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#### Abstract

This paper looks at methods to calculate prices (or approximate prices) of bonds (zero coupon as well as coupon bearing) where the interest rates follow a log-normal distribution using two different waysthe first method makes use of a conditioning variable similar to the approach of Basu (1999) and Rogers and Shi (1995), while the second method (only applicable in case of the zero - coupon bond case) is by making use of a direct expansion technique.

The conditioning factor based method is then used to approximate the price of the bond (in fact the lower bound to the price of the bond) for the case of coupon carrying bonds - both non -defaultable as well as defaultable ones.

Finally, the conditioning factor method is used to value European options on assets with stochastic volatility. The approach used is not one based on numerical solutions to partial differential equations but rather on an approximation to the price of the option that can be arrived at using the conditioning factor approach.

As is shown in the tables at the end of the paper, the results obtained by using the conditioning factor based approach is quite accurate - in fact in some cases it is exactly equal to the true prices themselves.


## INTRODUCTION

Bond valuation has been one of the most important aspects of finance, especially with stochastic interest rates. Another important problem that deserves

[^0]particular attention is the issue of pricing of options on assets with stochastic volatility. In this paper, we look at some problems related to these issues.

The first of the two problems is that of pricing a bond (first a zero coupon bond and then two cases of the coupon bearing bond - non defaultable as well as defaultable) with non-negative interest rates. We assume a log-normal model for the interest rate, thereby ensuring non-negative interest rates. Thus, the instantaneous rate of interest $r_{s}$ (interest rate process) is defined by

$$
r_{s}=b e^{X_{S}}, \text { and } X_{s}=\mu_{s}+Y_{s}
$$

where $b$ is a scaling constant, $\left\{Y_{s} ; O \leq s \leq T\right\}$ is a Gaussian process with zero mean and $\mu_{s}$ is the drift of $X_{s}$. The price of a zero coupon bond is given by

$$
\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{b} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{e}^{x_{s} d s}}\right),
$$

where $X_{s}$ is as defined earlier. The exponential nature of the model ensures that interest rates do not go negative since negative interest rates are unrealistic and could lead to undesirable consequences, as outlined by Rogers (1995). This can be put in the framework of Heath, Jarrow and Morton (1992) and is also an extension of Black and Karasinski (1991) and Black, Derman and Toy (1990).

The second problem is that of valuing European call options on assets with stochastic volatility.

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
d X_{t}=r X_{t} d t+\sigma e^{\frac{\kappa V_{t}}{2}} X t\left[\rho d B_{t}^{(1)}+\sqrt{l-\rho^{2}} d B_{t}^{(2)}\right]  \tag{2}\\
d V_{t}=\mu d t+d B_{t}^{(1)}  \tag{3}\\
\text { or } \quad d V_{t}=-a V_{t} d t+d B_{t}^{(t)} \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $X_{t}$ is the price process and $V_{t}$ is the volatility process. $r$ is the rate of interest and $B_{t}^{(1)}$ and $B_{t}^{(2)}$ are two independent standard Brownian motions. The volatility process $V_{t}$ can follow a simple Brownian motion (equation (3)), $\mu$ being the drift of the Brownian motion or an Ornstein - Uhlenbeck process (equation (4)), $a$ being the force of mean reversion the Ornstein - Uhlenbeck
process. Further, $\rho$ is the correlation between $V_{t}$ and the logarithm of $X_{i}$. In this situation, the interest rate $r$ is treated as a constant. Here, we are interested in the price of an European call option given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{o}\left\{e^{-r} E\left(e^{Y_{T}}-b\right)^{+}\right\}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b$ is the strike price of the option is calculated, $X_{\theta}$ is the current price
of the asset and $Y_{t}=\ell n\left(\frac{X_{t}}{X_{0}}\right)$.
The common strand is that both problems essentially involve the evaluation of some functions of integrals of log-normal processes. We now look at the ways to solve these problems. The most standard way to solve these problems have been by the use of numerical solutions to the relevant set of partial differential equations. This may not only be inaccurate but also time consuming and hence if possible should be avoided. We thus look at a new way of solving these problemswe make use of a conditioning factor approach.

The paper is structured as follows: we first look at some of the work done already in this area and then briefly discuss the concept of the conditioning factor used and then go on to identify the use of the most appropriate conditioning factor. Having identified the conditioning factor, we look at pricing of the zero coupon bonds followed by pricing of coupon bearing bonds. We finally discuss the issue of pricing of the European options. The results of each of the cases are detailed in the various tables given at the end.

## PREVIOUS WORK

The last 30 years has seen a lot of work relevant to what we are discussing here. Some of the more important ones are briefly outlined here. Notable work on modelling interest rates and pricing of bonds have been carried out by Vasicek (1977), Black, Derman and Toy (1990), Black and Karasinski (1991), Hull and White (1990, 1993, Fall 1994, Winter 1994, 1996) and Heath, Jarrow and Morton (1992). All these papers mentioned above model the interest rate as either a normal distribution or a log-normal distribution. The choice of a lognormal distribution of interest rates have also been used by Goldys, Musiela and Sondermann (1994), Sandermann, Sondermann and Miltersen (1994) and Brace,

Gatarek and Musiela (1997). However, the basis of research in this field has not been restricted only to the Gaussian set-up - the most significant work looking at the term structure of interest rates in a non- Gaussian framework is by Cox, Ingersoll and Ross (1985). Most of the contributions referred above deal with the one - factor model. However, work has been done on the multi-factor model as well; prominent among them are Duffie and Kan $(1994,1996)$ and Longstaff and Schwartz (1992a, 1992b).

In terms of research in option pricing, one of the earliest pioneering works in this field has been by Black and Scholes (1973) followed by Merton (1973), Rubenstein (1976), Hull and White (1987), Rogers and Shi (1995), Heston (1993), Jarrow and Rudd (1982), Stein and Stein (1991), Wiggins (1987), Willard (1996) and Romano and Touzi (1997). Note that while Black and Scholes, Merton and Rubenstein assumed a constant volatility of the price process, this assumption may not be the most realistic assumption - in most practical situations, the volatility of the price process is stochastic in nature (either a Brownian motion or an Ornstein - Uhlenbeck process). This general framework was introduced by Vasicek (1977). Baxter and Rennie (1996) outlines a number of modifications to the volatility process used. The work of Harrison and Kreps (1979) and Harrison and Pliska (1981) on the use of martingales and stochastic integrals in financial applications, especially in the securities market and in continuous trading is also very important.

## CONDITIONING FACTOR

We now discuss the concept of conditioning factor that we are going to use to solve the problems defined earlier in equation (1) and equation (5). The idea of a conditioning factor was first proposed by Rogers and Shi (1995). For any convex function $f$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(f(Y))=E(E(f(Y) \mid Z)) \geq E(f(E(Y \mid Z))) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first part of equation (6) is trivially true while the second part is Jensen's inequality. Thus, one can easily obtain the lower bound to the function - in the cases we discuss, the function, $f$, is the price of the relevant product.

This is similar to Rogers and Shi and in their case, the function $f$ was $f(x)=\max (x-k, 0)$. The main concern here is about the choice of $Z$, the
conditioning factor - we discuss ways to select $Z$ later in this section. The Z used by Rogers and Shi is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\int_{0}^{T} B_{s} d s \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Rogers and Shi, they had investigated numerically several possible choices for $Z$, some of them bivariate. However, they found that the best choice was the one defined by equation (7). Now, the lower bound on equation (6) is not guaranteed to be good. However, the estimate of the error can be made using the following approach. We have, for any random variable U ,

$$
0 \leq E\left(U^{+}\right)-E(U)^{+} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(U)}
$$

Thus, using this, one can find the upper bound to the price. As a follow up to Rogers and Shi's work, Thompson (1999) has developed a method to refine the upper bound to the price of the Asian option.

Basu (1999) has provided with a mathematical justification to the choice of $Z$, the conditioning factor. It is indeed a fact that the form of $Z$ defined by Rogers and Shi does work out to be the most accurate conditioning factor in terms of the error committed (the error is the least by using this form of a conditioning factor). In fact, in the general case, the conditioning factor, $Z$ can be written as

$$
Z \propto \int_{0}^{T} Y_{s} d s \Rightarrow Z=\frac{\int_{0}^{T} Y_{s} d s}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(\int_{0}^{T}, Y_{s} d s\right)}}
$$

where $\left\{Y_{s} ; 0 \leq s \leq T\right\}$ is a Gaussian process with zero mean and variance of 1 ; i.e. $Z \sim N(0,1)$ distribution. We shall use this form of the conditioning factor as defined in equation (8) throughout the rest of the paper. In some cases, we might need to make some modification on the form of $Z$ - we shall highlight that in the relevant cases. Also, for all calculations in the paper we assume, without loss of generality, $T=1$.

## PRICING OF BONDS

## Zero Coupon Bonds

We first look at pricing of zero coupon bonds - bonds that make only one payment at maturity. The primary method of pricing is based on using the conditioning factor as defined in equation (8). However, we also look at the alternative method by a direct expansion technique.

## Pricing using conditioning factor

We adopt a log-normal model for interest rates similar to the approach of Goldys, Musiela and Sondermann (1994), Sandermann, Sondermann and Miltersen (1994) and Brace, Gatarek and Musiela (1997). The log-normal model ensures that the interest rates cannot go negative.

Let the instantaneous rate of interest $r_{t}$, be given by

$$
r_{t}=b e^{\mu_{t}+Y_{t}}
$$

where $Y_{t}$ is a Gaussian process with zero mean and the variance - covariance given by

$$
\operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{u v}, Y\right)=\sigma_{u v}
$$

$\mu_{t}$ is the drift of $Y_{t}$ and is deterministic in nature. Also, $b$ is a scaling factor whose importance will become apparent later. This can be put in the framework of Heath, Jarrow and Morton (1992) as shown by Baxter and Rennie (1996) and is also an extension of Black and Karasinski (1991), Black, Derman and Toy (1990), Hull and White (1990) as well as a modification of Vasicek (1977).

A generalized version of the problem is the calculation of

$$
E\left[f\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left\{Y_{s}+\mu_{s}\right\} d s\right)\right]
$$

where, $f$ is a convex function. Thus, in particular the price of the bond $\left(f(x)=e^{-b x}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(\exp \left\{-b \int_{0}^{1} \exp \left(Y_{s}+\mu_{s}\right)\right\} d s\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We look at pricing the bond by using the conditioning factor described in equation (8) - in effect we calculate the lower and the upper bound of the price of a zero coupon bond explicitly; the true price has to be between the bounds and if the bounds merge than the common value is the true price. Now, using equation (6) and the conditioning factor $Z$ as defined in equation (8), we can obtain the lower bound to the price of the bond. In case of the zero coupon bonds, the upper bound to the price can be easily obtained as shown later.

