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#### Abstract

Aim: To determine prevalence of Pre-hypertension in migrant worker population. Methods and Materials: An observational study were conducted on migrant workers from various types of working sites like construction, hotels and restaurants, industry in municipal limits of Nashik. Study Duration was six months with estimated sample size 1000. Body weight, height and blood pressure were recorded. Result: Of the 959 migrant workers (male and female) 809 ( $84.35 \%$ ) belonged to 10-40 years age group, in which $22 \%$ was female. We found that $30 \%$ of total population was overweight (BMI more than 25 ) and $63 \%$ workers are having abnormal blood pressure. The overall prevalence of hypertension in migrant population was $18.44 \%$. $27 \%$ migrant populations were pre-hypertensive while $8 \%$ have Stage I Hypertension and $1 \%$ of total have Stage II hypertension. Conclusion: The prevalence of pre hypertension in migrant worker population was higher than prevalence in general population.
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## 1. Introduction

The prevalence of hypertension is increasing constantly, because of the changing life styles, the environment, industrialization, and urbanization. Migrant labors in construction sector, hotel and industry involve many hazardous activities. Migrant labor in the industry is susceptible to various health and occupational hazards. There are about 37 million unorganized laborers in Maharashtra. As per census 2001, 29.90 million workers migrated for reasons of employment. The national prevalence of hypertension 29.8\% (rural 27.6\%, urban $33.8 \%$ ) reported by Raghupathy Anchala ${ }^{6}$. Field-based studies on the prevalence of hypertension in migrant population are still scarce and more fields based studies are required to highlight problem of hypertension. Hence this field based cross-sectional study was undertaken.

## 2. Materials and Methods

This was an observational study, total 1000 subjects were
screened. Study center was MVP's Dr. Vasantrao Pawar Medical College Hospital and Research Centre, Nashik. Study duration was six months. Migrant workers from various types of working sites like construction, hotels and restaurants, industry who gave informed consent were included in study. Migrant population at various construction sites, hotel and industrial area were screened for presence of hypertension using calibrated portable automated sphygmomanometer (NUTEC BP-09). Body weight, height and blood pressure were recorded. While recording the blood pressuresubject was allowed to 5 -minute rest in sitting position with arm supported on table, appropriate cuff size was used considering the mid arm circumference. Blood pressure was recordedby trained doctoron subject's dominant hand, 3 times with the interval of 5 min's.BMI percentage were calculated according to the proposed criteria of World Health Organization (WHO) ${ }^{4}$.

Migrants with Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP) $\geq 140$ mm Hg and Diastolic Blood Pressure (DBP) $\geq 90 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ were classified as hypertensive and those with SBP 120139 and/or the DBP $80-89 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ were considered to
have pre hypertension. Isolated systolic hypertension was diagnosed when SBP was $\geq 160 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ and DBP was < 90 mm Hg. Subjects with a SBP lower than 120 mmHg and a DBP lower than 80 mm Hg were considered as having a normal blood pressure ${ }^{10}$.

## 3. Result

Total 1000 migrant workers were screened out of which, 959 were included in final analysis. 41 workers were excluded due technical failure of recording. Age range was 10 to 70 years. The maximum numbers of migrants were in the age group of 20 to 30 years. Among total migrant population there were $78 \%$ of males and rest were females. $30 \%$ of migrant's were overweight, $56 \%$ were within normal range, and $14 \%$ were underweight category according to BMI classification ${ }^{4}$.

Among the overall study populations only $37 \%$ migrants had normal Blood Pressure (SBP and DBP); $63 \%$ migrants had either prehypertension, hypertension including isolated systolic hypertension with elevated SBP and/or DBP. Also out of total population maximum migrants had SBP value within 120 to 130 mmHg and DBP value within 70 to 80 mmHg (Table 1).

The overall prevalence of hypertension in migrant population was $18.44 \%$. Male $19.2 \%$, female $16.74 \%$. Isolated systolic hypertension ( $\geq 160 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) was observed in $4 \%$ (Men $4.1 \%$, Women $4.2 \%$ ) migrant population. $27 \%$ migrant populations were pre-hypertensive (Graph 1 (a) and 1 (b)). $8 \%$ migrants had stage I hypertension, $1 \%$ had Stage II hypertension and $1 \%$ migrants were in hypertensive crisis ${ }^{5}$. In subgroup analysis of various BMI groups, apart from migrants with high BMI, $14 \%$ migrants with normal BMI and 10\% migrants with low BMI had BP in hypertensive range. Among gender distribution 80 males, 34 females were hypertensive ( $\mathrm{BP}>140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ).
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Graph 1. Gender and BMI distribution of hypertensive male migrant population. Each bar has total population of each category as well as hypertensive population expressed in percentage. (b) Gender and BMI distribution of hypertensive female migrant population. Each bar has total population of each category as well as hypertensive population expressed in percentage.

Table 1. Blood pressure classification

| BP Classification | Systolic mm Hg <br> $($ Upper \#) | Diastolic <br> (Lower \#) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Normal | $<120(\mathrm{n}=351)$ | $<80(\mathrm{n}=582)$ |
| Pre-Hypertension | 120 to $139(\mathrm{n}=425)$ | 80 to $89(\mathrm{n}=256)$ |
| Stage I Hypertension | 140 to $159(\mathrm{n}=137)$ | 90 to $99(\mathrm{n}=81)$ |
| Stage II Hypertension | 160 to $179(\mathrm{n}=30)$ | 100 to 110 |
|  |  | $(\mathrm{n}=10)$ |
| Hypertensive Crisis | $>180(\mathrm{n}=10)$ | $>110(\mathrm{n}=10)$ |

## 4. Discussion

Our study showed the significantly low prevalence of hypertension in migrant population as compared to values of general population ${ }^{6}$ which may be due to physical activity and related backbreaking work. The prevalence of hypertension in normal and underweight category was $10 \%$ and $14 \%$, which is less compared to general population, $35 \%$ and $29 \%$ respectively ${ }^{9}$. Further, within migrant population the blood pressure distribution as per weight shows high prevalence of hypertension in overweight migrants like that of general population.

But, Prevalence of Pre hypertension and obesity in migrant population was high as compared to its prevalence in general population ${ }^{78}$. Obesity prevalence was $9.6 \%$ which was high in comparison with general population (6.8\%) of India ${ }^{8}$. This may be due to faulty lifestyles, addictions and irregular health access and
needs to be explored further. Hypertensive crisis and stage II hypertension prevalence was $1 \%$ which equaled to its prevalence in general population which varied from 1-16 \%.

## 5. Conclusion

Our study showed that prevalence of hypertension was less in migrant population as compared to general population but high prevalence of pre-hypertension along with obesity was observed in migrant population.
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