

# History of Numbers and Fractions and Arithmetic Calculations in the Tamil Region: Some Observations

V. Selvakumar\*

Department of Epigraphy and Archaeology, Tamil University, Thanjavur - 613010, India; selvakumarodi@gmail.com

## Abstract

The Tamil inscriptions of the medieval period provide a very detailed account on the land measures in minute fractions. In the Medieval period, the villages were completely measured and documented, especially in the fertile Kāviri delta and other cultivable areas, for accurately assessing tax. The land measurements are discussed in terms of various units of measures, especially miniscule fractions, and the calculations of taxable and tax-free areas are also specified in some of the inscriptions. This paper presents a preliminary account of the fractions, numbers and arithmetic calculations found in the Medieval Tamil inscriptions.

**Keywords:** Arithmetic Calculation, History of Science, Land Administration, Medieval Measurements, Tamil Fractions

## 1. Introduction

In the study of history of science, the development and use of concepts related numbers, fractions and arithmetic calculations form an important component. There is a lot scope to research on the history of science and traditional knowledge systems in India, and when we look at this subject objectively, without any emotional attachment and pride, there is much to learn from these traditional concepts of science, which can be very useful for academic understanding as well as for imparting cognitive skills among the youngsters as part of the contemporary education. In the area of history of numbers, arithmetic calculations and mathematics in India, much research has been done [1, 6], and in this paper, I present a preliminary survey of the numbers, fractions and arithmetic calculations that were used in Tamil region in the historical period.

Simple arithmetic calculations were used for day-to-day accounting and for the assessment of land revenue during the medieval period. The Tamil inscriptions, literature and palm-leaf manuscripts serve as important sources for understanding the fractions, numbers and arithmetic

calculations. Specific symbols or markers were used to identify these fractions, apart from specific words. The land measurement, weight and volume measurements of various materials donated to the temple are also listed out in minute detail, in the inscriptions. In the medieval period, there were accountants who calculated the land area and assessed them for tax, and maintained detailed accounts of the lands and taxes to be collected.

The use of numbers or counting, perhaps began in the prehistoric period, when people calculated the number of people, fruits and other countable elements that were essential for their day-to-day activities. Nowadays people tend to visualize the figures such as 10, 100, 200 and 1000 as complete; but, the perception of these decimal based numbers as complete entities is nothing, but our own imagination. The number of body parts such as head, eyes, fingers that humans have perhaps helped in counting numbers in the very early stages of history. In the Indian tradition, the earliest reference to numbers comes from the *Vēdic* texts; many other early Indian texts have information on large numbers (e.g. *Vālmiki Rāmāyana* mentions about very high numbers [6, 11] (e.g.  $10^{57}$ ). Symbols for

\*Author for correspondence

**Note:** The author acknowledges the Indian National Science Academy for the financial support to pursue this research.

numerals are also found in the Asokan inscriptions, and also in the Nānēghat inscription [6]. It appears that the decimal system might have developed in India from the Harappan times [6]. Different systems were used in India for denoting numbers in the historical period. In the *Bhūta Samkya* system, numbers were identified by objects or ideas. The *Kaṭapayāti* system seems to have been developed by Vararuci, an astronomer and mathematician from Kērala, belonging to the medieval period. In this method, different letters are assigned numerical values, and words or *slōkās* are formed; for example, “nanajnanapragalbhaḥ” in this system means the figure of 43,20,000 [11].

## 2. Numbers in Tamil

Different symbols were used in Tamil Nadu for denoting numbers. The Brahmi inscriptions have evidence for the use of numbers, according to Iravatham Mahadevan [9]. At Azhakankulam (Alakankulam), the Early Historic site, numerals are found on pottery. However, the numbers, fractions and arithmetic calculations are found more frequently in the inscriptions from the medieval times. The medieval inscriptions give the numbers as figures and sometimes also as text, perhaps to make sure no error appears in their reading and interpretation. The Tamil work of *Kaṇakkatikāram* by Kāri Nāyanār is an important medieval work on mathematics [8]. This tradition of using Tamil numerals has continued to this day, although in the limited circles of Tamil studies and among Tamil scholars during the modern (colonial) period, when the so called Arabic numerals were introduced. As a result of the change in the use of numerals during the modern period, nowadays many people cannot understand the traditional Tamil numerals.