Now, conditionally on $Z, Y_{u}^{\prime}$ is a Gaussian process with

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(Y_{u} \mid Z\right)=k_{u} Z \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{u}=\operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{u^{\prime}} Z\right)=\frac{\int_{0}^{i} \operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{u}, Y_{s}\right) d s}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(\int_{0}^{1} Y_{u} d s\right)}}$
and $\quad \operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{u}, Y_{v} / Z\right)=\sigma_{u v}-k_{u} k_{v}=w_{u v} \quad$ say.
We are interested in calculating a lower bound ( $\mathrm{LB}_{1}$ ) and the corresponding upper bound $\left(\mathrm{UB}_{1}\right)$. We do that by considering the following argument. There exists some random variable $\xi$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E(f(X))=E[f(E(X \mid Z))]+E\left[(X-E(X \mid Z)) f^{\prime}(E(X \mid Z))\right]+\frac{1}{2} E\left[(X-E(X \mid Z))^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(\xi)\right], \\
& \Rightarrow E[f(E(X \mid Z))] \leq E(f(X)) \leq E\{f(E(X \mid Z))]+\frac{1}{2} E(X-E(X \mid Z))^{2} \sup _{x \geq 0} f^{\prime \prime}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, in the case where $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=e^{-b x}$, a lower bound is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\mathrm{LB}_{1}=E[f(E)(X) / Z)\right)\right] \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and an upper bound is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{UB}_{1}=\mathrm{LB}_{1}+\frac{1}{2} b^{2} \mathrm{E}(\operatorname{Var}(X / Z)) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\sup _{x \geq 0} f^{\prime \prime}(x)=b^{2}$. Also, here $X=\int_{0}^{1} e^{{Y_{s}}_{s}+\mu_{s}} d s$ Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[\operatorname{Var}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{e}^{{y_{s}}_{s}+\mu_{s}} d s \mid Z\right)\right]=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2}\left[k_{u}+k_{v}\right]^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left[w_{u u}+w_{w}\right]\right)\left(\mathrm{e}^{w_{u v}}-1\right) d u d v \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us define

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=E\left(\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{s}+\mu_{s}} d s / Z\right)=\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{e}^{k_{u} z+\frac{1}{2} w_{u u}} d u \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z \sim N(0,1)$. The lower bound to the price of the bond is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L B_{l}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-b h(z)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{z^{2}}{2}} d z \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding upper bound is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{UB}_{1}=\mathrm{LB}_{1}+\frac{b^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2}\left[k_{u}+k_{v}\right]^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left[w_{u u}+w_{v v}\right]\right)\left(\mathrm{e}^{w_{u v}}-1\right) \mathrm{dvd} u \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, to calculate the bounds defined by equations (17) and (18), we make use of a numerical integration procedure.

We present the exact form of $k_{u}$ and $w_{u v}$ (where $k_{u}$ and $w_{u v}$ is defined by equations (11) and (12) respectively) for three special cases; first the Geometric Brownian Motion, then an exponential function of an Ormstein-Uhlenbeck process with the initial value known and finally when the initial value of the Omstein Uhlenbeck process has a stationary distribution. Once these are known for each of the cases, the corresponding bounds can be easily obtained by substituting in equations (17) and (18) and finally carrying out a numerical integration.

Simple Brownian Motion case: In this case, we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{t}=b e^{a t+Y_{t}} \quad \text { and } \quad Y_{t}=\sigma B_{t} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{t}$ is a standard Brownian motion, $t=1$ and $b=r_{0}$ is the initial value of the interest rate.

The bond price is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[\exp \left(-b \int_{0}^{1} \exp \left\{\sigma B_{s}+a s\right\} d s\right)\right] \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conditioning factor is $Z=\frac{\int_{0}^{l} B_{2} \mathrm{ds}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(\int_{0}^{l} B_{2} d s\right)}}$ where $B_{s}$ is a standard Brownian Motion. Here, $\sigma_{u v}=\sigma^{2}(u \wedge v), \Rightarrow \sigma_{u u}=\sigma^{2} u$. Also, $\mu_{u}=a u$.

Now,
$\operatorname{Var}\left(\int_{0}^{1} B_{s} d s\right)=\frac{1}{3} \Rightarrow k_{u}=\operatorname{Cov}\left(B_{u^{\prime}} Z\right)=\sqrt{3} \sigma \int_{o}^{u}(1-s) d s=\sqrt{3} \sigma\left(u-\frac{u^{2}}{2}\right)$.

Conditioning on $\mathrm{Z}, Y_{u}$ is a Gaussian process with

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& E\left(\mathrm{Y}_{u} \mid Z\right)=\mu u+k_{u} \mathrm{Z} \\
\text { and } \quad & \operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{u}, Y_{v} \mid \mathrm{Z}\right)=\sigma^{2}(u \wedge v)-k_{u} k_{v}=w_{u v} . \tag{23}
\end{array}
$$

Ornstein - Uhlenbeck Process - Initial Value is known: Now, let us consider the case where the interest rate $\left\{r_{s} ; 0 \leq s \leq 1\right\}$ is governed by an exponential function of the Ornstein Uhlenbeck process $\left\{Y_{s} ; 0 \leq s \leq 1\right\}$ with the initial value $Y_{0}$ known and assumed to be 0 . The interest rate model is thus defined as

$$
r_{t}=b e^{r_{t}}
$$

where $Y_{t}$ is the solution of the stochastic differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d Y_{t}=-a Y_{t} d t+\sigma d B_{t} \Rightarrow Y_{t}=\sigma \int_{0}^{t} e^{-a(s-u)} d B_{u} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$B_{t}$ is a standard Brownian motion and $t=1 \Rightarrow\left\{r_{t}=b e^{Y_{t}}=e^{t n b+r_{t}}\right.$; $0 \leq t \leq 1\}$. Thus, $\ell n b$ is the long term mean of the logarithm of the interest rate process; hence, $b e^{\frac{1 \sigma^{2}}{22 a}}$ is the long term value of the interest rate. Also, $b=r_{\theta}$, the initial value of the interest rate. In this case, $\sigma_{u v}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 \mathrm{a}}\left[e^{a|u-v|-e-a(u+v)}\right]$. Further,
$\mu_{u}=0$ and the conditioning factor is $Z=\frac{\int_{0}^{1} V_{s} d s}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(\int_{0}^{1} Y_{s} d s\right)}}$
We thus have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\int_{0}^{1} Y_{s} d s\right)=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 a} \frac{2 a+4 e^{-a}-e^{-2 a}-3}{a^{2}}=V, \text { say } \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
k_{u}=\operatorname{Cov}(Y, Z)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 a}\left\{\frac{1-e^{-a u}}{a}+\frac{1-e^{-a(1-u)}}{a}-\frac{e^{-a u}-e^{-a(1-u)}}{a}\right\}
$$

So, conditional on $Z, Y_{u}$ is a Gaussian process with

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(Y_{u} \mid Z\right)=k_{u} Z \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\quad \operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{u^{\prime}} Y_{v} \mid Z\right)=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 a}\left[e^{a|u-v|}-e^{-a(u+\nu)}-k_{u} k_{v}=w_{\mathrm{w}}\right.$.
Ornstein Uhlenbeck process - Initial value has a stationary distribution: The initial value of the process has a stationary distribution, the distribution being $\mathrm{N}\left(0, \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 a}\right)$.Here, $Y_{t}$ is the solution of the stochastic differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d Y_{i}=-a Y_{t} d_{t}+\sigma d B_{t} \Rightarrow Y_{t}=\sigma \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-a(s-u)} d B_{u} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{t}$ is a standard Brownian motion and $t=1$. Now,

$$
r_{t}=b e^{Y_{t}}=\mathrm{e}^{e n b+Y_{t}}
$$

Thus, $\ell n b$ is the long term mean of the logarithm of the interest rate process. Hence, $b e^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 a}}$ is the long term value of the interest rate. Also, $\sigma_{u v}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 a} e^{-a|u-\mu|}$
and $\mu_{u}=0$ and the conditioning factor is $Z=\frac{\int_{0}^{1} Y_{s} d s}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(\int_{0}^{l} Y_{s} d s\right)}}$. Note that in
this case $Y_{s}$ ranges between $(-\infty, s)$ unlike $(0, s)$ in the earlier case of the nonstationary Ornstein Uhlenbeck process. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\int_{0}^{1} Y_{\mathrm{s}} d s\right)=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{a} \frac{a+\mathrm{e}^{-a}-1}{a^{2}}=\mathrm{V}_{1} \text { say } \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{u}=\operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{u^{\prime}}, Z\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{V_{1}}} \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 a}\left[\frac{1-e^{-a u}}{a}+\frac{1-e^{-a(1-u)}}{a}\right] . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once again, we have that given $\mathrm{Z}, Y_{u}$ is a Gaussian process with

$$
\begin{gather*}
E\left(Y_{u} \mid Z\right)=k_{\mathrm{u}} Z  \tag{32}\\
\text { and } \quad \operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{u}, Y_{v} \mid Z\right)=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 a} e^{-a[u-v]}-k_{u} k_{v}=w_{u v}, \text { say. } \tag{33}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note: In all these three cases, once we have the values for $k_{u}, E\left(Y_{u} \mid Z\right)$ and $\operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{u^{\prime}} Y_{v} \mid Z\right)$ and further $\mu_{\mathrm{t}}=a t$ for the Brownian motion case, we can easily calculate the bounds to the price of the bond. The results are shown later.

## Pricing via direct expansion

To compare the results that we obtain by using the conditioning factor, we calculate the bounds to the price of a zero coupon bond using a direct method for finding bounds. In this case, we use a Taylor series expansion and the fact that for $x \geq 0$, we have $e^{-x}>1-x, e^{-x}<1-x+\frac{x^{2}}{2}, e^{-x}>1-x+\frac{x^{2}}{2}-\frac{x^{2}}{6}$, and so on. We will use the last two inequalities as the bounds suggested are very close to each other. Here, we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-b I_{1}+\frac{1}{2} b^{2} I_{2}-\frac{1}{6} b^{3} I_{3} \leq E\left[e^{-r \int_{0}^{1} e^{2}, w_{4} d s}\right] \leq 1-b I_{1}+\frac{1}{2} b^{2} I_{2} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $I_{k}$, is a $k_{t h}$ order integral and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\mathrm{k}}=E\left[\int_{0}^{1} \cdots \cdots \int_{0}^{1} \exp \left(Y_{s}+\mu_{s}\right) d s\right]^{k}, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the lower bound is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-b I_{1}+\frac{1}{2} b^{2} I_{2}-\frac{1}{6} b^{3} I_{3} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding upper bound is

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-b I_{1}+\frac{1}{2} b^{2} I_{2} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain the expressions of $I_{1}, I_{2}$ and $I_{3}$ for the same cases used earlier and in this case denote the upper bound by $\mathrm{UB}_{2}$ and the lower bound by $\mathrm{LB}_{2}$. The results are shown later.

Simple Brownian Motion case: Here $\sigma_{s s}=\sigma^{2} s, \sigma_{u s}=\sigma^{2}(u \wedge s)$ and $\mu_{s}=a s$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}=\int_{0}^{l} \exp \left(a s+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} s\right) d s, \quad I_{2}=2 \int_{0}^{l} \int_{0}^{u} \exp \left(a s+a u+\frac{3}{2} \sigma^{2} s+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} u\right) d s d u \\
& \text { and } I_{3}=6 \int_{0}^{l} \int_{0}^{u} \int_{0}^{v} \exp \left(a u+a v+a s+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} u+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} v+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} s+\sigma^{2} v+2 \sigma^{2} s\right) d s d v d u .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Ornstein - Uhlenbeck Case - Initial value following a stationary

distribution: In this case, $\mu_{s}=0, \operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{s}\right)=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 a}=\sigma_{s s}$, and $\operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{u^{\prime}} Y_{v}\right)=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 a}$ $e^{-a \mid \mu-\eta}=\sigma_{u v}$. Thus,

$$
I_{1}=e^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 a}}, I_{2}=2 e^{\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 a}} \int_{0}^{1}(1-w) e^{\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 a} c^{-a w}} d w,
$$

$$
\text { and } I_{3}=6 e^{\frac{3}{2} \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 a}} \int_{0}^{1}(1-r) \int_{0}^{r} \exp \left(\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 a}\left[e^{-a r}+e^{-a w}+e^{-a(r-w)}\right]\right) d w d r \text {. }
$$

Ornstein - Uhlenbeck Case - Initial value is known: This is the nonstationary Ornstein-Uhlenbeck case with $\mu_{s}=0, \operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{s}\right)=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 a}\left(1-e^{-2 a s}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{u}, Y_{v}\right)=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 a}\left[e^{a \mid u-\nu}\right]-\mathrm{e}^{-a(u+\nu)}=\sigma_{u v}$. So,
 and $I_{3}=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} e^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{s s}+\sigma_{v \nu}+\sigma_{u u}\right)+\sigma_{u v}+\sigma_{u s} \sigma_{v s}} d s d v d u$.

