1



Identification, phylogenetic characterization and preliminary screening of primary and secondary metabolites producing bacteria associated with marine sponge Axinella donani

C.E.Rani Juneius^{*1} and J.Selvin²

¹Department of Biotechnology, Hindustan College of Arts and Science, Padur, Chennai -603103, India ² Department of Bioinformatics, Bharathidasan University, Trichy, India Elizabeth.juneius7@gmail.com*1,selvinj@rediffmail.com²

Abstract

Sponges have pharmaceutical and medical relevance being known as a source of bioactive secondary products influencing antimicrobial, antiviral and cytotoxic activities. Study was undertaken on Axenella donani available of the coast of Kanyakumari. At Keelamanakudi village, adopting lobster catching technique fisherman netted these sponges yielding nearly 57 morphologically different bacteria. All the 57 isolates when screened showed proteolytic activity (7%), starch hydrolytic activity (12.2%), cellulolytic activity (3.5%). Broad spectrum antimicrobial activity was identified by using 16S rRNA sequencing. SEM examination helped to identify the sponges based on the diameter of the spicules (50 μ m), exhibiting a tapered end present in them. Among the 57 isolates studied, two strains identified by 16S rRNA sequencing as Rhodobacter sphaeroides MSB 57 and Rhodopseudomonas palustris MSB 55 showed all the three bacteriolytic activities.

Keywords: SEM, 16SrRNA, cellulolytic, amylolytic, proteolytic, antibacterial, antifungal

Introduction

Sponges have pharmaceutical and medical relevance being known as a source of bioactive secondary products influencing antimicrobial, antiviral and cytotoxic activities. They diet on microorganisms transferring them to the mesohyl, through the choanocyte chambers filtering the sea water and rendering it sterile. Later investigation proved that they are similar in structure to metabolites of sponge associated bacterial activity, suggesting that these metabolites are of microbial origin (Unson et al., 1993). This discovery coupled with ease of cultivating bacteria shifted the focus of research to the cultivation of bacteria

from sponges for their bioactive compounds. It is suggested that these metabolites bv microbial symbionts serve as a chemical defence against predators (Unson et al., 1993).

Materials and methods

Sampling and isolation of bacteria

The study material, Axenella donani was *collected* with help of fisherman, off the coast of Kanyakumari. Adopting lobster catching technique fisherman netted these sponges at Keelamanakudi village,. It was transferred to the lab in ice. Collections of sponges were put into sterile plastic bags. The sponges were then

rinsed with sterile seawater and homogenized with blender. The homogenized tissues were serially diluted upto 10-7, spread plate method was carried out on ½ strength ZoBell 2216E marine agar medium and incubated at room temperature for 48 hours. On the basis of morphological features, colonies were pure cultured (Madigan *et al.*, 2000).

Morphological examination of sponge by scanning electron microscope

Scanning electron microscope facility from IIT Chennai was used for the morphological study of sponge. A portion of sponge in ethanol was placed onto a specimen holder and optionally coated with gold in a Cressington 108 auto Sputter Coater. The specimens were examined and photographed in a Philips XL30 ESEM scanning electron microscope, high vacuum mode, using BSE detector with accelerating voltage 15 kV.

Screening of primary metabolite production

All the 57 isolates were screened for proteolytic activity, starch hydrolytic activity and cellulolytic activity. Casein milk agar, starch agar and carboxymethyl cellulose agar plates were used and inoculated by a single streak of innoculum in the center of the Petri dish. After 2 days of incubation at 37°C the plates were analyzed for proteolysis, starch hydrolytic activity and cellulolytic properties.

Screening of bioactive compound by cross streak method (lertcanwanichakul & sawangnop., 2008)

Muller Hinton agar plates were prepared and inoculated with various morphologically distinct culture isolated by a single streak of inoculums in the center of the Petri dish. After 2 days of incubation at 37 °C the plates were inoculated with human pathogens as well as shrimp pathogens such as *Stahylococcus aureus, E.coli and Vibrio paraheamolyticus* by a single streak at a 90° angle to the test isolates. The microbial interactions were analyzed by the observation of the size of the inhibition zone. 16S rRNA sequencing for the identification of unknown bacteria

Genomic DNA was isolated and PCR was performed using the following primer; Forward primer:5'-AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG-3' and Reverse primer:5'-AAGGAGGTGWTCCARCC-3'. PCR was performed based on the following programme - Initial Denaturation : 94°C for 3 minutes, Denaturation: 94°C for 1 minute, Annealing: 60°C, for 1minute 30 cycles, Extension: 72°C for 1minute 30sec and Final extension: 72°C for 5 minutes

Polymerized DNA of unknown bacteria was sequenced by gene sequencer. The DNA sequences were then compared for homology with the BLAST database. CLUSTALW, ORF FINDER, BPROM, GENSCAN and FGENESH were also used for the sequence analysis.

