EVALUATION OF COAL MINE SPOIL AS A MEDIUM FOR PLANT GROWTH IN A DRY TROPICAL ENVIRONMENT, INDIA

A.K. JHA

Department of Botany,
Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi (U.P.)

Introduction

During mining activites overburden strata displacement may contain materials that may be unfavourable or potentially unfavourable to plant growth (Chichester, 1983). Several workers have identified salinity, acidity, poor water-holding capacity, inadequate supplies of plant nutrients, high rates of litter accumulation, accelerated rate of erosion and spoil texture, as major problems in coalmine spoils that affect revegetation process (Down, 1975; Archibold, 1980; Wali and Freeman, 1973; Safaya and Wali, 1979; Reeder and Berg, 1977; Jha and Singh, 1990).

Soil fertility is a major factor which regulates plant growth. Several investigators have reported N and P as limiting growth factors in mine spoils. Day et al. (1979) observed in growth chamber experiments that even with adequate irrigation but without proper fertilization, plant growth in spoils and top soil materials was extremely poor. Lack of mineralizable organic N and lower mineralization rates affect the availability of N to plants in mine spoils (Reeder and Berg, 1977).

No data on suitability or unsuitability for plant growth for Indian coal mine spoils are available. Therefore, the objective of this study was to evaluate the mine spoils of different ages of Jhingurda Colliery, Northern Coalfields, Ltd., Singrauli, as a medium for plant growth.

Materials and Methods

The study sites are located in the vicinity of tropical mixed dry deciduous forest dominated by Boswellia serrata Roxb. ex Colebr., Lagerstroemia parviflora Roxb., Wrightia tomentosa R. and S. and Anogeissus latifolia Wall.; near Jhingurda (24° 10' 20" -20° 12'31" N, and 82° 42'-82° 44'30" E and 350-450 m altitude) in Madhya Pradesh, India. The climate is tropical monsoonal and the year can be divided into a cold winter (December-February), a hot summer (May-June), and a rainy season (July-September). Mean monthly minimum temperature within the annual cycle ranges from 6.4-28°C and mean monthly maximum from 20-42°C. The annual rainfall averages 934 mm, of which 795 mm occurs between late June-September. The soils are residual ultisols. The mine spoils are unconsolidated mixed overburden material with the degree of natural revegetation varying with age. Surface coal mining at Jhingurda Colliery was started in 1965.

An age series of coal mine spoils (5, 10, 12, 16 and 20 yr) and adjacent native forest

sites were selected at Jhingurda Colliery, NCL, Singrauli, for the present study.

In July 1986 spoil samples were collected from the upper 10 cm layer from mine spoils of different ages and native forest, and were transported to the laboratory. A total of 117 polyethylene pots; each having approximately 1 kg of overburden material except for 39 pots which had one-fifth of native forest soil and four-fifth of overburden material, were prepard for each age of mine spoil. 39 pots for each spoil age received NPK fertilizer equivalent to 120 kg/ha N as urea, 60 kg/ha P as P₂O₅ (single super phosphate) and 60kg/ha K as K₂O (muriate of potash).

Thus each of the treatments were as follows:

- mine spoil alone of five different ages,
- mine spoil of five different ages+NPK,
- mine spoil of five different ages+forest soil.

Each treatment was replicated thrice.

Five seeds were dibbled at 1.5 cm depth in each pot. Plants were thinned to one per pot on the 15th day after seeding. Length of shoot was measured at one month interval for one year.

Following plant species were tried:

Tree-legumes: Acacia catechu, Acacia nilotica, Albizia procera, Pongamia pinnata, Prosopis juliflora, Dichrostachys cinerea, Leucaena lencocephala.

Leguminous forbs: Desmanthus virgatus, Macroptelium abropurpureum, Clitorea ternatea, Stylosanthes hamata, Stylosanthes humilis.

Grass: Cenchrus ciliaris

Results

Length of shoots in various treatments in pot culture experiments after one year growth are given in Table 1.

