

BREMEKAMPIA—A NEW GENERIC NAME

C. P. SREEMADHAVAN

Botanical Survey of India, Southern Circle, Coimbatore

The genus *Haplanthus* was described by Nees having *H. tener* as its type (Nees in Wall. Pl. As. Rar. 3: 115, 1832). He separated this genus from *Andrographis* on account of the subactinomorphous corolla and of the supposedly monothecous anthers. The anthers were later found to be dithecos, and T. Anderson treated *H. tener* as a species of *Andrographis* viz: *A. tenuiflora* T. And. (J. Linn. Soc. 9: 502, 1867). Bremekamp (1948) has discussed the nomenclature of this species and he has pointed out that the correct name for this species should be *A. laxiflora* (Bl.) Lindau. Retention of the generic name *Haplanthus* for those species which Nees and other authors had subsequently referred to it, and which differ markedly from *H. tener* Nees and the species of *Andrographis* is inadmissible according to Art. 48 of the *Int. Code Bot. Nom.* 1961. As the generic name *Haplanthus* cannot be conserved, a new name is necessary to accommodate the species now treated as species of *Haplanthus*. I propose the name *Bremekampia* in recognition of Dr. C. E. B. Bremekamp's contributions towards a better understanding of the family Acanthaceae.

Bremekampia Sreem. gen. nov.

Pertinet ad Acanthaceas, trib. Andrographideas, affineque est *Andrographidi* Nees, a qua tamen differt inflorescentia, pseudoverticillata circumdata pluribus ramulis abortivis ad cladoidea reductis; corolla subactinomorpha obscure bilabiata; seminibus paulum lateraliter compressis et madidis quidem pilosis.

Herbae erectae vel reclinatae. Folia oppositae, petiolata, integra. Flores axillares pseudoverticillati circumdati pluribus ramulis abortivis ad cladoidea reductis; verticilla dispersa per caulem et ramos vel aggregata in spicas terminales. Bracteae et bracteolae parvae, linear-lanceolatae, desinentes in apicem acutum, calycis lobis breviores. Calyx 5-partitus, linear-lanceolatus, subaequalis. Corolla parva, tubulosa, subactinomorpha, obscure bilabiata, imbricata, labio superiore bifido, inferiore vero obscure trifido. Stamina bina, quam corolla breviora, sub faucem inserta; antherae bicellulares, cellulis aequalibus vel subaequalibus, conniventibus, subcohaerentibus, dorsifixis; connectivo piloso ad dorsum; polline granulari. Ovarium biloculare, ovulis 3-8 in singulis loculis; stylus gracilis; stigma lineare. Capsula anguste oblonga, ad apicem acuta, compressa, seminibus 6-16. Semina paulum lateraliter compressa, dense pilosa cum madida, pilis brevibus.

Typus: *Bremekampia verticillata* (Roxb.) Sreem. comb. nov. (*Justicia verticillata* Roxb. Fl. Ind. 1: 135, 1832).

Bremekampia Sreem. gen. nov.

Belongs to Acanthaceae, tribe Andrographideae allied to *Andrographis* Nees but differs in having the flowers in axillary false whorls surrounded by numerous abortive branchlets reduced to cladodes; corolla subactinomorphous, obscurely 2-lipped and seeds slightly compressed laterally and hairy when moistened.

Erect or reclining herbs. Leaves opposite, petiolate, entire. Flowers in axillary false whorls surrounded by numerous abortive branchlets reduced to cladodes; whorls scattered along the stem and branches or collected in terminal spikes. Bracts and bracteoles small, linear-lanceolate, ending in sharp points, shorter than the calyx lobes. Calyx 5-partite, linear-lanceolate, subequal. Corolla small, tubular, subactinomorphous, obscurely 2-lipped, imbricate, upper lip 2-fid, lower obtusely 3-lobed. Stamens 2, shorter than the corolla, inserted below the throat; anthers 2-celled, cells equal or subequal, connivent, subcoherent, dorsifixes; connective hairy behind; pollen granular. Ovary 2-celled, ovules 3-8 in each cell; style slender; stigma linear. Capsule narrowly oblong, acute at apex, compressed at right angles to the septum, 6-16 seeded. Seeds slightly compressed laterally, densely hairy when moistened, hairs short.

