

Vol. 4 No. 11 (Nov 2011)

ISSN: 0974- 6846

# In vitro propagation of endangered medicinal plant-Commiphora wightii

### Gudipati Tejovathi<sup>1</sup>, Harisharan Goswami and Rekha Bhadauria<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Boston College for Professional Studies, Sirol Road, Gwalior 474006, India <sup>2</sup>School of Studies in Botany, Jiwaji University, Gwalior-474011, India teja\_gudipati@yahoo.com

#### Abstract

Present study reports *in vitro* multiplication and conservation of *Commiphora wightii* and the influence of growth regulators, location of the explant on mother plant, influence of apical dominance and the size of explant on regeneration ability. Out of the 40, single as well as combinations of BAP, Kn, NAA, IBA and GA<sub>3</sub> used, only three combination treatments of BAP (3mg/l) with IBA (0.1-0.3mg/l) induced shoot development in node and shoot tip cultures. Further, among the three nodes, 1<sup>st</sup> node (shoot tip) performed better on BAP, IBA and GA<sub>3</sub> supplemented medium. *Commiphora wightii* shows strong apical dominance, the influence of dominance was tested with four explants- shoot tip, simple node, axillary bud and node -AD. Shoot tip, simple node, and axillary bud result no shoot bud development on any concentration or combinations of BAP and/or GA<sub>3</sub> tested. Whereas, the node -ADs alone showed shoots development. Best percentage response of node-AD was observed on 2mg/l BAP+0.5mg/l GA<sub>3</sub> (27%) followed by 1mg/l BAP+1.5mg/l GA<sub>3</sub> (25%) medium. Further, node-AD with two nodes and two internodes performed better and survived for more than 6 weeks on the same medium without subculture as compared to node-AD of 0.5-0.8 cm in size with single node. Regenerated shoots transferred to MS + activated charcoal medium after dipping in NAA, IAA or IBA (200ppm) solutions , showed root development within 20 days of culture with 24 - 26% response. All the regenerated plants were successfully transferred to field conditions. Thus, the paper reports the successful *in vitro* propagation of *Commiphora wightii*.

# Keywords: Commiphora wightii, Endangered, Medicinal plant, In vitro propagation, Tissue culture, India

### Introduction

Commiphora wightii (Ar.) Bhandari, commonly known as guggul, belongs to family Burseraceae. Commiphora wightii is a shrub, 1.2-1.8 m. high; young parts glandularpubescent; branches knotty and crooked, usually ending in a sharp spine (Kirtikar & Basu, 1987). The plant produce a dense oily resin identified as guggul, the gum resin, is used in many medicinal preparations. It is a pale vellow or brown in color, aromatic complex mixture of steroids, diterpenoid, aliphatic esters, carbohydrates and varieties of inorganic ions (Pullaiah, 2006). Guggul gum has been employed as a traditional remedy in the practice of Ayurvedic medicine. It induces relief from epilepsy, ulcer, obesity and rheumatoid arthritis (Gujral et al., 1960). It also has anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial activity (Kasera & Mohammed, 2007; Tuchila et al., 2008), hypocholesterolemic hypolipidemic and activity (Nityanand & Kapoor, 1971; Satyavati, 1991; Nohr et al., 2009).

According to the studies of FRLHT (2006), *Commiphora* has been listed as **critically endangered** species in Madhya Pradesh and it also has been kept under **Data Deficient** category (IUCN, 2010). Slow growth, poor seed germination ability and excessive tapping for gum resin made this plant endangered. Therefore, *in vitro* propagation method would be the promising option for multiplication and conservation of *Commiphora.* Reports in this area, however, are limited. So far, direct plant regeneration from cotyledonary node segments (Kant *et al.*, 2010), shoot tips and nodal explants (Barve & Mehta, 1993) and from stem cuttings (Soni, 2010) has been reported. While Kumar *et al.* (2004, 2006) reported somatic embryo development from immature zygotic embryo and leaf explants. Considerable efforts are still required to find out efficient *in vitro* methods for the regeneration of this critically endangered medicinal plant. Present study reports *in vitro* propagation of *Commiphora* of Madhya Pradesh using nodal segments and further successful establishment in the natural habitat.

### Material and method

In the present study, we have used node, shoot tip, and axillary bud as initial plant material. Small healthy twigs from green house plants were collected and initially washed for 5-10 minutes thoroughly under running tap water. Twigs were then surface sterilized as per the procedure of Tejovathi *et al.* (1996).