For all these cases, once we have $I_{1}, I_{2}$ and $I_{3}$ we can easily calculate the bounds to the prices using equations (35) and (36).

## Comments of Zero Coupon Bond Pricing

The lower bounds to the price of the bonds calculated by using the conditioning factor are so close to the actual price (in some cases, the simulated prices were lower than the lower bounds) that they can be regarded as a very good approximation to the true value. This is true for all situations.

An advantage of using a conditioning factor in the calculation of the bond prices is that the method works even for large values of $\sigma$. This is not the case when using the direct expansion method; here, for higher values of $\sigma$, the values start diverging quite fast, thereby causing the whole system to break down. Further, the method using conditioning factors can be easily modified to calculate the value of a contingent payment defined on the price of a bond which is not possible in the case of the direct expansion technique.

## Coupon Bearing Bonds

We now look at the situation of the bond making coupon payments during the life of the bond. Note that the coupon is payable at a continuous rate. We look at two cases in particular:

- Non-defaultable Bonds: These are essentially sovereign bonds in domestic currency, which pay all coupons during the life of the bond as well as the principal on maturity - in other words the default risk is zero.
- Defaultable Bonds: These are bonds with some positive probability of default - essentially the corporate bonds in the markets.

We use a conditioning factor as defined in equation (8) to find a lower bound of the price of the bond. The interest rate is assumed to be governed by a stochastic process - here, we assume the stochastic process to be an Ornstein Uhlenbeck process where the initial value is known. The results are very similar for the interest rate process following any other stochastic process, the methodology being exactly the same.

## Pricing of Non-defaultable bonds

Here we want to calculate,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left\lfloor C \int_{0}^{T} e^{-\int_{U_{u}}^{s} r_{u} d u} d s+e^{-\int_{o}^{T} r_{u} d u}\right\rfloor=\mathrm{E}\left\lfloor C \int_{0}^{T} e^{-\int_{a}^{s} r_{u} d u} d s\right\rfloor+\mathrm{E}\left\lfloor e^{-\int_{r^{2}}^{T} r_{u} d u}\right\rfloor, \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $E\left[C \int_{0}^{T} e^{-\int_{n, t}^{s} d u} d s\right]$ is the value of the coupon and $E\left[e^{-\int_{r_{1}, d u}^{T}}\right]$ is the value of the principal.

As before,

$$
r_{t}=b e^{\sigma Y_{t}} \quad \text { and } \quad Y_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} e^{-a(t-s)} d B_{s}
$$

where, $r_{t}$ is the instantaneous rate of interest, $\sigma$ the instantaneous variance and $Y_{t}$ is an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process with the initial value known and assumed to be $0 . b$ is a scaling constant. Also, $b=r_{0}$, the initial value of the interest rate and $b e^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 a}}$ is the long-term value of the interest rate.

Further, C is the coupon rate and $b$ is the discount factor.
Here, the two quantities that we want to calculate are; the value of the coupon payments and the principal. The calculation of the value of the principal is exactly the same as calculating the value of a zero coupon bond, the details are discussed in the previous section. To calculate the value of the coupon payments we again make use of a conditioning factor, slightly adjusted from the form
described in equation (8) - essentially adjusting for the continuous coupon payments and is given by and with $T=1$, we have

$$
Z=\frac{\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{t} Y_{s} d s d t}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{t} Y_{s} d s d t\right)}}
$$

As stated earlier, $\{Y s ; 0 \leq s \leq 1\}$ is an Ornstein - Uhlenbeck process with the initial value $Y_{0}=0$.

Calculation of interest payments: Once we have obtained the conditioning factor as above, we can then easily calculate the value of the coupon payment. We have,

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{s} Y_{u} d u d s\right)=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{6 a^{5}}\left[3-3 e^{-2 a}-12 a e^{-a}-6 a^{2}+6 a\right]=V_{N S} \text { say. }
$$

Further, $Z_{1}$ is distributed as a standard normal variable. Conditionally on $Z_{1}$, $Y_{u}$ is a Gaussian process with

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(Y_{u} \mid Z_{1}\right)=k_{u} Z_{1} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{u}=\operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{u}, Z_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{V_{N s}}} \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 a} \frac{e^{a(1 \cdot u)}+2 a(1-u)-e^{a(1+u)}-2 a e^{u u}}{a^{2}}$
Also, $\operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{u}, Y_{v} \mid Z_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{u}, Y_{v}\right)-k_{u} k_{v}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 a}\left[e^{a|u-v|}-e^{-a(u+v)}\right]-k_{u} k_{v}=w_{v u}$ say.

Once we have these values, then we can easily calculate the value of the coupon payments. So, conditionally on $Z_{1}$, we have the lower bound of the value of the intermediate payment given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \int_{0}^{1} \exp \left(-b \int_{0}^{u} \exp \left[k_{s} Z_{1}+\frac{1}{2} w_{s s}\right] d s\right) d u=C h_{1}\left(Z_{1}\right) \text { say } \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the lower bound of the value of the bond is given as

$$
C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_{1}(z) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{z^{2}}{2}} d z+\text { Value of Principal }=C H_{1}+H_{2}
$$

where $C$ is the coupon rate, $\mathrm{CH}_{1}$ is the value of coupon payments and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is the value of the final payments.

## Pricing of defaultable bonds

Next, we discuss the case where there is a non-zero probability of default taking place; however, as is observed in practice, the probability of default is generally quite small. Work in this area has been done by, among others, Lando (1997) and Duffie and Singleton (1995). The assumption here is that in case of a default all payments cease (including coupons) and a certain percentage of the value of the bond at maturity (known in advance) is paid out, else all coupons as well as the full value is paid on maturity (in case no default happens). The analysis here has been based on coupon paying bonds; zero coupon bonds can default only at the time of final maturity and can be treated as a special case of coupon paying bonds.

Here, we are interested in calculating

$$
\begin{gathered}
E \mid-D \int_{0}^{T}\left(e^{-r s} \int_{0}^{i} d d u \lambda_{s}\right) d s+\left(e^{-r \cdot b} \int_{0}^{T} \lambda_{, d s}^{x}\right)+C \int_{0}^{T}\left(\int_{0}^{s}\left(e^{-r u} d u\right) e_{0}^{s} \lambda_{0} d \lambda_{s} d s\right) \\
\left.+C \int_{0}^{T} e^{-r u} d u\left(e^{-\int_{0}^{T} \lambda_{u} d u}\right)\right], \quad(42) \\
\text { where } \lambda_{t}=b e^{o \gamma_{t}} \text { and } Y_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} e^{-a(t-s)} d B_{s} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Here, $\lambda_{t}$ is the rate of default and $Y_{t}$ is a non-stationary Ornstein -Uhlenbeck process. $r$ is the interest rate which is assumed to be constant, $\sigma$ is the instantaneous variance. Further, $D$ is the percentage paid out in case default occurs, $C$ is the rate of coupon payments during the life of the bond and $b$ is a scaling factor, representing the discount rate. The terms in equation (42) represent the following:
$E\left\lfloor D \int_{0}^{T} e^{-r s-\int_{0}^{\dot{\lambda}} \lambda_{u} d u} \lambda_{s} d s\right\rfloor=$ Payment at default.
$E\left\lfloor e^{-r} e^{-h} \int_{0}^{T} \lambda_{t} d s\right\rfloor=$ Final payment on maturity, when no default takes place.
$E\left|c \int_{0}^{T}\left(\int_{0}^{s} e^{r r u} d u\right) e^{-\lambda, d t} \lambda_{d} d s\right|=$ Coupon payments in case of default.
$E\left[C \int_{0}^{T} e^{-r u} d u\left(e^{-\int_{0}^{T}, \lambda, d u}\right)\right]=$ Coupon payments in case no default occurs.
Like earlier, taking, $T=l$ equation (42) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{gather*}
E\left[(D-C) \int_{0}^{1} e^{-r s} e^{-b \int_{0}^{s} \mathrm{e}^{\pi \zeta_{u}} d u} b e^{\sigma Y_{s}} d s+\frac{C}{r} \int_{0}^{1} e^{-b \int_{0}^{s} \mathrm{e}^{\sigma Y_{u} d u}} b e^{\sigma Y_{\cdot}} d s\right.  \tag{43}\\
\left.+\left(1-\frac{C}{r}\right) e^{-r} e^{-b \int_{0}^{1} e^{\pi \gamma_{u} d u}}+\frac{C}{r} e^{-b \int_{0}^{1} e^{\pi \gamma_{\nu} d u}}\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

Now, $\quad \frac{C}{r} \int_{0}^{l} e^{-b \int_{a}^{s} e^{\sigma r_{1}} d u} b e^{\sigma Y_{s}} d s=\frac{C}{r}\left(1-e^{-b \int_{a}^{\prime} e^{d r_{1}} d u}\right)$.
Substituting this in equation (43), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[(D-C) \int_{0}^{1} e^{-r s} e^{-b \int_{0}^{s} e^{\sigma \gamma_{u}} d u} b e^{\sigma \gamma_{s}} d s+\left(1-\frac{C}{r}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-r} \mathrm{e}^{-b \int_{0}^{1} e^{\sigma r_{v}, d u}}+\frac{C}{r}\right] . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

What we are interested in calculating is the first term of equation (44) - the value of the payment that is made in case of default. The second term of equation (44) gives the value of the bond, assuming no default - that is calculated using the same approach as used earlier in the case of the non-defaultable bonds without any coupon payments. Now, to calculate the value of the payment if default occurs, we need to calculate the first integral of equation (44).

We need to use a suitable conditioning factor (similar to the one defined in equation (8)) for each of the two integrals shown in equation (44). For the second integral, the conditioning factor is exactly the same as that in the zero coupon case. This is given by

$$
Z^{*}=\frac{\int_{0}^{1} Y_{s} d s}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(\int_{0}^{1} Y_{s} d s\right.}}
$$

The conditioning factor for the first integral in equation (44) is given by (for details see Basu (1999))

$$
Z^{* *}=\frac{\int_{0}^{1} Y_{s} d s}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(\int_{0}^{1} Y_{s} d s\right)}}
$$

Note that $Z^{*}$ and $Z^{*}$ are exactly the same and thus the same conditioning factor $(Z)$ can be used for both the integrals, where,

$$
Z=Z^{*}=Z^{* *} .
$$

Calculations for defaultable bonds: Once we have obtained the conditioning factor as above, we can then easily calculate the value of the interim payments. The conditioning factor $Z$, given above, is exactly the same as the one in the zero coupon case. Now, conditionally on $Z, Y_{u}$ is a Gaussian process with

$$
\begin{gather*}
E\left(Y_{u} \mid Z\right)=k_{u} Z  \tag{45}\\
k_{u}=\operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{s}, Z\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 a}\left\{\frac{1-e^{-a u}}{a}+\frac{1-e^{-a(1-u)}}{a}-\frac{e^{-a u}-e^{-a(1+u)}}{a}\right\} \tag{46}
\end{gather*}
$$

where V is defined as in equation (25) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{u}, Y_{v} \mid Z\right)=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 a}\left[e^{a|u-\nu|}-e^{-a|u+\nu|}\right]-k_{u} k_{v}=w_{u v} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once we have these values, then we can easily calculate the value of the first integral.

