cite this article as: Arora R, Thakurdas B. Glycated hemoglobin as a marker of dyslipidemia in type II Diabetic patients. Int J Med and Dent Sci 2016;5(2):1164-1170.

Source of Support: Nil Conflict of Interest: No