Analysis of variance indicated significant effects of the age of mine spoil (5, 10, 12, 16 and 20 yr old) and treatments (mine spoil alone, mine spoil+NPK fertilizer, and mine spoil+forest top soil mixture) on shoot growth for all plant species (p < 0.001).

The treatment effects were further analysed through multiple range test (SPSS, 1985) (Tables 2 and 3). Significant difference in shoot growth was observed for NPK treated plants compared to those grown in mine spoil only and in mine spoil+forest soil (Table 2). Addition of forest soil showed significant effect only in three plant species (Albizia procera, Desmanthus virgatus and Cenchrus ciliaris) (Table 2).

Multiple range test indicated that the effect of spoil age on shoot growth was not significant in Albizia procera and Desmanthus virgatus (Table 3). Shoot growth in 20-yr old mine spoil was significantly higher compared to that in 5, 10, 12 and 16 yr old spoils in Acacia catechu, Pongamia pinnata, juliflora, М. atropurureum, Prosopis Stylosanthes hamata and Cenchrus ciliaris. In Acacia nilotica, L. leucocephala and S humilis shoot growth in 16 and 20-yr old spoils were significantly higher compared to 5, 10 and 12 yr old spoils, and shoot growth in 16 and 20-yr old spoils were not significantly different among themselves (Table 3),

Shoot length of plants seeded in mine spoils of different ages in different treatments in laboratory conditions after one year.