Result and discussion

Sponges being continuously exposed to a number of potentially toxic compounds, pollution, and invaders, develop chemical defense systems to protect themselves. Possible contributes to the chemical defences arise from multiple antimicrobial activities against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria and fungi present in seawater (Donia & Hamann, 2003). The digestive strategy of sponges is due to some microbial enzymes involved in nutrition transport in them. This suggestion is supported by the protease, amylase and cellulase activities found in sponge-associated bacteria in this study. For these enzymes hvdrolvze instance, can complex compounds such as proteins, lipids, and carbohydrates of algae or microorganisms swallowed or filtrated by sponges into nutritional low-molecular compounds (Anand Prem et al., 2006). Haritha et al. (2010) stated that twenty one of the 98 Actinomycetes isolates showed amylolytic activity and seventeen exhibited proteolytic activity while eleven isolates exhibited both amylolytic and proteolytic activities is allied to our findings viz., of the 57 bacterial isolates, 7% were

proteolytic, 12.2% were amylolytic and 3.5% were cellulolytic.

Sponges are a prolific source of biological diverse compounds with bioactivities. However, structural similarities between the metabolites of the sponge and its associated bacteria indicates that these compounds are of bacterial origin. 16S rRNA gene sequence analyses have been widely used to identify and characterize both culturable and unculturable populations of marine bacteria (Althoff et al., 1998, Uzair et al., 2008). Three of the seven representative bacterial isolates tested inhibited the growth of Bacillus subtilis, a microbe commonly used in bioactivity screening(Luis et al 2006). In this present study also the unknown bacteria were identified using 16SrRNA sequencing. In addition to that, other bioinformatics tools such as ORF FINDER, BPROM, GENSCAN and FGENESH were also used for the sequence analysis helping to assess the gene level difference between the two isolates.

The possibility of the presence of toxic bacteria was supported by the finding that organic extracts prepared from sponge samples displayed toxicity, when analyzed in vitro using leukemia cells. The present study also revealed that the antagonistic bacteria MSB 57 isolated from the sponge *Axinella*

donani is *Rhodobacter* sp, results similar to study bv Chandrasekaran et al.,(2010) who stated that among the purple non-sulfur bacterial isolates. Rhodobacter sphaeroides, showed maximum antagonistic activity. The findings suggest that the antagonistic Rhodobacter extracts from sphaeroides could be used as an effective antibiotic in controlling Vibrio spp., in aquaculture systems.

Anand *et al.* (2005) reported that Seventy-five marine bacterial strains associated with four species of sponges (Echinodictyum sp., Spongia sp., Sigmadocia fibulatus and Mycale mannarensis) were isolated off the Tuticorin coast and Gulf of Mannar region. The agar overlay method was used to screen for antibiotic production by these strains against four bacteria, viz., Bacillus Escherichia Vibrio subtilis, coli, parahaemolyticus, and Vibrio harveyi and one fungal pathogen, viz., Candida albicans. The selected isolates of Axinella donani also showed antagonistic activity against Escherichia coli and Vibrio parahaemolyticus (Isnansetyo & Kamei, 2006).

Lertcanawanichakul & Sawangnop (2008), adopted cross streak method to screen bioactive compound producing bacteria from the sponge. The present study adopted the same methodology to find out antagonistic bacteria associated with Axinella donani. Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli and Vibrio parahaemolyticus were used as a test strains. Among the 57 isolates MSB 55 and MSB 57 showed broad spectrum activity. The unknown bacteria were identified bv Phynotypic and Genotypic method (16srRNA sequencing), which revealed the broad spectrum activitv were Rhodobacter sphaeroides MSB 57 and Rhodopseudomonas palustris MSB 55.

Fig. 1. SEM analysis of sponge



Rani Juneius et al.