The traditional Tamil numerals of the contemporary period very much resemble the Tamil letters/characters that have specific phonetic value. For example, the Tamil letter அ (a) stands for the number 8 and ஁ stands for quarter (1/4). Kālamēgappulavar, a famous poet of the modern period, made a pun, using the symbols of letters “8” and “1/4.” In a poem, he mentions about “8 1/4 (eṭṭēkāl) laṭcanamē” which means “avalatcanam,” (=ugly).

The word “eṭ” in Tamil denotes numeral in a general sense and it also denotes the number eight. Perhaps, eight was seen as a complete or the largest number in the Tamil tradition. In Tamil system, the numbers 1 to 8 are mentioned as individual numbers, while 9 is mentioned as one less than 10. Earlier the term *tondu* was used to refer to 9. The term “onpatu” for nine, actually means one less than 10. Similarly, the numbers 90 and 900 are also mentioned

in relation to 100 and 1000, respectively. Based on these features, it can be argued that eight-based numeral system was probably used in the Tamil region in the early period. However, this proposition needs to be investigated further.

The manner in which numbers are mentioned in Tamil has a pattern much different from Sanskrit. “Eleven” is written in Tamil as ‘ten plus one.’ However, in Sanskrit, “one” is mentioned first and then ten, e.g. *ékādasi*, 11. The French language has a system of writing numbers, which is similar to Tamil.

## 3. Tamil Numerals and their Symbols

Tamil numerals and symbols are mentioned in the Tamil Inscriptions and they are also found in the palm-leaf manuscripts. In the inscriptions, the numbers are mentioned in a specific pattern. There are separate symbols for 1 to 10, 100 and 1000, and these symbols are combined to convey a specific figure. There is no place value system here. The number 88 is conveyed with the symbols of “8,10,8,” which means the first two figures have to be multiplied and the last figure has to be added. The number 800 was written as 8, 100= then it has to be read as 800. For example, *Kali* year 4820 would be written as 4, 1000; 8, 100; 2, 10 = 4820 (without any punctuation in between). The numbers are placed as they spelled in Tamil language, twenty-four would be spelled as “irup attu nāṅgu” = 2,10,4. Tamil inscriptions and manuscripts have the various symbols used for numbers, fractions and various land, weight and volume measures. Recently, Tamil Virtual Academy (TVA) under the control of Government of Tamil Nadu has created a document in register of the Unicode Consortium (UC) [22] (Table 1) for creating standard symbols for digital records.

## 4. Fractions

The knowledge of fraction is generally traced to the *Vēdic* period [21]; but there are chances for their use in the Harappan culture, since the Harappans were involved in extensive commercial activities. Fractions were frequently used in the medieval inscriptions of Tamil Nadu. The word *arai* in Tamil refers to half and interestingly, *arai* means waist part of the humans. The term *kāl* refers to leg in Tamil and perhaps, the term derived from the length of one portion of human leg. *Mukkāl* refers to  $\frac{3}{4}$  and it written as three quarters. A few of the smaller fractions such as *araikkāl* (1/8), *araiyéaraikkāl* (1/16) are referred to as *mākāṇi* or *visam*. *Mā* refers to 1/20, *kāṇi* refers to 1/80. *Muṇṭiri* refers to the fraction of 1/320. However, Subrahmanian [20] lists