S 10 12 16 20 5 10 12	Treatments				-		_		-	- 1				· ;		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	- realinems	ļ		Mine sp	100			ב <u>ֿ</u>	sport	Y			Mine spo	spoil+Forest	rest soil	
19 26 30 23 35 30 34 36 32 60 ±3.1 3.4 1.4 1.9 2.4 2.4 3.6 4.5 2.5 7.4 51 58 54 71 80 72 82 76 100 120 ±2.5 4.8 2.8 4.0 4.3 1.6 0.9 2.5 10.3 1.6 46 48 50 50 60 78 66 75 84 90 ±5.7 2.9 4.2 4.9 4.7 93 6.8 8.5 13.7 7.1 au 45 50 48 60 68 68 72 69 74 79 ±5.1 33 2.1 4.1 1.9 33 3.7 2.1 3.3 3.4 7.4 au 45 50 48 60 68 68 72 69 74 79 ±1.9 3.4 3.9 0.9 1.7 3.4 5.0 6.2 5.0 1.9 ±4.6 5.0 1.7 4.5 4.7 5.4 6.2 5.3 4.3 8.5 104 95 105 115 110 121 106 128 135 130 ±1.9 6.2 2.1 2.1 3.8 4.0 1.9 2.5 4.7 4.1 78 84 100 95 120 104 101 120 120 120 ±3.3 4.1 6.2 6.2 1.9 11.1 4.5 1.9 2.1 28 ±3.4 4.1 6.2 6.2 1.9 11.1 4.5 7.3 3 3.4 2.5 23 25 24 27 40 49 5.5 3 4.2 80 80 ±1.7 4.5 1.7 5.0 2.9 1.9 5.7 3.3 3.4 2.5 23 25 24 27 40 49 5.7 3.3 3.4 5.2 3.8 ±1.9 5.1 2.5 1.7 5.0 2.9 1.9 5.7 3.3 3.4 2.5 ±1.9 5.1 2.5 1.7 5.0 2.9 1.9 5.7 3.3 3.4 5.7 3.8	Spoil age (yr)	5	10	12	16	20	S	10	12	16	20		10	12	91	20
51 58 54 71 80 72 76 100 120 ±2.5 4.8 2.8 4.0 4.3 1.6 0.9 25 10.3 1.6 41 45 43 60 49 92 101 98 150 105 ±6 48 50 60 78 66 75 8.9 13.7 ±6 48 50 60 78 66 75 8.9 13.7 ±7.1 2.9 4.2 4.9 4.7 9.3 6.8 8.5 13.7 ±1.1 4.1 4.1 1.9 3.3 3.7 2.1 3.3 3.4 7.4 a 45 50 48 60 68 68 72 69 74 79 ±1.1 3.3 2.1 4.2 38 2.5 19 3.7 1.2 4.8 60 63 68 76 75 81 72 82 87 90 ±1.9 3.4 3.9 0.9 1.7 3.4 5.0 6.2 50 19 7 62 68 74 76 70 99 90 83 86 110 ±1.9 6.2 2.1 2.1 3.8 4.0 1.9 2.5 4.7 4.1 78 84 100 95 120 104 101 120 120 140 ±1.9 6.2 2.1 2.1 3.8 4.0 1.9 2.5 4.7 4.1 78 84 100 95 120 104 101 120 120 140 ±1.3 4.4 5.0 7.1 5.7 2.4 6.2 3.4 0.9 iilis 35 45 41 6.2 6.2 1.9 11.1 4.5 1.9 2.1 28 23 25 24 27 40 49 55 28 60 88 ±1.7 4.5 1.7 5.0 2.9 1.9 5.7 3.3 3.4 2.5 ±1.9 5.1 2.1 2.1 38 5.7 3.3 3.4 2.5 ±1.9 5.1 2.1 2.1 3.8 5.0 80 ±1.0 5.1 2.1 2.1 3.8 5.0 80 ±1.0 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1	Acacia catechu	19		30	23 1.9	35	30	34	36	32	60	25	28	32	27	50
41 45 43 60 49 92 101 98 150 105 46 48 50 50 60 78 66 75 84 47 46 48 50 50 60 78 66 75 84 47 46 48 50 60 60 78 66 75 84 47 a 45 76 74 80 85 91 105 100 116 120 a 45 50 48 60 68 68 72 69 74 79 a 45 50 48 60 68 68 72 69 74 79 a 45 50 47 76 79 99 87 48 10 a 62 68 74 76 70 99 90 83 86 110 a 62 68 74 75 47 6.2 53 4.3<	Acacia nilotica	51 + 2.5		5.4 2.8	71	80 £3	72	85 0	76	92	120	09	69 5 4	. 6°	7.5 7.5 7.5	907