So, conditionally on $Z$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} e^{-r u}\left\{\exp \left(-b \int_{0}^{u} \exp \left[k_{s} Z+\frac{1}{2} w_{s s}\right] d s\right)\right\} b\left\{\exp \left(k_{u} Z+\frac{1}{2} w_{u u}\right)\right\} d u=h_{1}(Z) \text { say } \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, using equation (44) an approximation to the price of the bond with non-zero probability of default is

$$
\left\{\left(\left[D-\frac{C}{r}\right] \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_{1}(z) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{\frac{z^{2}}{2}} d z\right)+\left(1-\frac{C}{r}\right) H_{2}+\frac{C}{r}\right\}=\left\{\left(D-\frac{C}{r}\right) H_{1}+\left(1-\frac{C}{r}\right) H_{2}+\frac{C}{r}\right\}
$$

where $H_{1}$ is the expectation of $h_{1}(Z)$ with respect to Z and $H_{2}$ is the value of the second integral of equation (44) [similar to valuing a zero coupon bond, as discussed earlier].

Note that the term $\left(D-\frac{C}{r}\right)$ can become negative depending on the choices of $D, C$ and $r$. That is why the price obtained using this term will not be a lower bound to the price - but just an approximation to the price. However, as is evident from the results the approximation is a very accurate one.

## Comments on Pricing Coupon Bearing Bonds

The lower bounds to the price or the approximation to the prices calculated using the conditioning factor are so close to the actual price that they can be regarded as a very good approximation to the true value. This is true of both the situations discussed - bonds having a zero probability of default as well as bonds having a non-zero probability of default. Note that in these cases, the values could not have been calculated by a direct expansion.

## PRICING OF EUROPEAN OPTIONS

We now look at the problem of pricing European call options on assets with stochastic volatility. Problems of this nature were addressed by, amongst others, Hull and White (1987). They observed that using a simple log - normal model, as used by Black - Scholes (1973), frequently overprices the price of the asset. The price of an asset with stochastic volatility, according to Hull and White, under an equivalent martingale measure [see Harrison and Krepps (1979) and Harrison and Pliska (1981)] follows the following stochastic process :

$$
\begin{gather*}
d X_{t}=r X_{t} d t+\sigma e^{\frac{k V_{t}}{2}} X_{t}\left[\rho d B_{t}^{(1)}+\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}} d B_{t}^{(2)}\right]  \tag{49}\\
d V_{t}=\mu d t+d B_{t}^{(1)} \tag{50}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $X$ is the price process, $\sigma$ is the instantaneous variance of the price process and $r$ is the rate of interest, which is a constant. $V_{t}$ is the volatility process and $\mu$ is the drift of the Brownian motion defining the volatility process. The volatility process could also follow an Omstein - Uhlenbeck process (as used by Stein and Stein (1991)) and is represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d V_{t}=-a V_{t} d t+d B_{t}^{(1)} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is the force of mean reversion of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, $B_{t}^{(1)}$ and $B_{t}^{(2)}$ are two independent standard Brownian motions and $\rho$ is the correlation between $V_{t}$ and the logarithm of $X_{i}$.

We want to calculate the prices of European call options on assets with stochastic volatility. Mathematically, it is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{0}\left\{e^{-r} E\left(e^{Y} T_{T}-b\right)^{+}\right\}=f\left(Y_{T}\right) \quad \text { say } \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b$ is the strike price of the option, $r$ is rate of interest, $X_{0}$ is the current price of the asset and $Y_{t}=\ln \left(\frac{X_{t}}{X_{0}}\right)$, where $X_{t}$ is the price process described by equation (49).

To calculate the price of the call option, we use a conditioning factor approach similar to Rogers and Shi (1995) and Basu (1999). The form of the conditioning factor used is as described in equation (8). Note that in this case the function $f$ defined by equation (52) is not convex and hence Jensen's inequality cannot be used. We however proceed with the process and what we obtain is an approximation to the price of the call option itself, rather than the lower bound to the price of the option.

We look at two cases of the volatility process - the first is when the volatility process follows a Brownian Motion and when it follows an Ornstein - Uhlenbeck process. In all cases, we take $T=1$ and $Y_{0}=0$.

## Volatility following a Brownian Motion

In this case, the stochastic volatility process and the price process is explicitly defined as in equations (49) and (50) and $\mu=0$. We are interested in finding the value of the function defined by equation (52). Thus, carrying on from equation (49) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{1}=r+\sigma \int_{0}^{1} \rho e^{\frac{k B_{j}^{(1)}}{2}} d B_{s}^{(1)}+\sigma \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{1-\rho^{2}} e^{\frac{k B_{i}^{(1)}}{2}} d B_{s}^{(2)}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} \int_{0}^{1} e^{k B_{s}^{(1)}} d s . \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conditionally on the paths of $\left\{B_{s}^{(1)}, 0 \leq s \leq 1\right\}$, we have $\sigma \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{1-\rho^{2}} e^{\frac{k \Phi_{1}^{(1)}}{2}} d B_{s}^{(2)}$ following a normal distribution with zero mean and variance $\left(\sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{e}^{k s_{s}^{(1)}} d s\right)$ and $Y_{1}$ following a normal distribution with mean $\left(r-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} P+\rho \sigma Q\right)$ and variance $\left(\sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right) P\right)$, where

$$
P=\int_{0}^{1} e^{k B_{s}^{(1)}} d s \quad \text { and } \quad Q=\int_{0}^{1} e^{\frac{k B_{i}^{(1)}}{2}} d B_{s}^{(1)} .
$$

Note, Q consists of a stochastic integral and to calculate the stochastic integral we need to express it terms of time integrals. Using Itô calculus, we have (for details see Basu (1999))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}=\int_{0}^{l} \exp \left(\frac{k B_{s}^{(1)}}{2}\right) d B_{\mathrm{s}}^{(1)}=\left\{\frac{\exp \left(\frac{k B_{1}}{2}\right)-1}{\frac{k}{2}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{2} \int_{0}^{l} \exp \left(\frac{k B_{s}^{(1)}}{2}\right) d s\right\} . \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second term of equation (54) is similar to P , the only difference being that in the exponent $k$ is replaced by $\frac{k}{2}$ and thus it can be calculated exactly the same way as P , replacing $k$ by $\frac{k}{2}$.

We suggest an approximation approach as given by the following lemma :
Lemma: Let $P, Q$ and $Z$ be random variables. Also, let $\sigma$ and $\rho$ be constants. Then, assuming

1. $\sigma$ is small
2. $\psi\left(\sigma^{2} P, \rho \sigma Q\right)$ is a function such that it is at least twice differentiable and piecewise continuous
3. $Z$ is used as a conditioning factor and is suitably normalised
we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& E\left(\psi\left(\sigma^{2} P, \rho \sigma Q\right)\right)=E\left[\psi\left(\sigma^{2} E(P \mid Z), \rho \sigma E(Q \mid Z)\right)\right] \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2} \rho \sigma^{2} E\left\{\psi_{Q Q}\left(\sigma^{2} E(P \mid Z), \rho \sigma E(Q \mid Z)\right) \operatorname{Var}(Q \mid Z)\right\}+O\left(\sigma^{3}\right) . \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

For the proof to the lemma, see Basu (1999). Note that $\psi_{Q Q}$ indicates the second derivative with respect to the second argument of $\psi$.

In this case, let us define

$$
\psi\left(\sigma^{2} P, \rho \sigma Q\right)=\left(e^{Y_{1}}-b\right)^{+}=\max \left[\left(e^{Y_{1}}-b\right), 0\right],
$$

where $Y_{1}, P, Q, \sigma$ and $\rho$ are defined earlier. Also, $\psi\left(\sigma^{2} P, \rho \sigma Q\right)$ is piecewise continuous and differentiable and hence the second derivative of $\psi\left(\sigma^{2} P, \rho \sigma Q\right)$ exists. We are interested in finding
$E\left[\psi\left(\sigma^{2} P, \rho \sigma Q\right)=E\left(e^{Y_{1}}-b\right)^{+}=E\left[\max \left(\left(e^{Y_{1}}-b\right), 0\right)\right]=\right.$ $\exp \left(r-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} \rho^{2} P+\rho \sigma Q\right) \Phi\left(\frac{r+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} P\left(1-2 \rho^{2}\right)+\rho \sigma Q-\ell n b}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right) P}}\right)-b \Phi\left(\frac{r-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} P+\rho \sigma Q-\ell n b}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right) P}}\right)$.

Equation (56) represents the first term approximation to the price of the call option. To calculate $E\left[\psi\left(\sigma^{2} P, \rho \sigma Q\right)\right]$, we make use of the Lemma - we first calculate $\Omega(Z)$, where

$$
\Omega(Z)=\psi\left(\sigma^{2} E(P \mid Z), \rho \sigma E(Q \mid Z)\right) .
$$

However, the first term alone does not approximate the price well enough. So, we need the second term in Lemma - we call that term the Correction Factor. This term involves the second derivative of $\psi\left(\sigma^{2} P, \rho \sigma Q\right)$ with respect to $Q$ and is given by

$$
\Psi_{Q Q}\left(\sigma^{2} P, \rho \sigma Q\right)=\left\{\exp \left(r+\rho \sigma Q-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} \rho^{2} P\right) \Phi\left(\frac{r+\rho \sigma Q+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\left(1-2 \rho^{2}\right) P-\ell n b}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right) P}}\right)\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+\frac{r+\rho \sigma Q-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} \sigma^{2} P}{\sqrt{2 \sigma^{2} \pi\left(1-\rho^{2}\right) P}} \exp \left(\frac{\left(r+\rho \sigma Q+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\left(1-2 \rho^{2}\right) P-\ell n b\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right) P}\right)\right\} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain the correction factor we define $\Theta(Z)$ as

$$
\Theta(Z)=\frac{1}{2} \rho^{2} \sigma^{2} \psi_{Q Q}\left(\sigma^{2} E(P \mid Z), \rho \sigma E(Q \mid Z)\right) \operatorname{Var}(Q \mid Z)
$$

This is exactly the same as the second term in the Lemma.
To get the value of the option, we need to obtain the unconditional value of $\Omega(Z)$ and $\Omega(Z)$. Note that $Z$ is the conditioning factor defined by equation (8) and has a standard normal distribution. The exact form of $Z$ used in this case is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\frac{\int_{0}^{1} B_{s} d s}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(\int_{0}^{1} B_{s} d s\right)}} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