**Table 1.** Numerals, fractions and their symbols

Figures in Words	Numerals	Multiplication of	Symbol 1	Symbol 2 TVA2014
<i>Āyiram</i>	1000		௪	
<i>Nīru</i>	100		௩	
<i>Pattu</i>	10		௨	
<i>Onpatu</i>	9		௯	
<i>Eṭṭu</i>	8		௮	
<i>ézhu</i>	7		௭	
<i>Āru</i>	6		௬	
<i>aintu</i>	5		௫	
<i>Nāigu</i>	4		௪	
<i>Mūnru</i>	3		௩	
<i>Iraṇdu</i>	2		௨	
<i>Onru</i>	1		௧	
<i>Mukkāl</i>	$\frac{3}{4}$		௪	௪
<i>Arai</i>	0		௦	0
<i>Kāl</i>	$\frac{1}{4}$		௨	௨
<i>Nālumā</i>	1/5	$4 \times 1/20$	௨	௬
<i>Araikkāl</i>	1/8	$\frac{1}{4} \times 0$	௪	௪
<i>Irumā</i>	1/10	$2 \times 1/20$	௨	௨
<i>Mākani, vīsam</i>	1/16	$(1/20 + 1/80 = 5/80 = 1/16)$	௨	௨
<i>Mummākāṇi</i>	3/16	$= 3/16$	௩	௩
<i>Mummā</i>	3/20	$3 \times 1/20$	௩	௩

<i>Mā</i>	1/20		ப	ப	
<i>Araivāsam</i>	1/32			சு	
<i>Araimā</i>	1/40		சு	சு	
<i>Kālvīsam</i>	1/64			ஓ	
<i>Kāṇi</i>	1/80	$1/20 \times \frac{1}{4}$	ல	ல	
<i>Mukkālvīsam</i>	3/64				
<i>Mukkāṇi</i>	3/80		சு		
<i>Araikāṇi</i>	1/160	$1/320 \times \bar{o}$	ல	ல	
<i>Muṇṭiri</i>	1/320			வ	
<i>Araikāṇimuṇṭiri</i>	3/320	$1/320 \times 1/160$			
<i>Kīzh arai</i>	1/640	$1/320 \times 1/2$	க	ச	(Bharathiyar n.d 201-204)
<i>Kīzh mukkāḷ</i>	3/1280	$1/320 (muṇṭiri) \times \frac{3}{4} (mukkāḷ)$	க	ச	(Bharathiyar n.d 201-204)
<i>Kīzh kāḷ</i>	1/1280	$1/320 (muṇṭiri) \times \frac{1}{4} (kāḷ)$	க	வ	(Bharathiyar n.d 201-204)
<i>Kīzh nālumā</i>	1/1600	$1/320 \times 4/2$	க	ல	(Bharathiyar n.d 201-204)
<i>Kīzh araikkāḷ</i>	1/2560	$1/320 (muṇṭiri) \times \frac{1}{8} (araikkāḷ)$	க	வ	(Bharathiyar n.d 201-204)
<i>Kīzh irumā</i>	1/3200	$1/320 (muṇṭiri) \times \frac{2}{20} (irumā)$	க	ச	(Bharathiyar n.d 201-204)
<i>Kīzh mummā</i>	3/6400	$1/320 (muṇṭiri) \times \frac{3}{20} (mummā)$	க	ல	(Bharathiyar n.d 201-204)
<i>Kīzh vīsam</i>	1/5120	$1/320 (muṇṭiri) \times \frac{1}{16} (vīsam)$	க	ப	(Bharathiyar n.d 201-204)
<i>Kīzh orumā</i>	1/6400	$1/320 (muṇṭiri) \times \frac{1}{20} (mukkāṇi)$	க	ப	(Bharathiyar n.d 201-204)
<i>Kīzh araimā</i>	1/12800	$1/320 (muṇṭiri) \times \frac{1}{40} (1/2 mā)$	க	சு	(Bharathiyar n.d 201-204)
<i>Kīzh mukkāṇi</i>	3/25600	$1/320 (muṇṭiri) \times \frac{3}{80} (mukkāṇi)$	க	சு	(Bharathiyar n.d 201-204)
<i>Kīzh kāṇi</i>	1/25600	$1/320 (muṇṭiri) \times \frac{1}{80} (kāṇi)$	க	ல	(Bharathiyar n.d 201-204)
<i>Kīzh araikkāṇi</i>	1/51200	$1/320 (muṇṭiri) \times \frac{1}{160} (araikkāṇi)$	க	ல	(Bharathiyar n.d 201-204)
<i>Kīzh muṇṭiri</i>	1/102400	$1/320 (muṇṭiri) \times \frac{1}{320} (muṇṭiri)$	க	வ	(Bharathiyar n.d 201-204)
<i>Immi -1 (Immimuṇṭiri)</i>	1/1075200	$1/102400 (kīzh muṇṭiri) \times \frac{1}{10.5}$			(Bharathiyar n.d 201-204)