Identification of sponge by scanning electron microscope (SEM)

SEM examination helped to identify the sponge based on the shape of the spicules present inside the sponge. The diameter of the spicules was 50 μ m. They were tapered at one end. Fig. 1 & 2. shows the SEM analysis of sponge.

Fig.2.SEM analysis of sponge



Primary metabolite production

The sponge *Axinella donani* was used in this study and 57 morphologically different bacteria were isolated from the sponge. All the 57 isolates were screened for their primary metabolite production ability in order to know

Fig.3. Percentage distribution of primary metabolite production by the sponge associated bacteria.



whether these sponges associated bacteria had any industrial value. The result revealed that some of these members are proteolytic, some are starch hydrolytic and some are cellulolytic. Though the main aim of this study is about the therapeutic values of sponge associated bacterial secondary metabolites, the primary metabolite production was studied because

some literature says the relationship between the proteolytic activity and the ability to produce therapeutic compounds like Diketopyperazins. Fig.3 shows percentage distribution of primary metabolite production by the sponge associated bacteria.

Screening of bioactive compounds by cross streak method

Bioactive compound producing bacteria were screened by cross streak method done by using three pathogens. Among the 57 isolates studied, two strains showed wide activity against all the three pathogens, which showed their broad spectrum activity; while around 75.4% of the strains were showing no inhibitory activity against

any of the three pathogens. Antagonistic activity was noticed only with 24.5% of the isolates. Fig. 4. predicts the percentage distribution of antagonistic activity by cross streak method. Fig.5 is representing the sequencing of 16SrRNA.

Fig.4.Percentage distribution of antagonistic activity by cross streak method



Vol.1 No.1 (Apr 2012) 5

Fig.5.16SrRNA sequencing



Lane 1: PCR product of Sample 1 Lane 2: PCR product of Sample 2 Lane 3: HELINI 250bb DNA Ladder [100bp, 250bp, 500bp, 750bp and 1000bp]

Lane 4: Purified PCR product of Sample 1 Lane 5: Purified PCR product of Sample 2

FASTA sequence

Sample-1

Screening of primary and secondary metabolites from sponge associated bacteria revealed that the sponge Axinella donani acts as a reservoir of intracellular halophylic bacteria with multi potentials to produce both primary metabolites such as α -amylase and protease enzymes and secondary metabolites like antibacterial and antifungal agents. 16S rRNA sequencing served as a better tool for the identification of the unknown bacteria which was used to identify the bacteria as sphaeroides MSB Rhodobacter 57 and Rhodopseudomonas palustris MSB 55. In addition to that, other bioinformatics tools such as ORF FINDER, BPROM, GENSCAN and FGENESH were used for the sequence analysis, helping to assess the gene level difference between the two isolates (Fig.6,7,8,9,10,11 & Table 1).

Sample-2

ATGGGGCTCCTTGTTACGACTTCACCCCAGTCATGAATCCCACCTTGGGAAGCGGGCTCCTTACGGTTAGGCTACCT ACTTCTGGTGAAACCCACTCCCATGGTGTGACGGGCGGTGTGTACAAGACCCGGGAACGTATTCACCGCGACATTC TGATCCGCGATTACTAGCGATTCCGACTTCACGCAGGCGAGTTGCAGACTGCGATCCGGACTACGATCGGGTTTCTG AGATTGGCTCCCCCTCGCGGGTTGGCGACCCTCTGTCCCGACCATTGTATGACGTGTGAAGCCCTACCCATAAGGG CCATGAGGACTTGACGTCATCCCCACCTTCCTCCGGTTTGTCACCGGCAGTCTCATTAAAGTGCTCTTGCGTAGCAA CTAATGACAAGGGTTGGGCTCGTTGCGGGACTTAACCCAACATCTCACGACACGAGCTGACGACAGCCATGCAGCA CGCCTTGCATCGAATTAATCCACATCATCCACCGCTTGTGCGGGTCCCCGTCAATTCCTTTGAGTTTTAATCTTGCGA CCGTACTCCCCAGGCGGTCAACTTCACTCTTTAGCTGCGCTACTAAGGCCTAACGGCACCAACAGCTAGTTGACATC GTCTAGGGCGTGGACTACCAGAGTATCTAATCATGTTTGCTCCTCACGCTTTCGTGTCTGAGCGTCAGTATTATCACA GGAGACAGCCTTCTCCATCGGTATTGCTCTACATATCTACTCATTTCACTGCTACACGTGGAATTCTACCCCCCTCTG CTACACACGCTTTACTCCCAGTAATTAATATTGACACCTTGCACCCTACGTAATTACCGCGGCTGCTGGCACGTAGTAA GTCGGTGCTTATCTGCAGATACCGTCAGCATTATCCCGCATTAAGGGAAGACATTTACATTCTCTGCCACAAGAACTT TACAATCCCGAAATGCCTACATCATGCATGCCGGCATGCCTGATCATGGCTTACCCCCTATTGCCCAAAAATACCCCCCT GCCTGC