The node, shoot tip, axillary bud were cut from the surface sterilized twigs and inoculated on Murashige and Skoog's (1964) medium with various concentrations and combinations of growth regulators, and 0.8% Agar-Agar Type-I (Hi Media, India) as gelling agent. All the cultures were incubated under white fluorescent light (2000 Lux.) with 24 hours photoperiod at 25±1°C temperature.

In the present study, effect of various parameters such as- growth regulators, location of the explant on mother plant, influence of apical dominance and size of the explant, on *in vitro* regeneration ability of *Commiphora* has been studied.



### Vol. 4 No. 11 (Nov 2011)

#### ISSN: 0974- 6846

(20%) followed by 3mg/l BAP + 0.3mg/l IBA (13%) (Table1).

### Effect of growth regulators Influence of auxins, cytokinins and gibberellic acid,

their concentrations and combinations, on node, shoot tip and axillary bud cultures was studied using BAP (1.0 - 4.0 mg/l), Kn (1.0-4.0 mg/l), IBA (0.1-4.0 mg/l), NAA (1.0-4.0 mg/l) and GA<sub>3</sub> (0.5-1.5mg/l).

### Effect of location of the explant on mother plant

To study the effect of location of the explant on mother plant ,  $1^{st}$  three nodes of each twig i.e. shoot tip,  $1^{st}$  &  $2^{nd}$  node were cultured on MS medium supplemented with BAP (1-3mg/l), IBA (0.05-0.3mg/l) and GA<sub>3</sub>(0.5-1.5mg/l).

### Effect of apical dominance and the size of the explant

*Commiphora wightii* shows strong apical dominance. Influence of apical dominance on plant regeneration was studied using various explants such as- shoot tip, simple node, axillary bud and node-ADs (nodes from branches with shoot tip and leaves removed 2-3 days prior to inoculation). To investigate the effect of explant size, two types of node-ADs were used (1) Single node-AD of 0.5-0.8 cm in size. (2) Node-AD with two nodes and two internodes.

### Rooting and plantlet regeneration

Different concentrations of NAA, IAA, IBA (0.5-5.0mg/l) were used to induce rooting. Regenerated shoots were transferred directly onto root inducing -MS+auxin+2% sucrose medium or onto MS basal +activated charcoal medium after dipping in NAA, IAA, IBA (200ppm) solutions.

Primarily *in vitro* regenerated plantlets were placed on wick culture for better root development, later transferred to root trainers containing the mixture of autoclaved soil and manure. Plantlets initially covered with the polythene bags were maintained at  $25\pm1^{\circ}$ C under white fluorescent light. The polythene bags were gradually removed, as plantlets acclimatized to *in vivo* conditions. Finally the established *in vitro* regenerated plants were transfer to the green house for hardening.

All the experiments, each of 20 replicates/ experiment/ treatment, were repeated thrice. The data was recorded as- percentage response, no. of plantlets/explant, from 1 month old cultures.

### **Result and discussion**

### Effect of growth regulators

In this study, 40 single as well as combinations of NAA (1.0-4mg/l), IBA (0.1-4mg/l), BAP, Kn (1-4mg/l) and gibberellic acid- GA<sub>3</sub> (0.5-1.5mg/l) were tested. The data, presented in Table 1, shows no shoot development on any cytokinin, auxin or GA<sub>3</sub> supplemented medium. Similarly, in the combinations- lower concentrations of BAP with IBA, also failed to induce shoot development from any of the explant. However, at higher concentrations of BAP (3.0mg/l) with IBA, shoot development was observed from both the explants. MS with BAP (3.0mg/l) + IBA (0.2mg/l) gave better response

Table 1. Effect of growth regulators, on shoot bud
development from shoot tip and node culture of
Comminhora sn

Plant	Plant growth regulator (mg/l)					
BAP	$\frac{1}{4}$		Shoot Tip	Node		
-	1	-	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NR*	NR
-	2	-	-		NR	NR
-	3	-	-		NR	NR
-	4	-	-		NR	NR
1	-	-	-		NR	NR
2	-	-	-		NR	NR
3	-	-	-		NR	NR
4	-	-	-		NR	NR
1	1	-	-		NR	NR
2	2	-	-		NR	NR
3	3	-	-		NR	NR
4	4	-	-		NR	NR
-	-	1.0	-		NR	NR
-	-	2.0	-		NR	NR
-	-	3.0	-		NR	NR
-	-	4.0	-		NR	NR
-	-	-	1.0		NR	NR
-	-	-	2.0		NR	NR
-	-	-	3.0		NR	NR
-	-	-	4.0		NR	NR
-	-	-	-	0.5	NR	NR
-	-	-	-	1.0	NR	NR
-	-	-	-	1.5	NR	NR
1		-	-	0.5	NR	NR
1		-	-	1	NR	NR
1		-	-	1.5	NR	NR
2		-	-	0.5	NR	NR
2		-	-	1	NR	NR
2		-	-	1.5	NR	NR
1	-	-	0.1	-	NR	NR
1	-	-	0.2	-	NR	NR
1	-	-	0.3	-	NR	NR
2	-	-	0.1	-	NR	NR
2	-	-	0.2	-	NR	NR
2	-	-	0.3	-	NR	NR
3	-	-	0.1	-	6.6±0.01	6.6±0.01
3	-	-	0.2	-	20.0±0.06	-
3	-	-	0.3	-	13.4±0.0	6.6±0.01