<i>Immi</i> -2	1/2150400	1/102400 ( <i>kīzh muītiri</i> ) x 1/21	Half of <i>immi</i> 1
<i>Nunmuītiri</i>	1/3225600	<i>Immi</i> 1 x 1/3	
<i>Aticāram</i>	1/1838400	1/320 x 1/5745	(Bharathiyar n.d 201- 204)
<i>Mummi</i>	1/23654400	1/102400 x 1/231	11 times smaller than <i>immi</i> 2
<i>Cinnam</i>	1/32256000	<i>Immi</i> 1 x 1/10	
<i>Kīzh kīzh muītiri</i>	1/32768000	1/320x1/320x1/320	
<i>Aṇu</i>	1/165580800	1/102400 x 1/1617	Seven times smaller than <i>mummi</i>
<i>Guṇam</i>	1/1490227200	1/102400 x 1/14553	9 times smaller than <i>aṇu</i>
<i>Pandam</i>	1/7451136000	1/102400 x 1/72765	Five times smaller than <i>Guṇam</i>
<i>Pāgam</i>	1/44706816000	1/102400 x 1/436590	6 times smaller than <i>Pandam</i>
<i>Kīzh kīzh kīzh muītiri</i>	1/10485760000	1/320x1/320x1/320x1/320	
<i>Vintam</i>	1/312947712000	1/102400 x 1/3056130	7 times smaller than <i>Pāgam</i>
<i>Nāgavintam</i>	1/5320111104000	1/102400 x 1/51954210	17 times smaller than <i>viṇḍham</i>
<i>Sintai</i>	1/74481555456000	1/102400 x 1/727358940	14 times <i>nāgaviṇḍham</i>
<i>Katirmunai</i>	1/489631109120000	1/102400 x 1/14547178800	Muthukumar 2014
<i>Kuralvalaippidi</i>	1/9585244364800000		Muthukumar 2014
<i>Veḷḷam</i>	1/575114661888000000		Muthukumar 2014
<i>Nuṇmaṇal</i>	1/57511466188800000000		Muthukumar 2014
<i>Térttugal</i>	1/2323824530227200000000		Muthukumar 2014

the value of a *kāṇi* as 1/64 and *muītiri* as 1/256, and perhaps these fractions were based on a different calculation system, and probably a *mā* had a value of 1/16 in this system. It might have been an early system or it was not widely used in Tamil Nadu and disappeared in the early medieval period. A similar term, *kani* used in Karnataka has a value of 1/64, according to Jagadish and Hegde [5]. In Andhra Pradesh too the term denotes the fraction of 1/64.

Other minute fractions below *muītiri* (1/320) were mentioned as *kīzh*, which means below *muītiri*. *Kīzharai* means *muītiri* multiplied by *arai* (1/320 x ̄ = 1/640), and *kīzh muītiri* means 1/320 x 1/320 = 1/102400. Some of these fractions were represented by different symbols (Table 1). Fractions such as *immi muītiri* and *nuṇmuītiri* are found in *Kaṇakkatikāram* [8]. The Tanjāvūr Brihadhīswara temple inscriptions have references to minute fractions and the term *kīzh* has been referred to for such fractions below 1/320. A unit of the last series *kīzh kīzh kīzh muītiri* x 1/2 is used in the Tanjāvūr temple inscription refers to the fraction of 1/5242,8800000 of a *vēli*, according to Venkayya [23]. Another inscription mentions about the fraction of

1/320x1/320x1/320x1/320x3/4x1/20 [7]. Subbarayalu [17, 18] has argued that such small fractions mentioned in the inscriptions for land areas resulted due to the reduction (*madakku*) of the area based on several parameters.