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Albizia procera	41 2.5		3.4	60 4.1	24 4 20 8	9. 26. 1.8	101 7.1	98	150	105	, 4° c	99	. 9° ×		7 9 7 9 7 0
atus 65 76 74 80 85 93 105 100 116 120 a 45 50 48 60 68 68 72 69 74 79 ±2.1 33 2.1 4.2 38 2.5 19 3.7 1.2 4.8 60 63 68 76 75 81 72 82 87 90 a 62 68 74 76 70 99 90 83 86 110 a 62 68 74 76 70 99 90 83 86 110 a 62 68 74 76 70 99 90 83 86 110 a 62 63 115 110 121 106 128 130 130 410 95 115 110 121 421 421 421 </td <td>Dichrostachys cinerea</td> <td>46 ±5.7</td> <td></td> <td>50 4.2</td> <td>50 4 9</td> <td>60 4.7</td> <td>78 93</td> <td>66 6.8</td> <td>75 8.5</td> <td>84 13.7</td> <td>90</td> <td>69</td> <td>53</td> <td>3.8</td> <td>2.5 7.8 6.3</td> <td>75</td>	Dichrostachys cinerea	46 ±5.7		50 4.2	50 4 9	60 4.7	78 93	66 6.8	75 8.5	84 13.7	90	69	53	3.8	2.5 7.8 6.3	75
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Desmanthus virgatus	65 ±2.1		74 1.1	80 1.9	85 3.3	93.7	105	3.3	116 3.4	120	3,4 4,5	92	300 8	96	98
60 63 68 76 75 81 72 82 87 90 1	Pongamis pinnata	45 ± 2.1		48	69 4.7	3 8 8	68 2.5	72	69 3.7	74	79	48 5.0	56 6.8	50	3.4	77 4 6
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Leucaena Ieucocephala	60 ± 1.9		68 3.9	76 0.9	ξ. 	∞ ":	72 5.0	82 6 2	87 5.0	90	70	69 4 0	74	8 °C 4 4	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Prosopis juliflora	62 ±4.6		7.4	76 4.5	70	99 5.4	90	83 5.3	86 4.3	110	68 3.4	80 2.4	78	80	88 _
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Clitoria ternatea	104 ± 1.9		105	2.1	3.8	121	106	128	135	130	110	101	7117	120	115
idis 40 50 48 42 60 54 65 60 58 80 ±4.3 14 3.4 50 7.1 5.7 2.4 6.2 3.4 0.9 iilis 35 45 41 38 55 44 64 50 47 76 ±1.7 4.5 1.7 5.0 2.9 1.9 5.7 3.3 3.4 2.5 ±3.3 25 24 27 40 49 55 53 60 80 ±0.9 2.1 2.5 1.6 41 2.5 4.2 3.4 5.2 3.8	Macropielium atropurpureum	78 ± 3.4	•	100	95	120 1.9	104	101	120	120	140 2.8	84 2.6	93	116 6.8	10.2 2.3	134 34
iilis 35 45 41 38 55 44 64 50 47 76 ± 1.7 4.5 1.7 5.0 2.9 1.9 5.7 3.3 3.4 2.5 ± 0.9 2.1 2.5 1.6 41 2.5 4.2 3.4 5.2 3.8	Stylosanthes hamata	40 ± 4.3		3, 4° 4.6 4.6	42 5 0	60	54	65 2.4	60	58 3.4	80	43	3.4	54	747	2 2
23 25 24 27 40 49 55 53 60 80 ±0.9 2.1 2.5 1.6 41 2.5 4.2 3.4 5.2 3.8	Stylosanthes humilis	35 ±1.7	•	4 7.	38	55 2.9	44 1.9	5.7	50 3.3	3,4	76	38	54.	2.6 5.0	24 2	33
	Cenchrus ciliaris	23 ±0.9	``	24 2.5	27	40	49	55 4.2	3.4	60	3.8	40	52 5.0	42	54 40	56 5.0