NR\*- no response

Earlier studies of Kumar *et al.* (2004; 2006); Mathur *et al.* (2007) reported callus development from shoot tip and node cultures on various auxins and cytokinins supplemented media. While, Soni (2010) and Kant *et al.* (2010) reported shoot development response of nodal segments from immature fruit and immature fruit seedlings on auxin and cytokinins supplemented medium, which are in accordance with our results.



### Effect of Location of the explant on mother plant

When, shoot tip and its two successive nodes of each twig were cultured on 15 combinations of BAP, IBA and GA<sub>3</sub> supplemented medium, percentage response, was highest in shoot tips followed by 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> nodes (Table 2). Influence of explant location on mother plant on plant regeneration has been well documented in number of plants (Mok & Norzulaani, 2007; Mitsukuria *et al.*, 2009; Pereira *et al.*, 1995; Sharma & Rajam, 1995; Christopher & Rajam, 1996; Fari, & Czako, 1981). No such work, however, has been reported so far in *Commiphora.* 

Table 2. Effect of location of the explant on mother plant in *C*, wightii

Conce	entratio	ns of	Shoot development			
Grow	th regul	ator	% Response ± S.E.			
BAP	BAP IBA GA3		Shoot tip	1 <sup>st</sup> node	2 <sup>nd</sup> node	
1	1 1 0.05 -		NR	NR	NR	
1			NR	NR	NR	
1	0.1	-	NR	NR	NR	
1	0.3	-	NR	NR	NR	
2	-	-	NR	NR	NR	
2	2 0.05 -		NR	NR	NR	
2	0.1	-	NR	NR	NR	
2	0.3 -		NR	NR	NR	
3	-	-	NR	NR	NR	
3	3 0.05 -   3 0.1 -		8.2±0.01	8.1±0.05	5.1±0.01	
3			12.2±0.03	7.2±0.02	3.3±0.02	
3	3 0.3 -		19.8±0.03	7.9±0.02	callus	
3	3 0.05 0.5		16.0±0.04	9.2±0.03	6.0±0.03	
3	0.1	1.0	15.23±0.02	8.3±0.06	5.6±0.07	
3	3 0.3 1.5		13.33±0.05	8.0±0.05	3.3±0.04	

### Effect of apical dominance and size of the explant

*Commiphora wightii* shows strong apical dominance, due to which axillary buds stay dormant for long period of time. Decapitation of shoot tip and cutting of leaves from the branches 2-3 days prior to inoculation facilitates axillary bud activation (Barve & Mehta, 1993).

Table 3. Influence of BAP and GA<sub>3</sub> on shoot development from different explants: node, shoot tip, axillary bud and node-AD in Commiphora wightii

Plant growth			Node -AD %			
regulator (mg\l) BAP GA₃		Node	Shoot tip	Axillary bud	Response ± S.E.	
1	-	Callus	Callus	Callus	15.0±0.04	
2	-	Callus	Callus	Callus	18.8±0.06	
1	0.5	Callus	Callus	Callus	21±0.05	
1	1	Callus	Callus	Callus	22.0±0.23	
1	1.5	Callus	Callus	Callus	25.0±0.02	
2	0.5	Callus	Callus	Callus	27.4±0.10	
2	1	Callus	Callus	Callus	23.5±0.12	
2	1.5	Callus	Callus	Callus	20.0±0.03	

Research article ©Indian Society for Education and Environment (iSee) "Tissue culturing the endangered herb" http://www.indjst.org

Table 3 shows the response of shoot tip, simple node, axillary bud and node- AD on MS+ BAP(1-2mg/l) + GA<sub>3</sub> (0.5-1.5mg/l) medium. Three explants- shoot tip, simple node, axillary bud resulted no shoot bud development on any concentration or combinations of BAP and/or GA<sub>3</sub> tested (Table 3), while node -AD alone showed shoot elongation (Fig. 1A). Best percentage response of node-AD was observed on 2mg/l BAP+0.5mg/l GA<sub>3</sub> (27%) followed by 1mg/l BAP+1.5mg/l GA<sub>3</sub> (25%) medium (Table 3).