There are a lot of variations in the use of terms to denote the minute fractions and more detailed work is necessary on the original manuscripts, i.e. the primary sources. Many of the publications on numbers and fractions, available on the Internet, which were obviously collected from the early publications, list different figures for the Tamil words referring to fractions [2, 12, 15]. In the work *Iniya Tamizh Ilakkanam* by Cuddhananta Bharathiyar, *kīzh araikkāni* is listed as 1/512000, perhaps this figure appears due to typographical error; but, the correct figure is 51200, since it is 1/320 x 1/160 [3].

## 5. Large Numbers

Very large numbers were known to Indians. The ancient Indian texts have references to numbers as large as 10<sup>145</sup> [4]. The large number of *āmbal* is mentioned in the



## 6.2 Kuzhi

*Kuzhi* is the Tamil term for pit and it has been used in the sense of area. An area covered by one *kōl* by one was called a *kuzhi*. It was commonly used as the basic measurement unit for area. One hundred *kuzhi* units formed one *mā* unit. 100 or 128 or even higher number of *kuzhi* units (128 and 256) formed one *mā*, in some instances, and twenty *mā* units formed one *Vēli*, the major area unit of measurement for land. This unit of measurement is still used in many parts of Tamil Nadu; more particularly in the Kāveri delta region. There is another term *peruikuzhi* found in the inscription perhaps refers to larger unit of *kuzhi* area.

## 6.3 Madakku (Reduction)

*Madakku* is a method of reduction of the area from one unit to another unit [7, 17–19]. Most probably, when an area measured by a smaller scale unit was converted on the basis of a larger scale, the process was called *madakku*. It was a kind of reduction process, in which a large area can be reduced to a small area.

## 6.4 Virivu (Expansion)

*Virivu* refers to expansion of a smaller area into a larger area on the basis of smaller measurement rod.

# 7. Arithmetic Calculation in Inscriptions

The inscriptions mention about the lands that had to be taxed and the area that had to be exempted from tax, such as temple lands, settlement areas cremation ground. Here two samples of arithmetic calculations from the inscriptions are analysed.

## 7.1 Nallūr Inscription

Nallār in Pāpanāsam taluk of Tanjavur district has a Siva shrine called Kalyāna sundarésvarar temple. An inscription, issued during the 3rd regnal year of Rājarāja III (1218 CE) found in this temple [10] (Marxiya Gandhi and Ramachandran 2004:138, TNAD No 32/1995) mentions about the area of the land that was exchanged for another piece of land meant for the passage for carrying the dead body, which created a dispute in the village.

The area is mentioned as 2 *kōl*, in north-south, by  $15 \frac{3}{4} + 3/20$  (*patinañjé mukkālē mūnru mā*) on the east-west, and the total area was  $31 \frac{3}{4} + 1/20$  *peruikuzhi* or square *kuzhi*, in the inscription.

When we multiply the above numbers, we get

$$(15 \times 2) = 30 + (2 \times \frac{3}{4}) = 1.5 + (2 \times 3/20) = 6/20 = 31 \frac{3}{4} + 1/20$$

$$\text{Or } 2 \times (63/4 + 3/20)$$

$$2 \times 315 + 3/20$$

$$2 \times 318/20 = 636/20 = 31 + 16/20 = 31 + 3/4 + 1/20$$

The term *perunkuzhi* mentioned here, perhaps meant a larger measurement rod which was employed for measurement.

For the above mentioned piece of land, another land was given in exchange and its size was

$$8 \frac{1}{4} \text{ kol by } 3 \frac{3}{4} \frac{2}{20} \text{ kol} = 33/4 \times (15/4 + 2/20) = 75 + 2/20 = 77/20$$

$$33/4 \times 77/20 = 2541/80 = 31 + 61/80 = 31 + 3/4 + 1/80$$

Actually the land given exchange for the original piece of land was slightly less and it is only 31 *mukkālē kāñi*; however, it is mentioned as  $31 \frac{3}{4} + 1 \text{ mā}$  (1/20). Perhaps, they intentionally ignored the small variation in the land.