To calculate the value of the option, we need to calculate $E(P \mid Z)$ and $E(Q \mid Z)$ as well as $\operatorname{Var}(Q \mid Z)$ to be able to obtain $\Omega(Z)$ and $\Theta(Z)$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { Now } \operatorname{Var}\left(\int_{0}^{1} B_{s} d s\right)=\frac{1}{3} \text {. Thus, we have } E\left(B_{u} \mid Z\right)=j_{u} Z \text { where } \\
j_{u}=\operatorname{Cov}\left(B_{u^{\prime}} Z\right)=\sqrt{3}\left(u-\frac{u^{2}}{2}\right) \text { and } \operatorname{Cov}\left(B_{u}, B_{v} \mid Z\right)=(u \wedge v)-j_{j_{v}}=s_{u v^{*}} . \tag{59}
\end{gather*}
$$

Once we have these values, then conditionally on $Z$, we can then easily get the expected values of P and $Q$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(P \mid Z)=\int_{0}^{1} \exp \left(k j_{u} Z+\frac{k^{2}}{2} s_{u u}\right) d u \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

$E(Q \mid Z)=\left\{\frac{\exp \left(\frac{k}{2} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} Z+\frac{k^{2}}{4} \frac{1}{8}\right)-1}{\frac{k}{2}}-\frac{k}{4} \int_{0}^{1} \exp \left(\frac{k}{2} j_{u} Z+\frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{8} s_{u u}\right) d u\right\}$
$\Rightarrow$ Conditionally on $Z, \Omega(Z)=\psi\left(\sigma^{2} E(P \mid Z), \rho \sigma E(Q \mid Z)\right)$

$$
\begin{gather*}
=\exp \left(r-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} \rho^{2} E(P \mid Z)+\rho \sigma E(P \mid Z)\right) \Phi\left(\frac{r+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} E(P \mid Z)\left(1-2 \rho^{2}\right)+\rho \sigma E(Q \mid Z)-\ell n b}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right) E(P \mid Z)}}\right) \\
-b \Phi\left(\frac{r-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} E(P \mid Z)+\rho \sigma E(Q \mid Z)-\ell n b}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right) E(P \mid Z)}}\right) \tag{62}
\end{gather*}
$$

To calculate the price of the option, we also need $\Theta(Z)$ for which we need to calculate $\operatorname{Var}(Q \mid Z)$ and $\psi_{\varrho( }\left(\psi^{2} E(P \mid Z), \rho \sigma E(Q \mid Z)\right)$. Now, continuing from equation (57) and equation (59), we have
$\psi_{Q Q}\left(\sigma^{2} E(P \mid Z), \rho \sigma E(Q \mid Z)\right)$
$=\left\{\exp \left(r+\rho \sigma E(Q \mid Z)-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} \rho^{2} E(P \mid Z)\right) \Phi\left(\frac{r+\rho \sigma E(Q \mid Z)+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\left(1-2 \rho^{2}\right) E(P \mid Z)-\ell n b}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right) E(P \mid Z)}}\right)\right.$
$\left.+\frac{r+\rho \sigma E(Q \mid Z)-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} \rho^{2} E(P \mid Z)}{\sqrt{2 \sigma^{2} \pi\left(1-\rho^{2}\right) E(P \mid Z)}} \exp \left(\frac{\left(r+\rho \sigma E(Q \mid Z)+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\left(1-2 \rho^{2}\right) E(P \mid Z)-\ell n b\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right) E(P \mid Z)}\right)\right\}$.
Also,
$\left.\operatorname{Var}(Q \mid Z)=\left\{\operatorname{Var}\left(\left.\frac{e^{\frac{k B_{1}}{2}}-1}{\frac{k}{2}} \right\rvert\, Z\right)+\frac{k^{2}}{16} \operatorname{Var}\left(\left.\int_{0}^{1} e^{\frac{k B^{(\prime \prime}}{2}} d s \right\rvert\, Z\right)-\frac{k}{2} \operatorname{Cov}\left(\frac{e^{\frac{k B_{1}}{2}}-1}{\frac{k}{2}}\right), \left.\int_{0}^{1} e^{\frac{\left.k B^{\prime \prime}\right)}{2}} d s \right\rvert\, Z\right)\right\}$,

$$
\text { where, } \operatorname{Var}\left(\left.\frac{e^{\frac{k B_{1}}{2}}-1}{\frac{k}{2}} \right\rvert\, Z\right)=\frac{4}{k^{2}}\left[e^{\frac{\sqrt{3} z k}{2}}\left(e^{\frac{k^{2}}{8}}-e^{\frac{k^{2}}{16}}\right)\right]
$$

$\operatorname{Var}\left(\left.\int_{0}^{l} e^{\frac{k B_{s}}{2}} d s \right\rvert\, Z\right)=\int_{0}^{l} \int_{0}^{1} \exp \left(\frac{k}{2}\left(j_{u}+j_{v}\right) Z+\frac{k^{2}}{8}\left(s_{u u}+s_{v v}\right)\left[\exp \left(\frac{k^{2}}{4} s_{u v}\right)-1\right] d u d v\right.$
and $\operatorname{Cov}\left(e^{\frac{k a}{2}}, \left.\int_{0}^{l} e^{\frac{k(1)}{2}} d s \right\rvert\, Z\right)=\int_{0}^{l} \exp \left(\frac{k}{2}\left(j_{u}+j_{1}\right) Z+\frac{k^{2}}{8}\left(s_{u u}+s_{11}\right)+\frac{k^{2}}{4} s_{1 u}\right) d u$

$$
-\left[\exp \left(\frac{\sqrt{3} Z k}{2}+\frac{k^{2}}{32}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \exp \left(\frac{k}{2} j_{u} Z+\frac{k^{2}}{8} s_{u u}\right) d u\right] .
$$

Having obtained these values, we can easily find the value of the correction factor $\Theta(Z)$, conditionally on $Z$, given by

$$
\Theta(Z)=\frac{1}{2} \rho^{2} \sigma^{2} \psi_{Q Q}\left(\sigma^{2} E(P \mid Z), \rho \sigma E(Q \mid Z)\right) \operatorname{Var}(Q \mid Z)
$$

Finally, to calculate the value of the option we calculate the sum of the expectations of $\Omega(Z)$ and $\Theta(Z)$ with respect to $Z$ adjusted for the current asset price and the interest rate i.e. we calculate

$$
\begin{equation*}
100 e^{-r}\left(\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Omega(z) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{z^{2}}{2}} d z\right\}+\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Theta(z) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{z^{2}}{2}} d z\right\}\right)=100 e^{-r}\left(H_{1}+H_{2}\right) \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{1}$ is the first term approximation to the price, $H_{2}$ is the associated correction factor and $X_{0}$ is the current price of the asset (we assume $X_{0}=100$ ).

## The Ornstein - Uhlenbeck Case

In this case, we have the volatility process following an Ornstein Uhlenbeck process and the price and the volatility processes are defined by equations (49) and (51) respectively. The rest of the parameters are similar to the case of the

Brownian motion case - the only additional term being $a$ - the mean reversion force of the Ornstein - Uhlenbeck process. As before, we are interested in finding

$$
X_{0}\left\{e^{-r} E\left(e^{\gamma_{1}}-\mathrm{b}\right)^{+}\right\}
$$

where $b$ is the strike price, $X_{0}$ is the current price of the asset and $Y_{t}=\ln \left(\frac{X_{t}}{X_{0}}\right), X_{t}$ being the price process. This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{1}=r+\sigma \int_{0}^{1} \rho e^{\frac{k V_{s}}{2}} d B_{s}^{(1)}+\sigma \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{1-\rho^{2}} e^{\frac{k V_{s}}{2}} d B_{s}^{(2)}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} \int_{0}^{1} e^{k V_{s}} d s \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, conditionally on the paths of $\left\{B_{s}^{(1)}, 0 \leq s \leq 1\right\}$, we have $\sigma \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{1-\rho^{2}} e^{\frac{k V_{s}}{2}} d B_{s}^{(2)}$ following a normal distribution with zero mean and variance $\sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{l} e^{k V} d s$ and $Y_{1}$ follows a normal distribution with mean A and variance $\Sigma^{2}$, where

$$
\begin{gather*}
A=r-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} \int_{0}^{l} e^{k V_{1}} d t+\sigma \int_{0}^{l} \rho e^{\frac{k V_{t}}{2}} d B_{t}^{(1)}  \tag{65}\\
\Sigma^{2}=\sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{l} e^{k V_{t}} d t \tag{66}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us, as in the case of the Brownian motion, define

$$
P=\int_{0}^{1} e^{k V_{t}} d t \quad \text { and } \quad Q=\int_{0}^{1} e^{\frac{k V_{t}}{2}} d B_{t}^{(1)}
$$

Thus, $A=r-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} P+\rho \sigma Q$ and $\Sigma^{2}=\sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right) P$. We again make use of Itô calculus to express $Q$, a stochastic integral, in terms of time integrable terms. We thus have,
$\mathrm{Q}=\int_{0}^{l} \exp \left(\frac{k V_{l}}{2}\right) d B_{t}^{(1)}=\left\{\frac{\exp \left(\frac{k V_{l}}{2}\right)-1}{\frac{k}{2}}-\frac{k}{4} \int_{0}^{1} \exp \left(\frac{k V_{1}}{2}\right) d t+\mathrm{a} \int_{0}^{I} V_{1} \exp \left(\frac{k V_{I}}{2}\right) d t\right\}$.

Also, as before, let us define

$$
\psi\left(\sigma^{2} P, \rho \sigma Q\right)=\left(e^{r_{1}}-b\right)^{+}=\max \left[\left(e^{\gamma_{1}}-b\right), 0\right]
$$

where $Y_{1}$ is given by equation (65). Again, we are interested in finding

$$
\begin{align*}
& E\left[\psi\left(\sigma^{2} P, \rho \sigma Q\right)\right]=E\left(e^{Y_{1}}-b\right)^{+}=\exp \left(A+\frac{\Sigma^{2}}{2}\right) \Phi\left(\frac{A+\Sigma^{2}-\ell n b}{\sqrt{\Sigma^{2}}}\right)-b \Phi\left(\frac{A-\ell n b}{\sqrt{\Sigma^{2}}}\right) \\
& \left.=\exp \left(r-\rho^{2} P+Q\right)\right) \Phi\left(\frac{r+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} P\left(1-2 \rho^{2}\right)+\rho \sigma Q-\ell n b}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right) P}}\right)-b \Phi\left(\frac{r-\frac{1}{2} P+\rho \sigma Q-\ell n b}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right) P}}\right) . \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (68) represents the first term approximation to the price of the option. To calculate $E\left[\psi\left(\sigma^{2} P, \rho \sigma Q\right)\right]$, we make use of Lemma. Thus, we first calculate $\Omega(Z)$, where

$$
\Omega(Z)=\psi\left(\sigma^{2} E(P \mid Z), \rho \sigma E(Q \mid Z)\right)
$$

However, as stated earlier, the first term alone does not approximate the price well enough. Thus, we also need the second term of Lemma - in effect the Correction Factor $\Theta(Z)$ defined as

$$
\Theta(Z)=\frac{1}{2} \rho^{2} \sigma^{2} \Psi_{Q Q}\left(\sigma^{2} E(P \mid Z), \rho \sigma E(Q \mid Z)\right) \operatorname{Var}(Q \mid Z)
$$

This is exactly the same as the second term in Lemma.
To get the value of the option, we need to obtain the unconditional value of $\Omega(Z)$ and $\Theta(Z)$. Note that $Z$ is the conditioning factor defined by equation (8) and has a standard normal distribution.