Effect of explant size was investigated by using two types of node-ADs: 1. Single node of 0.5-0.8 cm in size. 2. Node-AD with two nodes and two internodes. The results obtained clearly indicate positive response on all the six combinations used (Table 4).

Plant gro	owth	% Response ± S.E.			
regulator (mg/l) BAP GA <sub>3</sub>		Single node- AD	Node-AD with two nodes & internodes		
1	0.5	21.62	22.14		
1	1 1	23.33	22.58		
1	1.5	20.0	19.23		
2	0.5	28.0	26.92		
2	2 1		24.00		
2	1.5	21.42	23.33		

Table 4. Effect of explant size on shoot development

However, in single node-AD the leaves dropped and callus initiation was noticed from the same position (Fig.1B,C). While shoots developed from 2<sup>nd</sup> node-AD with two nodes and internodes were healthy and survived for more than 6 weeks on same medium (Fig.1D), indicating the influence of explants size on the shoot development. Prajapati *et al.* (2010) reported better performance of nodal explant with 2-3 nodes than single node culture in *C. wightii*, which is in agreement with our results.

#### Rooting and plantlet regeneration

Table.5. Effect of IAA, IBA and NAA solutions on root induction in regenerated shoot of Commiphora wightii

Gr	owth regul	ators	No. of shoots inoculated for rooting	Root initiation % Response ± S.E.
IAA			45	NR
	IBA		45	NR
		NAA	45	NR
IAA	IBA		45	25.55±0.02
IAA		NAA	45	24.55±0.04
	IBA	NAA	45	26.22±0.01

*In vitro* shoots (1-2 cm long), cultured on MS supplemented with NAA, IAA and IBA at 0.5-5.0mg/l concentration, failed to induce rooting. Rooting and *in vitro* plantlet regeneration studies in *C. wightii*, are scanty. MS basal medium supplemented with IAA and NAA was reported to induce rooting from *in vitro* shoots (Soni, 2010). While Barve & Mehta (1993), obtained better root



Vol. 4 No. 11 (Nov 2011)

ISSN: 0974- 6846

A-Node-AD showing shoot development



E-Node-AD with two nodes and internodes showing shoot development

B-Node-AD showing shoot development

Fig.1. In vitro multiplication of Commiphora wightii



F-Node-AD with two nodes and internodes showing shoot with root development



C-Node-AD with shoot showing callus









induction on combinations of IAA, IBA, and NAA. Kant *et al.* (2010) achieved rooting by transferring of regenerated shoots to White's medium without hormones and high concentration of activated charcoal. In our studies we have attempted all earlier combinations used, but the response was not favorable. Shoots transferred to MS + activated charcoal medium after dipping in NAA, IAA, and IBA (200ppm) solutions (Table 5), surprisingly shoots showed root development within 20 days of culture (Fig.1E,F). The response was positive in 24-26% cultures dipped in two auxin combinations (Table 5).

About 24-26% plantlet regeneration from nodal segments of *Commiphora*, has been recorded in the present *in vitro* conservation studies. All the regenerated plants survived further on transferring to wick culture and successfully maintained in the field pots.

### Acknowledgement

The authors are highly thankful to MPCST, Bhopal for the financial assistance and to Sri Rakesh Pandey, Chairman, Boston College for Professional Studies, Gwalior for facilities and support.

### References

- 1. Barve DM and Mehta AR (1993) Clonal propagation of mature elite tree of *Commiphora wightii*. *Plant Cell Tiss. Org. Cult.* 35, 237-244.
- 2. Christopher T and Rajam MV (1996) Effect of genotype, explant and medium on *in vitro* regeneration of red pepper. *Plant Cell Tiss. Org. Cult.* 46, 245-250.
- 3. FRLHT (2006) A report on threat assessment for prioritized medicinal plant species of Madhya

G.Tejoyathi et al. Indian J.Sci.Technol.