## 7.2 Another Inscription from Nallūr

Another inscription from the same temple issued in the 30<sup>th</sup> year of Rājarāja III talks about the same issue and mentions about another land area (ARE 31/1995).

Here a piece of land is mentioned as 2 *kōl* by 28 *kōl* = 56 *kuzhi*.

$$28 \times 2 = 56$$

Here another tem *uriya kuzhi* is mentioned.

The area of 87.5 *kuzhi* is mentioned as *uriya kuzhi* for 56 *kuzhi*, which means an equivalent unit in another scheme of measurement. We can deduce the approximate variation in the expansion of the measurement rod here. The unit of 2 *kōl* in one scheme becomes 2.5 *kōl* in the new scheme and therefore 28 *kōl* units become 35 *kōl* units; therefore, 25 percent increase per unit.

$$2.5 \text{ kōl by } 35 \text{ kōl} = 87.5 \text{ kuzhi.}$$

If the original measurement rod measured 16 spans, the new one would be 20 spans or if the original specimen was 8 spans or feet in length, the new rod would be 10 spans or feet. This case proves that the land measurement was done different scales and for the conversion of the land from one measurement system to another, they just used arithmetic calculation.

## 7.3 Madakku: Reduction of Area

In the medieval period, several measurement rods were used and sometimes the areas were reduced for taxing

purpose using simple calculations and they are mentioned as *tarmiṭṭu madakki* [17–19].

An inscription from Vaidyanāta Temple at Tirumazhavādi [16] issued in the 26<sup>th</sup> year of Rājadhiraṅga mentions about the land calculation and its conversion. The two measurement units are compared here; however, it may not be accurate, and could be only assumption, since the definition of *vēli*, *mā* and the size of the measurement rods varied greatly. Hence if we do not know all the parameters, proper comparison is not possible. However, an attempt is made here assuming the *vēli* units in the two schemes discussed here are same.

#### A. The original size of the land is mentioned as:

*iraṇḍēi mukkālē orumā varaikkāṇi muṭṭirikaik kīzh mukkāl*

$2 \frac{3}{4}$ , 1 *mā*, 0 *kāṇi*, *muṭṭirikaik kīzh mukkāl*

$2 \frac{3}{4}$  *vēli* + 1 *mā* =

$2 \frac{3}{4} \times 20 = 55 + 1 = 56$  *mā* +

0 *kāṇi* (1/160) *muṭṭirikaik kīzh mukkāl*  
( $1/320 + \frac{3}{4} \times 1/320$ )

$1/160 + 1/320 + 3/1280$

$56$  *mā* +  $1/160 + 1/320 + 3/1280$

$56/20 + 1/160 + 1/320 + 3/1280$

$3584 + 8 + 4 + 3/1280 = 3599/1280 =$

$2 \frac{3}{4} = 3520 + 79/1280$

#### B. After Reduction

The land that originally had  $3599/1280$  was reduced (*tarmiṭṭu madakki*)

After reduction, it became,

*Onpatumā araikkāṇi muṭṭirikaik kīzh mukkālē mummāvarai araikkāṇi*

$9$  *mā* +  $1/160 + 1/320 + 1/320(3/4 + 3.5/20 + 1/160)$

$1/320 + 1/320(3/4 + 3.5/20 + 1/160) =$

$1/320 + 1/320 \times (3/4 \times 3/20 + 1/40 + 1/160)$

$1/320 + 1/320 \times (120 + 24 + 4 + 1/160) = 149/160$

$1/320 + 1/320 \times 149/160$

$= 1/320 + 149/51200$

$= 160 + 149/51200 = 309/51200$

$9$  *mā* +  $1/160 + 309/51200$

$9/20 + 1/160 + 309/51200$

$23040 + 320 + 309/51200 = 23669/51200$

#### C. Comparing A and B

For comparison, A can be converted into

$= 3599/1280 \times 40/40 = 143960/51200$

A)  $143960/51200$  and B)  $23669/51200$

Now it is clear that after reduction, A becomes 6.0822 times smaller, assuming the size of the *vēli* is same;

however, they must have been different, since the ratio of 6.0822 does not seem to conform to the traditional fraction units, and hence, more research is necessary.