Fig.6. Phylogenetic analysis of msb 55: showed 97% similarity with Rhodopseudomonas palustris



Fig. 7. Phylogenetic analysis of MSB 57: showed 94% similarity with Rhodobacter sphaeroides

Sample 55



Length of sequence- 1165 Threshold for promoters - 0.20 Number of predicted promoters - 3 Promoter Pos: 1094 LDF- 4.96 -10 box at pos. 1057 TTTACAAT Score 66 -35 box at pos. 1057 TTTACA Score 47 Promoter Pos: 227 LDF- 2.56 -10 box at pos. 212 GACTACGAT Score 39 -35 box at pos. 195 TTGCAG Score 49 Promoter Pos: 772 LDF- 2.54 -10 box at pos. 757 CAGTATTAT Score 62 -35 box at pos. 734 CTCACG Score 5 Oligonucleotides from known TF binding sites: For promoter at 1094: ompR: TTTACAT at position 1057 Score - 11 rpoE: ACTTTACA at position 1078 Score - 8 For promoter at 227: rpoH3: CTCCCCT at position 238 Score - 9 For promoter at 772: arcA: TCATGAT at position 722 Score - 6	>Sample 55		
Threshold for promoters - 0.20 Number of predicted promoters - 3 Promoter Pos: 1094 LDF- 4.96 -10 box at pos. 1079 CTTACAAT Score 66 -35 box at pos. 1057 TTACA Score 47 Promoter Pos: 227 LDF- 2.56 -10 box at pos. 212 GACTACGAT Score 39 -35 box at pos. 195 TTGCAG Score 49 Promoter Pos: 772 LDF- 2.54 -10 box at pos. 757 CAGTATTAT Score 62 -35 box at pos. 734 CTCACG Score 5 Oligonucleotides from known TF binding sites: For promoter at 1094: ompR: TTTACAT at position 1057 Score - 11 rpoE: ACTTTACA at position 1078 Score - 10 tyrR: TTTACAT at position 1080 Score - 8 For promoter at 227: rpoH3: CTCCCCT at position 238 Score - 9 For promoter at 772: arcA: TCATGTT at position 722 Score - 6	Length of sequence- 1165		
Number of predicted promoters - 3 Promoter Pos: 1094 LDF- 4.96 -10 box at pos. 1079 CTTTACAAT Score 66 -35 box at pos. 1057 TTTACA Score 47 Promoter Pos: 227 LDF- 2.56 -10 box at pos. 212 GACTACGAT Score 39 -35 box at pos. 195 TTGCAG Score 49 Promoter Pos: 772 LDF- 2.54 -10 box at pos. 757 CAGTATTAT Score 62 -35 box at pos. 734 CTCACG Score 5 Oligonucleotides from known TF binding sites: For promoter at 1094: ompR: TTTACATT at position 1057 Score - 11 rpoE: ACTTTACA at position 1078 Score - 8 For promoter at 227: rpoH3: CTCCCCCT at position 238 Score - 9 For promoter at 772: arcA: TCATGTT at position 722 Score - 6	Threshold for promoters - 0.20		
Promoter Pos: 1094 LDF- 4.96 -10 box at pos. 1079 CTTTACAAT Score 66 -35 box at pos. 1057 TTTACA Score 47 Promoter Pos: 227 LDF- 2.56 -10 box at pos. 212 GACTACGAT Score 39 -35 box at pos. 195 TTGCAG Score 49 Promoter Pos: 772 LDF- 2.54 -10 box at pos. 757 CAGTATTAT Score 62 -35 box at pos. 734 CTCACG Score 5 Oligonucleotides from known TF binding sites: For promoter at 1094: ompR: TTTACATT at position 1057 Score - 11 rpoE: ACTTTACA at position 1078 Score - 10 tyrR: TTTACAAT at position 1080 Score - 8 For promoter at 227: rpoH3: CTCCCCCT at position 238 Score - 9 For promoter at 772: arcA: TCATGTT at position 722 Score - 6	Number of predicted promoters - 3		