Vol. 4 No. 11 (Nov 2011)

1541

ISSN: 0974- 6846

- Pradesh, Coordinate by Foundation of Revitalization of Local Health Tradition and Madhya Pradesh State Biodiversity Board.
- 4. Fari M and Czako M (1981) Relationship between position and morphogenetic response of pepper hypocotyls explants cultured *in vitro*. *Scientia Horticulturae*. 1, 207-213.
- 5. Gujral ML, Sareen K, Tangari KK, Amma MK and Roy AK (1960) Anti-arthritic and anti-inflammatory activity of gum guggul (*Balsamodendron mukul* Hook). *Indian J. Physiol. Pharmacol.* 267-273.
- 6. IUCN (2010) IUCN Red list of threatened species. version 2010.2
- 7. Kant T, Tomar UK, Prajapati S and Parmar AK (2010) *In vitro* propagation as a viable conservation strategy for *Commiphora wightii*, an endangered medicinally important desert tree, India. *Conservation Evidence*. 94-99.
- 8. Kasera PK and Mohammed S (2007) Critically threatened plants of the Thar desert, India Their utilization and conservation strategies. *J. Econ.Taxon. Bot.* 31(3), 579-583.
- Kirtikar KR, Basu BD (1987) Indian Medicinal Plants. Vol-III, International Book Distributors & Publ., Dehradun, India. pp:1815-1817.
- Kumar S, Suri SS, Sonie KC and Ramawat KG (2004) Development of biotechnology for *Commiphora wightii:* A potent source of natural hypolipidemic and hypocholesterolemic drug. In: Plant biotechnology and molecular markers. Anamaya Publ., New Delhi, India. pp: 130-143.
- 11. Kumar S, Mathur M, Jain AK and Ramawat KG (2006) Somatic embryo proliferation in *Commiphora wightii* and evidence for guggulsterone production in culture. *Indian J. Biotechnol.* 5, 217-222.
- 12. Mathur M, Jain AK and Ramawat KG (2007) Optimization of guggulsterone production in callus cultures of *Commiphora wightii* (Arnott.) Bhandari. *Indian J. Biotechnol.* 6, 525-531.
- Mitsukuria K, Moria G, Johkana M, Shimadaa KI, Mishibaa T, Morikawaa T and Masayuki Oda A (2009) Effect of explant position and dark treatment on bud formation in floret culture of *Ponerorchis* graminifolia Rchb. F.Scientific Horticulture. 121(2), 243-247.
- 14. Mok SH and Norzulaani K (2007) Troubleshooting for recalcitrant bud formation in *Capsicum Annuum* var. Kulai. *Asia Pacific J. Mol. Biol. Biotechnol*.15 (1), 33-38.
- 15. Murashige T and Skoog F (1964) A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures. *Physiol. Plant.* 25, 135-166.
- 16. Nityanand S and Kapoor NK (1971) Hypocholesterolemic effect of *Commiphora mukul* resin. *Indian J. Exp. Biol.* 9, 376-377.

- 17. Nohr LA, Rasmussen LB and Straand J (2009) Resin from the mukul myrrh tree, guggul, can it be used for treating hypercholesterolemia. A randomized, controlled study. *Complementary Therapies in Medicine*. 17, 16-22.
- 18. Pereira AMS, Moro JR, Cerdeira RMM and Franca SC (1995) Effect of phytoregulators and physiological characteristic of the explants on micropropagation of *Maytenus ilicifolia*. *Plant Cell Tiss. Org. Cult.* 42(3), 295-297.
- 19. Prajapati AK, Upadhyaya M and Mathur AK (2010) Micro-cloning and *in vitro* guggulsterones production studied in *Commiphora wightii* (cv, Marusudha), *International Symposium on Current Status and Opportunities in Aromatic & Medicinal Plants.* pp:117.
- 20. Pullaiah T (2006) *Encyclopedia of world medicinal plants* Vol-2. Regency Publication, New Delhi, India, 623-625.
- 21. Satyavati GV (1991) A promising hypolipidemic agent from gum guggul (*Commiphora wightii*). *Econ. Med. Plant Res.* 5, 47-82.
- 22. Sharma P and Rajam M V (1995) Genotype, explant and position effects on organogenesis and somatic embryogenesis in eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.). *J. Exp. Bot.* 46,135-141.
- 23. Soni V (2010) Efficacy of *in vitro* tissue culture versus stem cutting for propagation of *Commiphora wightii* in Rajasthan, India. *Conservation Evidance*. 7, 91-93.
- 24. Tejovathi G, Vimla Y, Bhadauria R (1996) A *practical manual for plant biotechnology*. CBS Publ. & Distributors, Daryagang, New Delhi.
- 25. Tuchila C, Jianu I, Rujescu CI, Butur M, Khoie M and Negrea I (2008) Evaluation of the antimicrobial activity of some plant extracts used as food additives. *J. Food, Agri. & Environ.* 6(3&4), 68-70.