#### D. Calculation of Half of an area

Another calculation in the above mentioned inscription is about the half of the reduced area (see, B After Reduction), which is called “*sempāti*.”

The original area is:

*Onpatumā araikkāṇi muṭṭirikaik kīzh mukkālē mummāvarai araikkāṇi*

$9$  *mā* +  $1/160 + 1/320(3/4 + 3.5/20 + 1/160)$

According to the inscription, half of the above is

$4.5$  *mā* + *muṭṭirikaik kīzh mukkālē nāṅumākkāṇi muṭṭirikai*

In the above case, the reduction by half of  $9$  *mā* and *araikkāṇi* is clear, and the result is  $4.5$  *mā* +  $1/320$ .

Now let us check, if they have reduced *muṭṭirikaik kīzh mukkālē mummāvarai araikkāṇi* properly into two halves.

*muṭṭirikaik kīzh mukkālē mummāvarai araikkāṇi =*

$1/320 + 1/320 \times (3/4 \times 3/20 + 1/40 + 1/160)$

$1/320 + 1/320 \times (120 + 24 + 4 + 1/160) = 149/160$

$1/320 + 1/320 \times 149/160$

$1/320 + 149/51200$

$160 + 149/51200$

$= 309/51200$

Half of  $309/51200$ , according to the inscription is

*= kīzh mukkālē nāṅumākkāṇi muṭṭirikai.*

$1/320 \times (3/4 + 4/20 + 1/80 + 1/320)$

$1/320 \times (240 + 64 + 4 + 1/320)$

$1/320 \times 309/320$

$= 309/102400$

The result of the above division is perfect, which proves the accuracy of the calculation of fractions during the medieval period, i.e. from the Chola times.

## 8. Discussion

Reference to large numbers is found in the Sangam texts. The Sangam Chola king Karikālan is said to have given 16,00,000 coins to Katiyalār urutirankaṇṇanār, the poet who composed the text *Pattinappālai*. The inscriptions provide the details of fractions and their calculation very minutely. The conception of large numbers are more notional, one can reach large numbers just by repeating a large number, for example, *kōti kōti kōti kōti*. However,



the story found in the work *Lalitavistāra* about Buddha's learning related to mathematics does prove that the large numbers were taught to students [13]. There are many theories on the origin of the place value system and one suggests that it came up in India [6, 13]; but, these questions are not easy to address, as borrowing of ideas and movement of people and knowledge were happening in the early historic period, although historians sometimes tend to underestimate such contacts and interaction. However, more critical study is required on these aspects; but it would not be a surprise if this idea had evolved in India, considering the variety of developments in the medieval period.

The medieval measurement units such as *mā* and *vēli* units were not uniform in size and their area varied, according to the size of the measurement rod. Hence, the area units based on one measurement system cannot be directly compared to another system, without going into the various parameters involved.

From about tenth century CE, we do notice very fine, minute arithmetic calculations in the inscriptions. The terms such as *madakku* and *virivu* reveal the various operations related to land assessment. The medieval records of land and taxes were properly maintained in order to collect and manage tax revenue systematically. When they converted the land areas, which were based on smaller scale (measurement rod) to a larger scale, minute fractions resulted. These fractions and numerals could be used to improve the cognitive skills of the students in contemporary education. Detailed research is needed for understanding the emergence of numbers and fractions in the Tamil region.