-10 box at pos. 1079 CTTTACAAT Score 66 -35 box at pos. 1057 TTTACA Score 47 Promoter Pos: 227 LDF- 2.56 -10 box at pos. 212 GACTACGAT Score 39 -35 box at pos. 195 TTGCAG Score 49 Promoter Pos: 772 LDF- 2.54 -10 box at pos. 757 CAGTATTAT Score 62 -35 box at pos. 734 CTCACG Score 5 Oligonucleotides from known TF binding sites: For promoter at 1094: ompR: TTTACAT at position 1057 Score - 11 rpoE: ACTTTACA at position 1078 Score - 10 tyrR: TTTACAAT at position 1080 Score - 8 For promoter at 227: rpoH3: CTCCCCCT at position 238 Score - 9 For promoter at 772: arcA: TCATGTT at position 722 Score - 6	Promoter Pos: 1094 LDF- 4.96		
-35 box at pos. 1057 TTTACA Score 47 Promoter Pos: 227 LDF- 2.56 -10 box at pos. 212 GACTACGAT Score 39 -35 box at pos. 195 TTGCAG Score 49 Promoter Pos: 772 LDF- 2.54 -10 box at pos. 757 CAGTATTAT Score 62 -35 box at pos. 734 CTCACG Score 5 Oligonucleotides from known TF binding sites: For promoter at 1094: ompR: TTTACAT at position 1057 Score - 11 rpoE: ACTTTACA at position 1078 Score - 10 tyrR: TTTACAT at position 1078 Score - 8 For promoter at 227: rpoH3: CTCCCCCT at position 238 Score - 9 For promoter at 772: arcA: TCATGTT at position 722 Score - 6	-10 box at pos. 1079 CTTTACAAT Score	66	
Promoter Pos: 227 LDF- 2.56 -10 box at pos. 212 GACTACGAT Score 39 -35 box at pos. 195 TTGCAG Score 49 Promoter Pos: 772 LDF- 2.54 -10 box at pos. 757 CAGTATTAT Score 62 -35 box at pos. 734 CTCACG Score 5 Oligonucleotides from known TF binding sites: For promoter at 1094: ompR: TTTACATT at position 1057 Score - 11 rpoE: ACTTTACA at position 1078 Score - 10 tyrR: TTTACATT at position 1078 Score - 8 For promoter at 227: rpoH3: CTCCCCCT at position 238 Score - 9 For promoter at 772: arcA: TCATGTT at position 722 Score - 6	-35 box at pos. 1057 TTTACA Score	47	
-10 box at pos. 212 GACTACGAT Score 39 -35 box at pos. 195 TTGCAG Score 49 Promoter Pos: 772 LDF- 2.54 -10 box at pos. 757 CAGTATTAT Score 62 -35 box at pos. 734 CTCACG Score 5 Oligonucleotides from known TF binding sites: For promoter at 1094: ompR: TTTACATT at position 1057 Score - 11 rpoE: ACTTTACA at position 1078 Score - 10 tyrR: TTTACAAT at position 1078 Score - 8 For promoter at 227: rpoH3: CTCCCCT at position 238 Score - 9 For promoter at 772: arcA: TCATGTT at position 722 Score - 6	Promoter Pos: 227 LDF- 2.56		
-35 box at pos. 195 TTGCAG Score 49 Promoter Pos: 772 LDF- 2.54 -10 box at pos. 757 CAGTATTAT Score 62 -35 box at pos. 734 CTCACG Score 5 Oligonucleotides from known TF binding sites: For promoter at 1094: ompR: TTTACATT at position 1057 Score - 11 rpoE: ACTTTACA at position 1078 Score - 10 tyrR: TTTACAAT at position 1078 Score - 8 For promoter at 227: rpoH3: CTCCCCCT at position 238 Score - 9 For promoter at 772: arcA: TCATGTT at position 722 Score - 6	-10 box at pos. 212 GACTACGAT Score	39	