The exact form of Z used in this case is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\frac{\int_{0}^{1} V_{s} d s}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(\int_{0}^{1} V_{s} d s\right)}} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{ds}\right)=\int_{0}^{1}\left\{\frac{1-e^{-a(1-s)}}{a}\right\}^{2} d s=\frac{2 a-\left(1-e^{-a}\right)\left(3-e^{-a}\right)}{2 a^{3}}
$$

Thus, we have, $E\left(V_{u} \mid Z\right)=j_{u} Z$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{u}=\operatorname{Cov}\left(V_{u}, Z\right)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{a}}\left[\frac{e^{-a u}\{\cosh (a u)+\sinh (a u)\}-e^{-a u}-e^{-a} \sinh (a u)}{\sqrt{2 a-\left(1-e^{-a}\right)\left(3-e^{-a}\right)}}\right], \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\operatorname{Cov}\left(V_{u}, V_{v} \mid Z\right)=\operatorname{Cov}\left(V_{u}, V_{v}\right)-j_{u} j_{v}=\left(\frac{e^{a u-v \mid}-e^{-a(u+v)}}{2}\right)-j_{u} j_{v}=s_{u v}$
Once we have these values, we can easily calculate the values of $E(P \mid Z)$ and $E(Q \mid Z)$ given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
E(P \mid Z)=\int_{0}^{1} \exp \left(k j_{u} Z+\frac{k^{2}}{2} s_{u u}\right) d u  \tag{72}\\
E(Q \mid Z)=\left\{\frac{\exp \left(\frac{k L Z}{2}+\frac{k^{2}}{8}\left\{\frac{1-e^{-2 a}}{2 a}-L^{2}\right\}\right)-1}{\frac{k}{2}}-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{k}{4}\left[\exp \left(\frac{k}{2} j_{u} Z+\frac{k^{2}}{8} s_{u u}\right)\right] d u\right. \\
\left.+a \int_{0}^{1}\left[j_{u} Z+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1-e^{-2 a u}}{2 a}-j_{u}^{2}\right)\right] \exp \left(\frac{j_{u} Z}{2}+\frac{1}{8}\left[\frac{1-e^{-2 a u}}{2 a}-j_{u}^{2}\right]\right) d u\right\} \tag{73}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\quad L=\frac{\left(1-e^{-a}\right)^{2}}{2 a^{2} B} \quad$ and $\quad B=\sqrt{\frac{2 a-\left(1-e^{-a}\right)\left(3-e^{-a}\right)}{2 a^{3}}}$.
Thus, conditionally on $Z$, we have
$\Omega(Z)=\exp \left(r-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} \rho^{2} E(P \mid Z)+\rho \sigma E(Q \mid Z)\right) \Phi\left(\frac{r+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} E(P \mid Z)\left(1-2 \rho^{2}\right)+\rho \sigma E(Q \mid Z)-\ell n b}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right) E(P \mid Z)}}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-b \Phi\left(\frac{r-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} E(P \mid Z)+\rho \sigma E(Q \mid Z)-\ell n b}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right) E(P \mid Z)}}\right) \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

To price the option, we also need conditionally on $Z$

$$
\Theta(Z)=\frac{1}{2} \rho^{2} \sigma^{2} \psi_{Q Q}\left(\sigma^{2} E(P \mid Z), \rho \sigma E(Q \mid Z)\right) \operatorname{Var}(Q \mid Z)
$$

For this, we need the terms $\psi_{Q Q}\left(\sigma^{2} E(P \mid Z), \rho \sigma E(Q \mid Z)\right.$ and $\operatorname{Var}(Q \mid Z)$.
Now, $\psi_{Q Q}\left(\sigma^{2} E(P \mid Z), \rho \sigma E(Q \mid Z)\right)$
$=\left[\exp \left(r+\rho \sigma E(Q \mid Z)-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} \rho^{2} E(P \mid Z)\right) \Phi\left(\frac{r+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} E(P \mid Z)\left(1-2 \rho^{2}\right)+\rho \sigma E(Q \mid Z)-\ell n b}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right) E(P \mid Z)}}\right)\right.$
$\left.+\frac{\exp \left(r+\rho \sigma E(Q \mid Z)-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} \rho^{2} E(P \mid Z)\right)}{\sqrt{2 \sigma^{2} \pi\left(1-\rho^{2}\right) E(P \mid Z)}} \exp \left(-\frac{\left(r+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} E(P \mid Z)\left(1-2 \rho^{2}\right)+\rho \sigma E(Q \mid Z)-\ell \mathrm{nb}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right) E(P \mid Z)}\right)\right]$
and $\quad \operatorname{Var}(Q \mid Z)=I_{1}+\frac{k^{2}}{16} I_{2}+a^{2} I_{3}+2 a I_{4}-\frac{k}{2} I_{5}-\frac{a k}{2} I_{6}$
where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{I}_{1}=\left[\exp (k L z)\left\{\exp \left(\frac{k^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{1-e^{-2 a}}{2 a}-L^{2}\right)\right)-\exp \left(\frac{k^{2}}{4}\left[\frac{1-e^{-2 a}}{2 a}-L^{2}\right]\right)\right\}\right], \\
& \mathrm{I}_{2}=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \exp \left(\frac{k}{2}\left(j_{u}+j_{v}\right) Z+\frac{k^{2}}{8}\left[s_{u u}+s_{v v}\right]\right)\left\{\exp \left(\frac{k^{2}}{4} s_{u v}\right)-1\right\} d u d v, \\
& \mathrm{I}_{3}=\left[\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{l} \exp \left(\frac{k}{2}\left[j_{t}+j_{u}\right] Z+\frac{k^{2}}{8}\left[s_{u}+s_{u u}\right]\right)\left[\exp \left(\frac{k^{2}}{4} s_{t u}\right) s_{t u}-1\right] d t d u\right. \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \exp \left(\frac{k}{2}\left[j_{t}+j_{u} Z Z+\frac{k^{2}}{8}\left[s_{u t}+s_{u u}\right]+\frac{k^{2}}{4} s_{t u}\right)\left\{j_{t} Z+\frac{k}{2}\left(s_{u t}+s_{t u}\right)\right\}\left\{j_{u} Z+\frac{k}{2}\left(s_{u u}+s_{t u}\right)\right\} d d d u\right], \\
& I_{4}=\left[\int_{0}^{l} \exp \left(\frac{k}{2} j_{1} Z+\frac{k^{2}}{8} s_{u}\right)\left\{\left(j_{1} Z+\frac{k}{2}\left(s_{u}+s_{11}\right) \exp \left(\frac{k}{2} j_{1} Z+\frac{k^{2}}{8} s_{11}+\frac{k^{2}}{4} s_{11}\right)-\exp \left(\frac{k}{2} j_{1} Z+\frac{k^{2}}{8} s_{11}\right)\right\} d t\right],\right. \\
& \mathrm{I}_{5}=\left[\frac{1}{\frac{k^{2}}{2}} \int_{0}^{l} \exp \left(\frac{k}{2} j_{\mathrm{I}} Z+\frac{k^{2}}{8} s_{\text {I" }}\right)\left\{\exp \left(\frac{k}{2} j_{1} Z+\frac{k^{2}}{8} s_{11}+\frac{k^{2}}{4} s_{1 \prime \prime}\right)-\exp \left(\frac{k}{2} j_{1} Z+\frac{k^{2}}{8} s_{11}\right)\right\} d t\right], \\
& \mathrm{I}_{6}=\left[\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \exp \left(\frac{k}{2}\left[j_{s}+j_{t} Z Z+\frac{k^{2}}{8}\left[s_{s w}+s_{u}\right)\right]\left\{\left[\exp \left(\frac{k^{2}}{4} s_{s u}\right)\left(j_{t} Z+\frac{k}{2}\left\{s_{s w}+s_{k}\right\}\right)\right]-\left[j_{t} Z+\frac{k}{2} s_{u}\right]\right\} d s d t\right] .\right. \\
& \text { Here } L=\frac{\left(1-e^{-a}\right)^{2}}{2 a^{2} M} \text { and } M=\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(\int_{0}^{l} V_{s} d s\right)}=\sqrt{\frac{2 a-\left(1-e^{-a}\right)\left(3-e^{-a}\right)}{2 a^{3}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Knowing the values of $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}, I_{4}, I_{5}$ and $I_{6}$, we can easily calculate $\operatorname{Var}(Q \mid Z)$. Further, knowing $E(P \mid Z)$ (equation(72)) and $E(Q \mid Z)$ (equation(73)), we find the value of the correction factor $\Theta(Z)$.

Finally, to calculate the value of the option we calculate the sum of the expectations of $\Omega(Z)$ and $\Theta(Z)$ with respect to $Z$ adjusted for the current asset price and the interest rate i.e. we calculate

$$
\begin{equation*}
100 e^{-r}\left(\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Omega(z) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{\frac{z^{2}}{2}} d z\right\}+\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Theta(z) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{\frac{z^{2}}{2}} d z\right\}\right)=100 e^{-r}\left(H_{1}+H_{2}\right) \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ is the first term approximation to the price, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is the associated correction factor and $\mathrm{X}_{0}$ is the current price of the asset (we assume $\mathrm{X}_{0}=100$ ).

To illustrate the technique described above, we have repeated the work over a number of scenarios with volatility following both a Brownian Motion as well as an Ornstein - Uhlenbeck process for a host of strike prices, as well as values of $\rho$. Some of them are highlighted in the tables later.

## Comments on Pricing European Options on Stochastically Volatile Assets

A look at the output from this method show that in all the cases, the calculated value of the option, including the correction factor, is very close to the simulated value. The values are, in general, more accurate for the case when the volatility process follows a Brownian motion. In the case of the volatility process following an Ornstein - Uhlenbeck process, the lower the value of $a$ the closer agreement of the calculated values with the simulated values. Also, higher the value of $\rho$, i.e. the closer $\rho$ is to $\pm 1$, the greater the contribution of the correction factor to the corrected calculated price.

The biggest advantage of this method is that one can do away with the restrictive assumption of independence of the price and the volatility processes. In fact, in practice, price and volatility are independent of each other. Also, this method is quite fast to use for different values of the strike price.

Another justification of use of the correction factor is in the approximation carried out during conditioning. In the case of the volatility processes, conditioning $B_{1}$ on $\int_{0}^{1} B_{s} d s$ (or $V_{1}$ on $\int_{0}^{1} V_{s} d s$ ) does not work so well and leads to an error. One probable reason for this is the fact that $B_{1}$ and $\int_{0}^{1} B_{s} d s$ (or $V_{1}$ on $\int_{0}^{1} V_{s} d s$ ). Thus, in both cases, the correction factor is needed to rectify that error.

## CONCLUSION AND REMARKS

The methods described here can be used for pricing bonds (both zero coupon as well as coupon bearing) as well as European options on stochastically volatile assets. In both cases, the solution is not heavily dependant on any numerical methods - hence the level of accuracy is generally higher and so is the speed of calculation. Also, most of the calculation can be easily done on simple machine and no high-end sophisticated machines are required. Also, all the solutions, though not entirely closed form, is semi-closed form and hence more mathematically tractable in terms of error analysis and related analysis.

Finally, some of the results obtained by this method along with some comparative values (obtained through other means) are given in the tables at the end. The accuracy can be easily gauged from the closeness of the results shown in the tables.