## 9. References

1. Bag, A.K. *Mathematics in Ancient and Medieval India*. Varanasi: 1979.
2. Bhagavathy, K. *Tamizhar Alavaikal*. Chennai: International Institute of Tamil Studies, 2003.
3. Bharathiyar, C. *Iniyia Thamizh Ilakkanam*. Chennai: Kavitha Publications. Available <http://tamilelibrary.org/teli/numeral.html> (accessed on 1 June 2016).
4. Danino. *Landmarks of Science in Early India*. 2009. Available [www.iisc.ernet.in/prasthu/pages/PP\\_data/105.pdf](http://www.iisc.ernet.in/prasthu/pages/PP_data/105.pdf) (accessed on 1 June 2016).
5. Jagadish, A. & Rajaram, H. *Text and practices: Land measure in South India, with special reference to Karnataka*. Seminar SAW: History of Mathematics, History of Economical and Financial Practices. Land registers and surveyors: Measuring lengths and areas. 2012.
6. Joseph, G.G. *The crest of the peacock*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2011.
7. Karashima, N. *Kīl in the Description of Land-extent in Chola Inscriptions: Effort to Build a Centralized State by Rajaraja I. AdhAram*, vol. 1, pp. 45-48, 2006.
8. Nayanar, K. *Kanakkatikaram*. Chennai: Thirunelveli Saiva Sidhanta book Publication Society, 1958.
9. Mahadevan, I. *Early Tamil Epigraphy. The Earliest Times To The Sixth Century A.D.* U S A and Chennai: Cre-A, Harvard Oriental Series, Harvard University, 2003.
10. Gandhi, M.S. & Ramachandran, M. *Papanasam Vattakkalvettukal*. Vol. 1. Chennai: Tamil Nadu State Archaeology Department, 2004.
11. Mini, P.M. *Contribution of Jainas to mathematics with special reference to Ganitasarasangraha of Mahavira* [PhD. Dissertation], MG University, Kottayam: UC College, Aluva, Kerala, 2014.
12. Muthukumar. *Ancient Tamil Units*. 2014. Available: <http://tmuthukumar.blogspot.in/2014/06/ancient-tamil-units.html>
13. O'Connor, J.J. & Robertson, E.F. *Indian numerals*. 2000. Available: [http://www-history.mcs.st-andrews.ac.uk/HistTopics/Indian\\_numerals.html](http://www-history.mcs.st-andrews.ac.uk/HistTopics/Indian_numerals.html)
14. Shanmugam, P. *The Revenue System of the Cholas 850-1279*. Madras: New Era Publications, 1987.
15. Shanmugam, K.S. *Pazhanthamizhar Poriyiyal Nutpattiran*. Chennai: Meenagopal Pathippakam, 2006.
16. *SII= South Indian Inscriptions*, New Delhi: Archaeological Survey of India.
17. Subbarayalu, Y. Land Measurement in Medieval Tamil Nadu. In Rajagopal (Ed.). *Kaveri: Studies in Epigraphy, Archaeology and History*. Chennai: Panpattu Veliyittakam, 2001a. pp. 31-40.
18. Subbarayalu, Y. Classification of Land and Assessment of Land tax. In Rajagopal (Ed.). *Kaveri: Studies in Epigraphy, Archaeology and History*. Chennai: Panpattu Veliyittakam, 2001b. pp. 53-59.
19. Subbarayalu, Y. Quantifying Land Revenue Assessment of the Chola State. In Rajagopal (Ed.). *Kaveri: Studies in Epigraphy, Archaeology and History*. Chennai: Panpattu Veliyittakam, 2001c. pp. 65-81.
20. Subrahmanian, N. *Pre-Pallavan Tamil Index*. Madras: Madras University. 1966.
21. Sykorova, I. Fractions in Ancient Indian Mathematics. *WDS'10 Proceedings of Contributed Papers, Part I*, 2010. pp. 133-138.
22. TVA 2014. *Tamil Fractions and Symbols – Amendment Proposal*. Government of Tamilnadu, India.
23. Venkayya, V. *South Indian Inscriptions* vol II, part IV. Madras: Archaeological Survey of India, 1913.