Type: *Bremekampia verticillata* (Roxb.) Sreem. comb. nov. (*Justicia verticillata* Roxb. Fl. Ind. 1: 135, 1832).

The names of species of *Haplanthus* given in Indian Floras should be replaced by the following new combinations.

Bremekampia verticillata (Roxb.) Sreem. comb. nov. *Justicia verticillata* Roxb. Fl. Ind. 1: 135, 1832; Graham, Cat. Pl. Bomb. 165, 1839. *Haplanthus verticillatus* (Roxb.) Nees in DC. Prod. 11: 513, 1847; Dalz. and Gibbs. Bomb. Fl. 198, 1861; Clarke in Fl. Brit. India 4: 506, 1884; Cooke, Fl. Bomb. 2: 375, 1905; Gamble, Fl. Pres. Madr. 2: 1052, 1924 ("verticillaris"); Santapau, Bot. Mem. Univ. Bombay 2: 51, 1951; Rec. bot. Surv. India 16: 177, 1958 (Repr. ed.).

B. neilgherryensis (Wt.) Sreem. comb. nov. *Haplanthus neilgherryensis* Wt. Icones t. 1556, 1850; Gamble l.c.; Santapau l.c. 52 and 178; *H. tentaculatus* Nees var. *neilgherryensis* Clarke l.c. 507;

H. tentaculatus Nees l.c. *pro parte*; Cooke l.c. 376
pro parte.

B. tentaculata (Linn.) Sreem. comb. nov. var.
tentaculata. *Ruellia tentaculata* Linn. Cent. Pl. 2
 in Amoen. Acad. 4: 320, 1759; Willd. Sp. Pl. 3:
 368, 1801. *Haplanthus tentaculatus* Nees l.c. *pro
 parte*; Clarke l.c.; Cooke l.c. *pro parte*; *H. tenta-
 culatus* (Linn.) Nees var. *neesiana* Santapau l.c. 52.

B. tentaculata (Linn.) Sreem. var. **plumosa** (T. And.)
 Sreem. comb. nov. *Haplanthus plumosa* T. And. J.
 Linn. Soc. 9: 504, 1867; *H. tentaculatus* Nees var.
plumosa (T. And.) Clarke l.c.; Santapau l.c. 53 and
 178; *H. tentaculatus* Cooke l.c. *pro parte*.

DOUBTFUL SPECIES

T. Anderson (l.c. 503-4; Clarke l.c. 507) described
 a species which he called *H. ? Hygrophylloides*
 based on some specimens from Burma. He
 remarks: "It is with the greatest hesitation that I
 place this plant even provisionally in *Haplanthus*.
 The specimens I possess are very imperfect, so that

I have been able to examine only one flower. The
 plant is evidently an insignificant weed. I at first
 referred the species to *Hygrophila* but after examin-
 ing the flower I was induced to remove it to its
 present place." As I have not been able to examine
 materials of this species it is not possible to express
 an opinion at this stage.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank Rev. Fr. Dr. H. Santapau S.J., Director,
 Botanical Survey of India, Calcutta for the award
 of a Research Scholarship during the tenure of
 which this work was carried out and for translating
 the diagnosis into Latin; Dr. K. M. Sebastine,
 Regional Botanist, Botanical Survey of India,
 Southern Circle, Coimbatore for guidance and
 encouragement and Dr. C. E. B. Bremekamp,
 Utrecht, Netherlands for valuable suggestions.

LITERATURE CITED

BREMEKAMP, C. E. B. Notes on the Acanthaceae of Java. Verh.
Natuur. Akad. Wst (II) 45(2): 1-78, 1948.