For the bond case, the approach can be easily extended to the case of a "portfolio of bonds". This is particularly important as the "portfolio" can then be looked upon as the set of multiple drivers to even a single bond value-something that does happen quite often in practice.

On the option framework, the work can be extended to the case where the interest rate can also be stochastic in nature and there is correlation between the interest rate process and the volatility process, the interest rate process and the price process apart from the volatility and the price process. The work can also be extended to the case when the option is of the American type - though the calculations in that case might become very complicated and more amount of numerical procedures might be needed.

## TABLES

Here we present some tables with numerical examples of the methods described above. Most of the tables are self explanatory with appropriate headings and foot notes.

First we present the results for the zero coupon bond case; table 1 presents the Brownian motion, table 2 presents results for the stationary Ornstein Uhlenbeck process and table 3 is the case of non-stationary Ornstein - Uhlenbeck process. $\mathrm{LB}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{UB}_{1}$ refer to the bounds calculated using the conditioning factor while $\mathrm{LB}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{UB}_{2}$ are the bounds obtained through direct expansion.

| a | $\sigma$ | LB1 | UB1 | LB2 | UB2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.5 | 0.1 | 94.657 | 94.657 | 94.629 | 94.636 |
|  | 0.5 | 94.368 | 94.374 | 94.342 | 94.347 |
|  | 0.75 | 93.965 | 93.979 | 93.943 | 93.951 |
|  | 1 | 93.35 | 93.375 | 93.334 | 93.352 |
| -0.2 | 0.1 | 93.86 | 93.86 | 93.839 | 93.843 |
|  | 0.5 | 93.514 | 93.52 | 93.497 | 93.503 |
|  | 0.75 | 93.034 | 93.047 | 93.021 | 93.033 |
|  | 1 | 92.303 | 92.328 | 92.297 | 92.328 |
| 0 | 0.1 | 93.239 | 93.239 | 93.224 | 93.23 |
|  | 0.5 | 92.849 | 92.855 | 92.838 | 92.847 |
|  | 0.75 | 92.308 | 92.322 | 92.303 | 92.32 |
|  | 1 | 91.49 | 91.514 | 91.491 | 91.538 |
| 0.2 | 0.1 | 92.534 | 92.534 | 92.526 | 92.534 |
|  | 0.5 | 92.094 | 92.1 | 92.091 | 92.104 |
|  | 0.75 | 91.486 | 91.5 | 91.489 | 91.513 |
|  | 1 | 90.57 | 90.595 | 90.581 | 90.649 |
| 0.5 | 0.1 | 91.291 | 91.291 | 91.297 | 91.31 |
|  | 0.5 | 90.765 | 90.771 | 90.777 | 90.798 |
|  | 0.75 | 90.041 | 90.055 | 90.061 | 90.102 |
|  | 1 | 88.962 | 88.986 | 88.987 | 89.11 |

Table 1 : The interest rate follows a geometric Brownian motion.
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| $\sigma$ | LB1 | UB1 | LB2 | UB2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 93.239 | 93.25 | 93.223 | 93.223 |
| 0.5 | 92.859 | 92.898 | 92.844 | 92.853 |
| 0.75 | 92.342 | 92.382 | 92.326 | 92.343 |
| 1 | 91.576 | 91.608 | 91.561 | 91.597 |

Table 2: The interest rate follows an exponential function of a stationary Ornstein - Uhlenbeck process with $a=1$.

| $\sigma$ | LB1 | UB1 | LB2 | UB2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 93.245 | 93.246 | 92.227 | 93.233 |
| 0.5 | 93.029 | 93.031 | 92.939 | 92.948 |
| 0.75 | 92.736 | 92.749 | 92.557 | 92.575 |
| 1 | 92.308 | 92.331 | 92.001 | 92.043 |

Table 3: The interest rate follows an exponential function of a nonstationary Ornstein - Uhlenbeck process with $a=1$.

Note : In some cases in tables 1,2 and 3, lower bounds calculated using one approach are slightly higher than the upper bounds calculated by the other method. This is due to small inaccuracies in the numerical integration procedures and indicates how close they are to the actual price.

Also, in our case the direct expansion works due to the fact that $\sigma$ is small it shall break down for large values of $\sigma$.

The next set of tables looks at non-defaultable as well as defaultable bonds. The coupon rate, C is taken as $5 \%$ and the payout in case of default is $50 \%$. Also, $r$ in case of the defaultable bonds is taken as $5 \%$. Tables 4.1 and 4.2 depict the case of non-defaultable and defaultable bonds respectively with a short life ( 1 year) while tables 5.1 and 5.2 give the same results for bonds with longer lives ( 10 years).

| $\sigma$ | a | b | Calculated | Simulated | S.E. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 1 | 0.07 | 98.07985 | 98.05825 | 0.0027 |
| 0.5 | l | 0.07 | 97.68948 | 97.82111 | 0.0145 |
| 0.75 | 1 | 0.07 | 97.16662 | 97.54738 | 0.023 |

Table 4.1 : Table showing the calculated values of the total payments of coupon paying bonds along with the simulated values and their standard errors where the term of the bond is 1 year and the coupon rate is $5 \%$.

| $\sigma$ | a | b | Calculated | Simulated | S.E. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 1 | 0.07 | 95.7805 | 95.7549 | 0.0015 |
| 0.5 | 1 | 0.07 | 95.6761 | 95.652 | 0.0078 |
| 0.75 | 1 | 0.07 | 95.5354 | 95.4768 | 0.01208 |

Table 4.2 : Table showing the calculated values of the payments of bonds at default along with the simulated values and their standard errors where the term of the bond is 1 year and and the amount paid out in case of default is $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$.

| $\sigma$ | a | b | Calculated | Simulated | S.E. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 1 | 0.07 | 53.25209 | 53.17027 | 0.0104 |
| $\sqrt{0.1}$ | 1 | 0.07 | 52.58027 | 52.4876 | 0.0334 |
| 0.5 | 1 | 0.07 | 51.46158 | 51.37964 | 0.0537 |
| 0.75 | 1 | 0.07 | 49.1404 | 49.1597 | 0.081 |

Table 5.1 : Table showing the calculated values of the total payments of coupon paying bonds along with the simulated values and their standard errors where the term of the bond is 10 years and the coupon rate is $5 \%$.

| $\sigma$ | a | b | Calculated | Simulated | S.E. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 1 | 0.07 | 74.6547 | 74.65141 | 0.0057 |
| $\sqrt{0.1}$ | 1 | 0.07 | 74.3201 | 74.3066 | 0.0179 |
| 0.5 | 1 | 0.07 | 73.795 | 73.8483 | 0.0288 |
| 0.75 | 1 | 0.07 | 72.705 | 72.7103 | 0.044 |

Table 5.2: Table showing the calculated values of the payments of bonds at default along with the simulated values and their standard errors where the term of the bond is 10 years and the amount paid out in case of default is $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$.

Note : To calculate the prices of the long - term (10 year) bonds, we use the same formulae as in the case of 1 year bonds. However, for calculation purposes, we take the term of the bond $T=1$ but adjust the other parameters accordingly to represent a $T=t$ year bond. Thus, for a bond with a life of $t$ years, $\sigma^{2}$ changes to $\sigma^{2} t$, a changes to $a t$ and $b$ changes to $b t$. In our case, $t=10$.

The next set of three tables (6.1-6.3) present the results for the European option price case. Table 6.1 looks at the volatility process being a pure Brownian motion while tables 6.2 and 6.3 look at the Ornstein Uhlenbeck case. In these tables, we compare the Corrected Calculated Price (CCP) with the simulated price.

| $\sigma$ | b | Calculated | C.F. | CCP | Simulated | S.E. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.95 | 110 | 2.044508 | 0.779841 | 2.824346 | 2.990192 | 0.142218 |
|  | 105 | 3.497231 | 0.816586 | 4.313817 | 4.453916 | 0.15819 |
|  | 100 | 5.910315 | 0.672918 | 6.583233 | 6.720349 | 0.174477 |
|  | 95 | 9.546253 | 0.370436 | 9.916688 | 10.067917 | 0.187047 |
|  | 90 | 14.09038 | 0.200528 | 14.290908 | 14.421779 | 0.19195 |
| -0.95 | 110 | 1.449652 | 0.515492 | 1.965143 | 1.979887 | 0.046055 |
|  | 105 | 3.61698 | 0.664221 | 4.281493 | 4.32144 | 0.070859 |
|  | 100 | 6.730394 | 0.656562 | 7.386937 | 7.478487 | 0.093149 |
|  | 95 | 10.522814 | 0.560182 | 11.082996 | 11.252283 | 0.110801 |
|  | 90 | 14.743085 | 0.443986 | 15.187071 | 15.427257 | 0.123809 |
| 0.75 | 110 | 2.321014 | 0.440063 | 2.761077 | 2.79501 | 0.109881 |
|  | 105 | 3.857499 | 0.475741 | 4.33324 | 4.370133 | 0.128513 |
|  | 100 | 6.310175 | 0.42701 | 6.737185 | 6.803907 | 0.146796 |
|  | 95 | 9.854223 | 0.291273 | 10.145325 | 10.274714 | 0.160558 |
|  | 90 | 14.235053 | 0.167333 | 14.402386 | 14.549206 | 0.167553 |
| -0.75 | 110 | 1.764733 | 0.351344 | 2.116077 | 2.080526 | 0.054295 |
|  | 105 | 3.897485 | 0.411583 | 4.309067 | 4.276188 | 0.077837 |
|  | 100 | 6.94123 | 0.393316 | 7.334547 | 7.277515 | 0.099984 |
|  | 95 | 10.673079 | 0.331616 | 11.004534 | 10.929826 | 0.118031 |
|  | 90 | 14.852886 | 0.136765 | 14.989651 | 15.024697 | 0.131557 |
|  | 6.1. |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.1: Volatility process follows a Simple Brownian Motion with $\sigma=0.1, r=0.05$ and $k=1$.

| 0.5 | 110 | 2.51401 | 0.1796 | 2.69361 | 2.677158 | 0.099293 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 105 | 4.162327 | 0.195099 | 4.357426 | 4.349432 | 0.118616 |
|  | 100 | 6.703056 | 0.18134 | 6.884301 | 6.887848 | 0.137491 |
|  | 95 | 10.214073 | 0.138677 | 10.35275 | 10.389251 | 0.151995 |
|  | 90 | 14.457174 | 0.093119 | 14.550293 | 14.596035 | 0.160504 |
| -0.5 | 110 | 2.106229 | 0.15975 | 2.265979 | 2.249182 | 0.063796 |
|  | 105 | 4.159931 | 0.15833 | 4.31826 | 4.309344 | 0.086421 |
|  | 100 | 7.104181 | 0.168977 | 7.273158 | 7.214149 | 0.107748 |
|  | 95 | 10.766899 | 0.141742 | 10.908641 | 10.812996 | 0.125257 |
| 90 |  | 14.91327 | 0.111661 | 15.024931 | 14.920499 | 0.137719 |
| 0.25 | 110 | 2.573018 | 0.042315 | 2.614529 | 2.578021 | 0.089701 |
|  | 105 | 4.329345 | 0.046211 | 4.375555 | 4.340218 | 0.109824 |
|  | 100 | 6.968613 | 0.043416 | 7.012029 | 6.983028 | 0.129222 |
|  | 95 | 10.490538 | 0.037539 | 10.528077 | 10.506015 | 0.144528 |
|  | 90 | 14.660471 | 0.016196 | 14.676667 | 14.660233 | 0.154393 |
| -0.25 | 110 | 2.359796 | 0.040272 | 2.400067 | 2.38458 | 0.072381 |
|  | 105 | 4.320381 | 0.044348 | 4.364726 | 4.322913 | 0.094257 |
|  | 100 | 7.162415 | 0.041809 | 7.204224 | 7.133907 | 0.114915 |
|  | 95 | 10.766043 | 0.034854 | 10.800897 | 10.711205 | 0.131551 |
|  | 90 | 14.897422 | 0.027139 | 14.924561 | 14.835279 | 0.143077 |
| 0 | 110 | 2.517138 |  |  | 2.486435 | 0.080825 |
|  | 105 | 4.378393 |  |  | 4.326996 | 0.101893 |
|  | 100 | 7.118547 |  |  | 7.062116 | 0.121822 |
|  | 95 | 10.674126 |  |  | 10.61299 | 0.137816 |
|  | 90 | 14.811251 |  |  | 14.743523 | 0.148634 |
|  |  |  |  | 6 | 0.1 | 0 |