Table 2

Effect of application of NPK and forest soil on shoot growth in mine spoil (values are maximum shoot length in cm averaged across spoil ages).

Species	Mine spoil	Mine spoil + NPK	Mine spoil+ forest soil
Acacia catechu	26.6ª	38.7	32,4ª
Acacia nilotica	62.8ª	90.0	77:6ª
Albizia procera	47.6	109 2	63.4
Dichrostachys cinerea	47.5	80.6°	70.0a
Leucaena leucocephala	68.4ª	82 4	74.48
Pongamia pinnata	54.2ª	724	58.2a
Prosopis juliflora	78.0ª	105.1	92,0°a
Clitoria ternatea	105,8ª	124.0	112.6a
Desmanthus virgatus	76.0	107 0	93.6
Macroptelium atropurpureum	95.4*	118.6	105.8ª
Stylosanthes hamata	48.0ª	61.3	56.0a
Stylosanthes humilis	42.8a	56.2ª	47.9ª
Cenchrus ciliaris	27.8	59.4	48.8

Across row values suffixed with the same letter are not sign ficantly different among themselves at HSD 5%.

Table 3

Effect of age of mine spoil on shoot growth
(vilues are maximum shoot length in cm averaged across treatments).

Species	Spoil Age (Yr)					
	5	10	12	16	20	
Acacia catechu	24.7*	29.38	32,7a	27.38	48.3	
Acacia nilotica	6 .0a	69.7ª	65.7a	89 () ^b	100.Gb	
Albizia procera	_				_	
Dichrostachys cinerea	64.3 ^a	55.7	65.0a	70.7ª	75,0ª	
Leucaena leucocephala	70.31	68.0ª	74,70	82.3b	82.0°	
Pongamia pinnata	53.7ª	59.3°	55.7ª	66 7ª	73.0	
Prosopis juliflora	76.3ª	79.3a	78.3a	80.7ª	89.3	
Clitoria ternatea	111.7a	100.7ª	116.75	123.3b	118,3b	
Desmanthus virgatus		_		_	<u> </u>	
Macroptelium atropurpureum	88.7ª	92.7ª	112 0 ^b	105.7 ^b	131.3	
Stylosanthes hamata	45 7ª	57.0°	54.0a	49.0°	70 0	
Stylosanthes humilis	39,()a	45.7	42.3a	54.3 ^b	65.3	
Cenchrus ciliaris	37 3ª	44.0°	39.7ª	47.0°	58.7	

Across row values su fixed with the same letter are not significantly different among themselves at HSD 5%.

Among the two Acacia spp., A. nilotica showed higher shoot growth in mine spoil, mine spoil+NPK and mine spoil+forest soil mixture than A catechu in all ages of mine spoils. Stylosanthes hamata showed better growth in all treatments than S. humilis (Table 1).

Discussion

Usually mine spoils are N and P deficient, therefore, several workers have found that NPK addition increased aboveground crop yield (Chichester and Hauser, 1984; Dancer and Jansen, 1981; Barker et al., 1977). In the present study NPK additions showed significantly greater shoot growth as compared to mine spoil only and mine spoil+forest soil treatments. spoil+forest soil treatment showed significant greater shoot growth only in three plant species. Forest soil is impoverished (Table 4) therefore, shoot growth response in majority of plant species was not greater after additio | of forest soil to mine spoil compared to NPK treatment. Shoot growth in majority of plant species was higher in 20-yr old spoil than in 5, 10, 12 and 16-yr old spoils.

Table 4

Certain properties of the mine spoils and the native forest soil. Values are means ± 1 SE (Based on Srivastava et al., 1989).

Site	Total soil N (%)	Mineral N (μg g-1)	NaHC O ₃ -Pi (μg g ⁻¹)
Forest soil Mine spoil (age in yr)	0.291 ± 0.002	16.4±0.1	15.0 ± 1.1
5	0.068 ± 0.002	5.8 ± 0.2	5.0 ± 0.5
	0.074 ± 0.005	7.6 ± 0.3	7.3 ± 0.6
12	0.077 ± 0.005	7.9 ± 0.2	7.0 ± 0.7
16	0.082 ± 0.002	9.9 ± 0.2	8.4 ± 0.7
20	0.086 ± 0.004	15.6 ± 0.2	8.9 ± 0.9

In Pongamia pinnata increase in shoot growth due to NPK application was maximum (51 per cent) in 5-yr old spoil and minimum (16 per cent) in 20-yr old spoil. Similarly increase in shoot growth (33 per cent) due to NPK application was higher in M. atropurpureum in 5-yr old spoil compared to 20-yr old spoil (17 per cent). In L. leucocephala NPK addition showed 35 per cent increase in shoot growth in 5-yr old spoil and only 20 per cent increase in 20-yr old spoil. In D. cinerea increase in shoot grewth was 70 and 50 per cent, respectively in 5 and 20-yr old spoils. This indicated that with increase in the age of mine spoil there was less effect of NPK addition on shoot growth. This apparently is due to enhanced nutrient content with age of the mine spoil (Table 4). Mineral N is the flush of available soil N to plants which varies annually and seasonally. More rapid increase in mineral N compared to total soil N from 16 to 20-yr old mine spoil (Table 4) was due to increase in biological establishment (Jha, 1990). In the present mine spoils, total soil N, mineral N. NaHCO3-extractable Pi, and exchangeable K increased with age of mine spoil while exchangeable. Na decreased with age (Jha, 1990). The recovery of total soil N in the present mine spoils during 20 years of spoil age was 0 0012 per cent per year (Srivastava et al., 1989). Thus it may take about 200 years of natural succession for the total N pool to reach the level of native soil

