entire spikelets, including the involucral glumes have transformed into leafy structure and vegetative shoots.

In Cyrtococcum Ridley, the entire branches or branchlets of the panicle have been replaced by the vegetative shoots. These vegetative structures which replace the lemmas or glumes, or the entire spikelets, vary from simple bract-like scales to variously differentiated leaf-like structures with sheaths and blades. These vegetative shoots borne on branches of panicle seem to help in propagation of the plant, as the shape, size and disposition of these plantlet-like bodies is usually very similar to the characteristic plantlets seen in the well known viviparous Poabulbosa L. This, however, cannot be said with unqualified certainty, as the living population of these species showing proliferation have not been seen by the authors.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are grateful to the Director, Botanical Survey of India for his keen interest and facilities in these studies.

S. K. JAIN AND D. C. PAL.

Botanical Survey of India, Calcutta

REFERENCES

ARBER, A. The Gramineae. Cambridge, 1934.

Bor, N. L. The Grasses of Burma, Ceylon, India and Pakistan. London, 1960.

TAIN, S. K. Notes on Indian Grasses—X. Proliferation in Eragrostis P. Beauv. and Bromus L. Bull. bot. Surv. India 10: 229-230, 1968.

Joshi, A. C. Vivipary in Atriplex crassifolia and Sueda fruticosa. J. Indian bot. Soc. 11:71-72, 1932.

——A suggested explanation of the prevalence of Vivipary on the seashore. J. Ecol. 21: 209-212, 1933.

STEBBINS, G. L. Variation and Evolution in plants. New York, 1950.

A NOTE ON LYCOPSIS ARVENSIS AUCT. NON LINN. (BORAGINACEAE) IN THE FLORA OF ASSAM

Fischer (1938) in Plants new to Assam wrongly identified the sheet N. L. Bor 6507, collected from Japvo, Naga Hills as Lycopsis arvensis Linn. Probably, this lead Kanjilal et al. (1939) to include this species in their Flora of Assam. Subsequently, Fischer (1940) corrected his error and described the plant as a new species—Onosma lycopsioides Fisch. With the recognition of the genus Maharanga A. DC., by Johnston (1954) the new binomial for this species is Maharanga lycopsioides (Fisch.) Johnst. Complete citations are appended herewith.

Maharanga lycopsioides (Fisch.) Johnst. in Journ. Arnold Arbor. 35: 81. 1954. Onosma lycopsioides Fisch. in Kew Bull. 1940: 39. 1940; Johnst. in Journ. Arnold Arbor. 32: 358. 1951.—Type: From Japvo, Naga Hills, N. L. Bor 6507 (K), Isotype in Herb. DD. secn. Lycopsis arvensis auct. non Linn.: Fisch. in Kew Bull. 1938: 211. 1938; Kanjilal et al. in Fl. Assam 3: 337. 1939.

S. P. BANERJEE

Botanical Survey of India, Howrah

THECAGONUM BABU-A NEW GENERIC NAME IN RUBIACEAE

The genus Gonotheca Bl. ex DC. (1830) was formerly treated either as a subgenus (Bentham & Hooker f. in Gen. Pl. 2: 59. 1873; Hooker f. in Fl. Brit. Ind. 3: 69. 1880) or as a section (Schumann in Pflanzenfam. 4: 4. 25. 1891) of the genus Oldenlandia Linn. sensu lato (1753). Recently, however, following Bremekamp's generic concept of the family Rubiaceae, particularly that of the tribe Hedyotideae (Bremekamp in Rec. Trav. Bot. Neerl. 36: 438-445. 1939 et in Verh. Kon. Akad. Wet. 48: 1-297. 1952), it is now treated as a distinct genus by modern authors (Santapau & Wagh in Bull, bot.

Surv. India 5: 107. 1963). It is distinguished from Oldenlandia Linn. as follows:

1. Fruit 4-angled; seeds globose or subglobose.

Leaves usually broader ... Gonotheca

1. Fruit terete; seeds angular. Leaves usually narrower ...

Oldenlandia

The generic name Gonotheca Bl. ex DC. can no longer be used for this taxon, as it is illegitimate by being a later homonym of Gonotheca Rafin. (1808). Since there is no other valid name for this genus and moreover it is unnecessary to conserve the generic name Gonotheca Bl. ex DC. (1830) over