Promoter Pos: 772 LDF- 2.54 -10 box at pos. 757 CAGTATTAT Score 62 -35 box at pos. 734 CTCACG Score 5 Oligonucleotides from known TF binding sites: For promoter at 1094: ompR: TTTACATT at position 1057 Score - 11 rpoE: ACTTTACA at position 1078 Score - 10 tyrR: TTTACAAT at position 1080 Score - 8 For promoter at 227: rpoH3: CTCCCCCT at position 238 Score - 9 For promoter at 772: arcA: TCATGTT at position 722 Score - 6	-35 box at pos. 195 TTGCAG Score	49	
-10 box at pos. 757 CAGTATTAT Score 62 -35 box at pos. 734 CTCACG Score 5 Oligonucleotides from known TF binding sites: For promoter at 1094: ompR: TTTACATT at position 1057 Score - 11 rpoE: ACTTTACA at position 1078 Score - 10 tyrR: TTTACAAT at position 1080 Score - 8 For promoter at 227: rpoH3: CTCCCCCT at position 238 Score - 9 For promoter at 772: arcA: TCATGTT at position 722 Score - 6	Promoter Pos: 772 LDF- 2.54		
-35 box at pos. 734 CTCACG Score 5 Oligonucleotides from known TF binding sites: For promoter at 1094: ompR: TTTACATT at position 1057 Score - 11 rpoE: ACTTTACA at position 1078 Score - 10 tyrR: TTTACAAT at position 1080 Score - 8 For promoter at 227: rpoH3: CTCCCCT at position 238 Score - 9 For promoter at 772: arcA: TCATGTT at position 722 Score - 6	-10 box at pos. 757 CAGTATTAT Score	62	
Oligonucleotides from known TF binding sites: For promoter at 1094: ompR: TTTACAT at position 1057 Score - 11 rpoE: ACTTTACA at position 1078 Score - 10 tyrR: TTTACAAT at position 1080 Score - 8 For promoter at 227: rpoH3: CTCCCCCT at position 238 Score - 9 For promoter at 772: arcA: TCATGTT at position 722 Score - 6	-35 box at pos. 734 CTCACG Score	5	
For promoter at 1094: ompR: TTTACATT at position rpoE: ACTTTACA at position tyrR: TTTACAAT at position tyrR: TTTACAAT at position For promoter at 227: rpoH3: CTCCCCCT at position For promoter at 772: arcA: TCATGTTT at position 722 Score - 6	Oligonucleotides from known TF binding sit	:es:	
ompR: TTTACATT at position rpoE: ACTTTACA at position tyrR: TTTACAA at position tyrR: TTTACAAT at position For promoter at 227: rpoH3: CTCCCCCT at position For promoter at 772: arcA: TCATGTTT at position 722 Score - 6	For promoter at 1094:		
rpoE: ACTTTACA at position 1078 Score - 10 tyrR: TTTACAAT at position 1080 Score - 8 For promoter at 227: rpoH3: CTCCCCCT at position 238 Score - 9 For promoter at 772: arcA: TCATGTT at position 722 Score - 6	ompR: TTTACATT at position 1057	Score -	11
tyrR: TTTACAAT at position 1080 Score - 8 For promoter at 227: rpoH3: CTCCCCCT at position 238 Score - 9 For promoter at 772: arcA: TCATGTT at position 722 Score - 6	rpoE: ACTTTACA at position 1078	Score -	10
For promoter at 227: rpoH3: CTCCCCCT at position 238 Score - 9 For promoter at 772: arcA: TCATGTTT at position 722 Score - 6	tyrR: TTTACAAT at position 1080	Score -	8
rpoH3: CTCCCCCT at position 238 Score - 9 For promoter at 772: arcA: TCATGTTT at position 722 Score - 6	For promoter at 227:		
For promoter at 772: arcA: TCATGTTT at position 722 Score - 6	rpoH3: CTCCCCCT at position 238	Score -	9
arch: TCATGTTT at position 722 Score - 6	For promoter at 772:		
	arcA: TCATGTTT at position 722	Score -	6
soxS: TTGCTCCT at position 728 Score - 9	soxS: TTGCTCCT at position 728	Score -	9