Effect of application of NPK was greater in grass C. ciliaris compared to leguminous trees and forbs except for A. procera. Increase in shoot growth due to NPK fertilization ranged from 16-71% in legumi-

nous species while it ranged from 100-122% Berg (1972) measured a seven in C ciliaris fold increase in grass yields and a six fold increase in herbaceous ground following attrogen fertilization of gold tailing from telluride ores. A liner effect of NPK fertilizer on yield was obtained when NPK were applied at higher rates in Southern Ohio coalmine spoil (Sutton and Hall 1987). Redente et al (1984) observed that high rate of fertilizer (NP) applications resulted in significantly greater seeded grass production compared to unfertilized seeded grass production in drastically disturbed soils at Colorado. DePuit and Coenenberg (1979)

reported increase in grass yield after fertilizer applications on reclaimed areas. Vegetation production on plots with applied P was 10-fold greater than on plots without fertilizer application at North Dakota mine spoils (Sandoval et al., 1973).

In the present study the experiment showed that mine spoils were non-toxic and suitable, although sub-optimal medium, for plant growth. Native forest soil was impoverished, therefore, shoot growth in majority of plants was not greater after addition of forest soil to mine spoil compared to NPK treatment.

Acknowledgements

I gratefully acknowledge the funding support from University Grants Commission, New Delni and Ministry of Environment and Forests, New Delni. I am thankful to Professor J S Singh, FNA, FASc, Department of Botany, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, for guidance and supervision of this work.

SUMMARY

An age series of coal mine spoils (5, 10, 12, 16 and 20 yr) of Jhingurda colliery, Northern Coalfields Ltd., Singrauli were evaluated as a medium for plant growth. Thirteen plant species were seeded in mine spoil alone of five different ages, mine spoil of five different ages+NPK; and mine spoil of five different ages+forest soil. Shoot growth of seeded plant species was measured. The experiment showed that mine spoils were non-toxic and suitable, although sub-optimal medium, for plant growth. Growth performance was better in NPK treatment compared to as in addition of forest soil to mine spoils due to impoverished nature of forest soil. Effect of application of NPK was greater in grass compared to leguminous trees and forbs, generally. With increase in the age of mine spoil there was less effect of NPK addition on shoot growth due to increase in nutrient content with the age of mine spoil.

भारत के शुष्क उष्ण पर्यावरण में पादप वृद्धि कराने के माध्यम के रूप में कोयला खानों के छोड़े अवशेषों का मूल्यांकन

ए०के० झा

सारांश

तिगरडा कोयला खदान, नार्दर्न कोलफील्ड लि०, सिंगरौली द्वारा छोड़ो गई कोयला खानों के अबक्षेषों की आयु श्रेणी (5, 10, 12, 16 और 20 वर्ष) का मूल्यांकन पादप वृद्धि कराने के माध्यम के रूप में किया। पांच विभिन्न आयु के खान अवक्षेष मात्र, पांच विभिन्न आयु के खान अवशेष मनाइरोजन, फास्फोरस और पोटाश तथा पांच विभिन्न आयु के खान अद्देश व वन मृदा में 13 पादप जातियों के बीज बोए गए। बोई पादप जातियों की प्ररोह वृद्धि मापी गई। सपरीक्षण से पता लगा कि खनिज अवशेष विषेले नहीं व उपयुक्त, यद्यपि पादप वृद्धि के लिए अव-इष्ट माध्यम हैं। खनिज अवशेष में वन मृदा मिलाने की तुलना में नाइड्रोजन-फास्पोरस-पोटाश मिलाने के उपचार में वृद्धि सिक्रियता अधिक अच्छो रही क्योंकि वन मृदा में पोप्याहार कम रह जाते हैं। सामान्यतः शिम्बिवर्गीय वृक्षों और शाक्कों के मुकाबले में घास पर नाइड्रोजन-फास्पोरस-पोटाश देने का प्रभाव अधिक पड़ा। खनिज अवशेष छोड़ने का समय बढ़ने के साथ प्ररोह वृद्धि पर नाइड्रोजन फास्फोरस-पोटाश देने का प्रभाव कम होता गया वयोंकि समय बढ़ने के साथ पोप्याहार तस्वों में वृद्धि होती जाती है।

