

While conducting a plant exploration tour in Mahendragiri Hills and adjoining regions, Kanyakumari District, Tamil Nadu (77°29'-77°31' East longitude and 8°23'-8°26' North latitude), the authors came across a species of Medinilla in bloom. On critical examination it was found to be different from the other two species of the genus reported by Gamble in his Flora of the Presidency of Madras viz., M. beddomei C. B. Cl. and M. malabarica Bedd. The material was, therefore, sent to the Central National Herbarium, Calcutta where it was identified as M. fuchsioides Gardn. This species has hitherto been regarded as endemic to Ceylon (C.B. Clarke in Hook, f. Fl. Brit. India 2: 548. 1879), and the present report is, thus, a new record for India.

Medinilla fuchsioides Gardn. in Calc. Journ. Nat. Hist. 8:12. 1847; Thw. Enum. Pl. Zeyl. 106. 1859; C. B. Cl. in Hook. f. Fl. Brit. India 2: 548. 1879; Cogn. in DC. Monogr. Phan. 7: 580. 1891.

Field notes: Shrubby; upper surface of leaves dark green, lower surface pale green; peduncle, pedicel and calyx red; corolla pinkish red; filaments yellow, anthers yellowish without, withish within; style

Date of receipt : 5.10.76. Date of acceptance : 12.8.77

creamish; growing from the crevices of rocks in humus soil and found clinging to the rocks.

Key to the South Indian species of Medinilla

1.	Leaves elliptic or elliptic-lanceolate, s'de ners es prominent		
		Leaves petiolate, 3-5 nerved, nerves	M. malobarica Bedd.
	2.	Leaves sessile or subsessile, recurved at apex, usually 5-nerved, 3-nerves arising from the base, 2-nerves a little above	M. Gushrinidae
	Ţ		M. fuchsioides Gardn.
1.	Leaves orbicular, 3-nerved, side nerves indistinct		
			M. beddomei
			C. B. Cl.

Herbarium specimens examined: TAMIL NADU: Grassy slöpes, beyond Kandakki Estate, Panagudi, Kanyakumari District, 1210 m, 8-12-1969, B. V. Shetty 33084.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are thankful to the Deputy Director, Central National Herbarium, Howrah for kindly confirming the identity of the specimen.

> B. V. SHETTY Botanical Survey of India, Jodhpur AND S. KARTHIKEYAN Botanical Survey of India, Pune

BLUMEA LACINIATA (ROXB.) DC. (ASTERACEAE—INULEAE) HAS TO BE CALLED BLUMEA SINUATA (LOUR.) MERR.

Roxburgh (Hort. Beng. 61, 1814, n.n.; Fl. Ind. ed. 2. 3: 427, 1832) described the species Conyza laciniata and this binomial was later used as the basionym for the combination Blumea laciniata (Roxb.) DC. (Predr. 5: 436, 1836). Randeria (Blumea 10: 176-

Date of receipt : 26.10.76. Date of acceptance : 13.10.77

317, 1960), in her taxonomic revision of the genus Blumea accepted this combination as the legitimate name of this most commonspecies occurring in India. However, Merrill (Trans. Amer. Philosph. Soc. 24: 388, 1935) cited Conyza laciniata Roxb. as a synonym of a new combination Blumea sinuata (Lour.) Merr. based on Gnaphalium sinu-

atum Lour. (Fl. Cochinch. 497, 1790; ed. Willd. 608, 1793). Interestingly, Randeria (Blumea 10: 298, 1960) placed Blumea sinuata (Lour.) Merr. in a category "Taxa and Names of uncertain status"; and thus did not recognise Merrill's combination although he had reduced Blumea laciniata (Roxb.) DC. to the rank of a synonym of his combination—Blumea sinuata (Lour.) Merr. Further, Merrill (loc. cit.) added "Loureiro's concise description [of Gnaphalium sinualum, the basionym of Blumea sinuata]* applies unmistakably to the common and wide'y distributed species of Blumea currently known as B. laciniata DC. which is apparently fairly common in Indochina and which occurs at the (P. Conduc.), Loureiro's classical locality".

From the above statements, it is evident that the binomial accepted by Randeria (loc. cit.)—Blumea laciniata (Roxb.) DC. is conspecific with Blumea sinuata (Lour.) Merr. The latter combination—Blumea sinuata (Lour.) Merr.—thus does have a definite status; and since it antedates *B. laciniata* (Roxb.) DC., it should, according to the ICBN, be accepted as the correct and legitimate name of the plant. The synonymy would be as follows:

Blumea sinuata (Lour.) Merr. Trans. Amer. Philosph. Soc. 24: 388, 1935.

Gnaphalium sinuatum Lour. Fl. Cochinch. 497, 1790; ed. Willd. 608, 1793.

Blumea laciniata (Roxb.) DC. Prodr. 5: 436, 1836; Randeria, Blumea 10: 258, 1960. Conyza laciniata Roxb. Hort. Beng. 61.

1814, n.n.; Fl. Ind. ed. 2, 3: 427, 1832.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The facilities extended by The Director, Botanical Survey of India, for consulting the Library are greatly appreciated. Sincere thanks are expressed to Drs. K.M.M. Dakshini and C. R. Babu for valuable discussions.

> PRITHIPAL SINGH Deshabandhu College, New Delhi

"Emphasis in parenthesis added

ON THE IDENTITY OF BORRERIA ERADII RAVI (RUBIACEAE)

Borreria eradii was proposed by Ravi in Journ. Bombay nat. Hist. Soc. 66 (3): 539. 1970, on the basis of a gathering collected in 1968 from Punalur, Kerala State. He distinguished this species from the closely allied B. hispida (L) K. Schum. (=Spermacoce hispida L.) for (1) prominently winged quadrangular stems, (2) soft textured leaves with impressed veins, (3) apically papillate stipular bristles bearing long multicellular hairs with bulbous base and interspersed with glandular papillae, (4) fugaceous funnelshaped coro'la with a narrow tube abruptly widening into a swollen mouth and (5) the fruit with the lower part of the septum only remaining persistent after dehiscence. He observed further that this species is intermediate between B. hispida (L.) K. Schum. and B. ocymoides Burm. f. in dehiscence of the fruit and resembles B. stricta (L. f.) K. Schum. (=Spermacoce pusilla Wall.) in the soft textured leaves with impressed veins. In dehiscence, however, this is more akin to B. ocymoides than to B. hispida.

While checking the identification of S. hispida in the Forest Herbarium, Dehra Dún, Nathani & Raizada detérmined four specimens as B. eradii and thereby recorded in Indian Forester 102 (10): 682. 1976, its extended distribution to North Bengal, Tripura and Burma.

Ravi correctly distinguished his gathering

Date of receipt : 21.12.76. Date of acceptance : 12.8.77