Interpretation: Three Promoter regions and transcription factor binding sites were found at the 1094,227,772 position.

Fig.10. GENSCAN result

Sequence Sample : 1146 bp : 51.62% C+G : Isochore 3 (5100 - 57.00 C+G%) Parameter matrix: HumanIso.smat

Sample 57			
View 1 GenEank 🗸 Redraw 100 🗸 SixFrames	Fram	e from to I	engtin,
	-2	1 74., 277	204
	-1	4 17 566	150
	+2	35 172	138
	-3	■ 134 312	129
	-3	1 126	126
	+2	■10131126	114
	+1	■10181:25	103
	-1	■ 708 815	103
	-3	632 789	103

Fig. 11.ORF FINDER

Fig. 12.BPROM

>Sample 57 Length of sequence- 1127	
Threshold for promoters - 0.20	
Number of predicted promoters - 3	
Promoter Pos: 541 LDF- 3.49	
-10 box at pos. 526 AGGTAAGGT Score	42
-35 box at pos. 506 TTTCCA Score	42
Promoter Pos: 229 LDF- 2 56	
-10 boy at pos 214 GACTACGAT Score	39
-35 box at pos 197 THECKE Score	лч
Promoton Doo: 002 TDF 1 90	17
10 have at any 002 LDF- 1.99	40
-IU DOX at pos. 667 AGIIAAAAA SCOre	4.3
-35 box at pos. 847 UIGALA Score	31
Oligonucleotides from known TF binding si	tes:
For promoter at 541: glpR TCGAATTA at position 548	Score - 9
For promoter at 229	00010
TOP Promotor do CECC. at position 240	Score - 9
For property at 002.	00016 - 0
TOI PIOMOLEI AL 002.	Carrie
rponz: AllCIACC at position 834	Score - 8

Interpretation: Three Promoter regions and transcription factor binding sites were found at the 541,229,882 position.

Table 1. Difference between MSB 55 & MSB 57				
S.NO	Analysis	MSB 55	MSB 57	
1.	ORF FINDER	FRAME 3 from reverse strand is the best ORF which potentially encodes a protein	FRAME 2 from reverse strand is selected as the best ORF which potentially encodes a protein	
2.	BPROM RESULT	Three Promoter regions and transcription factor binding sites were found at the 1094,227,772 position.	Three Promoter regions and transcription factor binding sites were found at the 541,229,882 position.	
3.	GENSCAN	51.62% C+G Two exonic region was found which is an initial exonic region at the positions 519,931	No peptides predicted	
4.	FGENESH	One gene was found at the position from 3 to 170. GAPCYDFTPVMNPTLGSGLLTVRLPTSGETH SHGVTGGVYKTRERIHRDILIRDY	One gene was found at the position from 35 to 172 MNPTVGSALLTVRLPTSGETHSHGVTGG VYKTRERIHRDILIRDY	

Reference

- 1. Althoff K, Schutt C, Steffen R, Batel R, Müller WEG (1998) Evidence for a symbiosis between bacteria of the genus Rhodobacter and the marine sponge Halichondria panicea: Harbor also for putatively toxic bacteria?. *Mar. Biol.* 130,529–536.
- 2. Anand Prem T, Bhat AW, Shouche YS, Roy U, Siddharth J and Sarma SP (2006) Antimicrobial activity of marine bacteria associated with sponges from the waters off the coast of South East India. *Microbiol. Res.* 161, 252-262.
- 3. Donia M and Hamann MT (2003) Marine natural products and their potential applications as anti infective agents. *The Lancet.* 3, 338-348.
- 4. Haritha R, Siva Kumar K, Jagan Mohan YSYV and Ramana T (2010) Amylolytic and proteolytic Actinobacteria isolated from marine sediments of Bay of Bengal. *Int. J. Microbiol.Res.* 1, 37-44.

- 5. Isnansetyo A and Kamei Y (2006) *Pseudoalteromonas phenolica sp.* Nov,a novel marine bacterium that produces phenolic anti-methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus substances. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 2003; 53,583-8.
- 6. Lertcanawanichakul M and Sawangnop SA (2008) Comparison of two methods used for measuring the antagonistic activity of *Bacillus* Species. *Walailak J. Sci & Tech.* 5,161-171.
- Madigan MT, Martinko JM, Parker J and Brock TD (2000) Biology of microorganisms. Prentice-Hall, Inc., New Jersey, USA, 8,111-114
- 8. Uzair B, Ahmed N, Ahmed V and Kousar F (2008) A new antibacterial compound produced by indigenous marine bacteria; fermentation, isolation and biological activity. *Nat. Pro. Res.*20, 1326-1331.
- 9. Unson MD and Faulkner DJ (1993) Cyanobacterial symbiont biosynthesis of chlorinated metabolites from *Dysidea herbacea* (Porifera). *Experientia*, 49,349–53.