References

- Archibold, O.W. (1980). Seed input as a factor in the regeneration of strip-mine wastes in Saskatchewan. Can. J. Bot. 58: 1490-1495.
- Barker, R. E., R. E. Ries and P. E. Nyren (1977). Forage species establishment and productivity on mined land. North Dakota Agricultural Experiment Station. Farm Research 34: 8-12.
- Berg, W.A. (1972). Vegetative stabilization of mine wastes. 1972 Mining Yearbook: Colorado Mining Association, Denver, Colorado. 24-26.
- Chichester, F.W. (1983). Premining evaluation of forage grass growth on mine spoil materials from an east-central lexis lignite site. 2. Soil profile horizons. Soil Sci. 135: 236-244
- Chichester, F.W., and V.L. Hiuser (1984). Revegetation of mine spoils constructed from lignite overburden in east-central Texas. Reveg. Res. 3: 137-152.
- Dancer, W.S., and I.J. Jansen (1981). Greenhouse evaluation of solum and substratum materials in the southern Illinois coalfield. I. Forage crops. J. Environ Qual. 10: 396-400.
- Day, A.D., G.F. Mitchell, T.C. Tucker and J.L. Thames (1979). Emergence and growth of plant species in coal mine spoils. *J. Environ Qual* 8: 110-114.
- DePuit, E.J. and J.G. Coenenberg (1979). Responses of revegetated coal strip mine spoil to variable fertilization rates. longevity of fertilization program and season of seeding. *Montana Agril Exptl Station Res. Report* 150, 180 pp.
- Down, C.G. (1975). Soil development on colliery waste tips in relation to age. J. Appl. Ecol. 12: 613-635.
- Jha, A.K. (1990). A Study on Revegetation of Coal-mine Spoil. Ph.D. Thesis, Dept. of Botany, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi 242 pp.
- Jha, A.K. and J.S. Singh (1990). Revegetation of mine spoils: review and a case study.

 B.B. Dhar (ed.) Environmental Management of Mining Operations. Ashish Publishing House, New Delhi, 300-326.

- Redente, E.F., T.B. Doerr, C.E. Grygiel, and M.E. Biondini (1984). Vegetation establishment and succession on disturbed soils in North-west Colorado. *Reclam. Reveg. Res.* 3: 153-165.
- Reeder, J.D. and W.A. Berg (1977). Nitrogen mineralization and nitrification in a cretaceous shale and coal mine spoils. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 41: 922-927.
- Safaya, N.M. and M.K. Wali (1979). Growth and nutrient relations of a grass-legume mixture on sodic coal mine spoils as affected by some amendments. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 43: 74/-753.
- Sandoval, F.M., J.J. Bond, J.F. Power and W.O. Willis (1973). Lignite mine spoils in the Northern Great Plains characteristics and potential for reclamation. *Proc Symp. on Res. and Applied Techno. on Mined land Reclamation*. Putsburg. 117-133.
- SPSS (1985). SPSS/PC, SPSS Inc. Chicago, Illinois.
- Srivastava, S.C., A.K. Jha and J.S. Singh (1989). Changes with time in soil biomass C. N and P of mine spoils in a dry tropical environment. Can. J. Soil Sci. 69: 849-855.
- Sutton, P. and G.F. Hill (1987). Fertilization for forage production on calcareous mined land in Ohio. J. Soil Water Cons. 42: 361-364.
- Wali, M.K. and P.G. Freeman (1973). Ecology of some mined areas in North Dakota.

 M.K. Wali (ed.) Some Environmental Aspects of Strip Mining in North Dakota.

 ND Goel, Surv., Educ Ser. 5, Grand Forks. 25-47.