Table 6.1 Continued.....

| $\rho$ | b | Calculated | C.F. | CCP | Simulated | S.E. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.95 | 110 | 2.052779 | 0.7262169 | 2.778996 | 3.010594 | 0.1194083 |
|  | 105 | 3.516785 | 0.7696407 | 4.286425 | 4.521037 | 0.1380072 |
|  | 100 | 5.938746 | 0.6435333 | 6.582279 | 6.827903 | 0.1563951 |
|  | 95 | 9.570367 | 0.3594557 | 9.929823 | 10.20763 | 0.1701949 |
|  | 90 | 14.10503 | 0.1884444 | 14.29347 | 14.57596 | 0.1754179 |
| -0.95 | 110 | 1.465225 | 0.5031988 | 1.968424 | 2.009105 | 0.04744766 |
|  | 105 | 3.625432 | 0.6366818 | 4.262114 | 4.322088 | 0.07209224 |
|  | 100 | 6.730491 | 0.6212323 | 7.351724 | 7.436452 | 0.09447091 |
|  | 95 | 10.51867 | 0.5240718 | 11.04274 | 11.16606 | 0.1127158 |
|  | 90 | 14.73891 | 0.4112558 | 15.15017 | 15.28758 | 0.1265338 |
| 0.75 | 110 | 2.312387 | 0.4122456 | 2.724633 | 2.963393 | 0.1109139 |
|  | 105 | 3.858761 | 0.4486269 | 4.307388 | 4.549214 | 0.1302168 |
|  | 100 | 6.321038 | 0.4044438 | 6.728094 | 6.978501 | 0.1488933 |
|  | 95 | 9.86696 | 0.2757934 | 10.14276 | 10.39694 | 0.1632714 |
|  | 90 | 14.24454 | 0.1568302 | 14.40137 | 14.67709 | 0.1701071 |
| -0.75 | 110 | 1.769973 | 0.3372281 | 2.107201 | 2.154169 | 0.05585269 |
|  | 105 | 3.89501 | 0.392482 | 4.287492 | 4.369176 | 0.07926726 |
|  | 100 | 6.931021 | 0.3723157 | 7.303337 | 7.424602 | 0.1009169 |
|  | 95 | 10.65958 | 0.3112112 | 10.97079 | 11.11974 | 0.118411 |
|  | 90 | 14.84905 | 0.25439755 | 15.08492 | 15.24523 | 0.13155 |

Table 6.2: The volatility process follows an Ornstein - Uhlenbeck process with $a=0.1, k=1, r=0.05, \sigma=0.1, X_{0}=100$ and $V_{0}=0$.

| 0.5 | 110 | 2.49434 | 0.1686056 | 2.662946 | 2.8703595 | 0.1017094 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 105 | 4.149523 | 0.1846999 | 4.334223 | 4.549074 | 0.1216292 |
|  | 100 | 6.69702 | 0.1717737 | 6.868794 | 7086679 | 0.1407383 |
|  | 95 | 10.21131 | 0.1307052 | 10.34202 | 10.56835 | 0.1554402 |
|  | 90 | 14.45612 | 0.08677706 | 14.5429 | 14.79708 | 0.1635396 |
| -0.5 | 110 | 2.098748 | 0.1524248 | 2.251173 | 2.309457 | 0.06623995 |
|  | 105 | 4.147055 | 0.1704263 | 4.317481 | 4.397041 | 0.0884599 |
|  | 100 | 7.085885 | 0.1602199 | 7.246105 | 7.371216 | 0.1090454 |
|  | 95 | 10.74705 | 0.1333718 | 10.88042 | 11.04136 | 0.1257021 |
|  | 90 | 14.89608 | 0.1041142 | 15.0002 | 15.18395 | 0.1376807 |
| 0.25 | 110 | 2.548681 | 0.04003625 | 2.588718 | 2.755464 | 0.09290375 |
|  | 105 | 4.309619 | 0.04386708 | 4.353486 | 4.534631 | 0.1133543 |
|  | 100 | 6.952337 | 0.04116863 | 6.993506 | 7.176826 | 0.1328749 |
|  | 95 | 10.47678 | 0.03287068 | 10.50966 | 10.71232 | 0.1479818 |
|  | 90 | 14.65015 | 0.02367886 | 14.67383 | 14.90507 | 0.1571716 |
| -0.25 | 110 | 2.342994 | 0.03831577 | 2.381316 | 2.472027 | 0.07555303 |
|  | 105 | 4.301222 | 0.04222176 | 4.343443 | 4.436763 | 0.09709313 |
|  | 100 | 7.140569 | 0.0396711 | 7.18024 | 7.314232 | 0.1170629 |
|  | 95 | 10.74409 | 0.03283458 | 10.77692 | 10.95539 | 0.13306 |
|  | 90 | 14.8789 | 0.02532481 | 14.90423 | 15.1089 | 0.1440347 |
| 0 | 100 | 2.494862 |  |  | 2.447907 | 0.08430024 |
|  | 105 | 4.356859 |  |  | 4.48986 | 0.1052663 |
|  | 100 | 7.097289 |  |  | 7.257128 | 0.1248408 |
|  | 95 | 10.65413 |  |  | 10.83947 | 0.1405096 |
|  | 90 | 14.79503 |  |  | 15.01577 | 0.1505679 |

Table 6.2 Continued.....

| $\rho$ | b | Calculated | C.F. | CCP | Simulated | S.E. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.95 | 110 | 2.145946 | 0.085411 | 2.231357 | 2.308187 | 0.073264 |
|  | 105 | 3.928759 | 0.098936 | 4.027696 | 4.066879 | 0.095204 |
|  | 100 | 6.610466 | 0.093486 | 6.703952 | 6.723736 | 0.115949 |
|  | 95 | 10.192842 | 0.069991 | 10.262832 | 10.29781 | 0.131655 |
|  | 90 | 14.454283 | 0.04308 | 14.497363 | 14.543108 | 0.140696 |
| -0.95 | 110 | 1.991431 | 0.090238 | 2.081669 | 2.078354 | 0.060331 |
|  | 105 | 3.922141 | 0.098474 | 4.020615 | 3.999647 | 0.083325 |
|  | 100 | 6.751405 | 0.087625 | 6.83903 | 6.853382 | 0.104518 |
|  | 95 | 10.386169 | 0.065568 | 10.473794 | 10.507584 | 0.121012 |
|  | 90 | 14.596767 | 0.044268 | 14.641035 | 14.695058 | 0.132083 |
| 0.75 | 110 | 2.180094 | 0.053217 | 2.23332 | 2.299371 | 0.0725 |
|  | 105 | 3.983075 | 0.061118 | 4.044764 | 4.094231 | 0.094412 |
|  | 100 | 6.678632 | 0.057421 | 6.736053 | 6.773408 | 0.115251 |
|  | 95 | 10.256393 | 0.04322 | 10.299613 | 10.334223 | 0.131357 |
|  | 90 | 14.499057 | 0.027137 | 14.526194 | 14.5503 | 0.140927 |
| -0.75 | 110 | 2.058914 | 0.055518 | 2.114432 | 2.099698 | 0.061669 |
|  | 105 | 3.977592 | 0.060891 | 4.038484 | 4.022176 | 0.084489 |
|  | 100 | 6.787376 | 0.054626 | 6.842002 | 6.826005 | 0.106001 |
|  | 95 | 10.406935 | 0.041081 | 10.448016 | 10.438221 | 0.12277 |
|  | 90 | 14.611664 | 0.027673 | 14.639337 | 14.625447 | 0.133612 |
| 0.5 | 110 | 2.208241 | 0.023676 | 2.231917 | 2.279903 | 0.07128 |
|  | 105 | 4.035839 | 0.026927 | 4.062766 | 4.123707 | 0.093146 |
|  | 100 | 6.74748 | 0.025112 | 6.772597 | 6.803292 | 0.1202188 |
|  | 95 | 10.322142 | 0.018987 | 10.341129 | 10.364329 | 0.130605 |
|  | 90 | 14.544878 | 0.012187 | 14.557065 | 14.555246 | 0.140691 |

Table 6.3: The volatility process follows an Ornstein - Uhlenbeck process with $a=10, k=1, r=0.05, V_{0}=0, X_{o}=100$ and $\sigma=0.1$.

| -0.5 | 110 | 2.128028 | 0.024329 | 2.152356 | 2.152001 | 0.064217 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 105 | 4.031225 | 0.026861 | 4.058086 | 4.051619 | 0.086781 |
|  | 100 | 6.818265 | 0.024318 | 6.842583 | 6.809635 | 0.108321 |
|  | 95 | 10.421298 | 0.018368 | 10.439667 | 10.399439 | 0.125018 |
|  | 90 | 14.620672 | 0.012319 | 14.632991 | 14.596948 | 0.13546 |
| 0.25 | 110 | 2.218443 | 0.005933 | 2.224377 | 2.2814 | 0.069658 |
|  | 105 | 4.068162 | 0.006689 | 4.074851 | 4.128462 | 0.091961 |
|  | 100 | 6.796636 | 0.006197 | 6.802833 | 6.807212 | 0.113399 |
|  | 95 | 10.371454 | 0.0047 | 10.376154 | 10.373525 | 0.12969 |
|  | 90 | 14.579721 | 0.00307 | 14.582791 | 14.56239 | 0.139915 |
| -0.25 | 110 | 2.178563 | 0.006012 | 2.184576 | 2.209668 | 0.664102 |
|  | 105 | 4.06566 | 0.006681 | 4.072341 | 4.075665 | 0.088959 |
|  | 100 | 6.831474 | 0.0061 | 6.837573 | 6.810703 | 0.110319 |
|  | 95 | 10.420737 | 0.004623 | 10.42536 | 10.37738 | 0.12704 |
|  | 90 | 14.617989 | 0.003084 | 14.621073 | 1.4585143 | 0.137122 |
| 0 | 110 | 2.208792 |  |  | 2.255537 | 0.068118 |
|  | 105 | 4.078353 |  |  | 4.107981 | 0.090602 |
|  | 100 | 6.824676 |  |  | 6.811075 | 0.112042 |
|  | 95 | 10.404252 |  |  | 10.372034 | 0.128603 |
|  | 90 | 14.604139 |  |  | 14.571978 | 0.138699 |

Table 6.3 Continued.....
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