

Low-Level Laser Therapy in Periodontics: A Review Article

Brindha¹ and Renuka Devi²

¹Intern, ²Professor, Department of Periodontology, K.S.R. Institute of Dental Science and Research, Tiruchengode – 637215, Tamil Nadu, India; mmsudharsan777@gmail.com

Abstract

Low-Level Laser Therapy (LLLT) is a light source treatment that generates light of a single wavelength. The low-level lasers do not cause temperature elevation within the tissue, but rather produce their effects from photobiostimulation effect within the tissues. Low-level lasers do not cut or ablate the tissue. The therapy performed with Low-Level Lasers is called as LLLT. LLLT devices include the gallium arsenide, gallium aluminum arsenide infrared semiconductor (gallium-aluminum-arsenide), and helium-neon lasers. The output powers range from 50 to 500 mW with wavelengths in the red and near infrared of the electromagnetic spectrum, from 630 to 980 nm with pulsed or continuous-wave emission.

Keywords: Lasers, Low-Level Laser Therapy, Periodontal Disease, Therapeutic Lasers

Date of Receipt: December 2018

Date of Submission: December 2018

Date of Acceptance: December 2018

1. Introduction

The term 'LASER' means light amplification by stimulated emission of radiation. Low Level Laser therapy was first introduced by mester and his colleagues also called soft laser therapy¹. Low Level Laser is a red light or infra red light its absorption parameter is in sub cellular photo receptors, electron transfer in the respiratory chain of mitochondria membrane Capable of penetrating into tissues in depth of 3-15 mm². In-vivo and In-vitro experiments it has been shown that low-level laser is capable of speeding up repair process^{3,4}. It will also reduce post-op pain .It includes stability of nerve cell membrane, Increase ATP production etc.

2. Mechanism of Action of Low-Level Lasers

Low-Level Therapy is also referred as biostimulation and biomodulation⁵. Biostimulatory effect of laser irradiation acts directly on antenna pigments of respiratory chain and will increase ATP production. This effect will induce intracellular metabolic changes,

faster cell division proliferation, fibroblast migration and speed up matrix production^{6,7}. Most frequently used is gallium-aluminium-arsenide operates in spectrum between 780 and 830 nm. Output is between 10 and 500 mW. Advantage is small in size, it works in continuous mode, but can be electronically or mechanically pulsed⁸.

3. Low-Level Laser Impact on Pain

Pain occurs because of tissue trauma and release of inflammatory mediators following removal of local anaesthesia⁹. Low-level laser will relieve pain and repairs wound. The mechanism of this pain reliever is not yet clear but the studies have offered mechanism that include stability of lipid double membrane, enhancement of revival system and increased ATP production¹⁰.

4. Low-Level Laser and Gingivectomy

Removal of supra-bony pocket or the pockets not extending from the muco-gingival junction is called

*Author for correspondence

gingivectomy. Patient may experience pain due to open wound secondary repair formed after gingivectomy^{11,12}.

Amorim et al., 22 conducted a clinical study of gingiva healing after gingivectomy and low-level laser therapy in a split mouth randomized clinical trial. In that he studied 20 patients they had two-sided increased gingival volume on premolar¹³. Gingivectomy performed in test group and then low-level laser was used for 80 sec, 24 hrs later and third seventh day of post-op parameter include diode laser wavelength of 685 nm power of 50mW in continuous mode. After all surgeries dressing were used and renewed after 24 hrs, third and seventh day of post-op. Following surgeries photographic images were taken on third, seventh, fourteenth, twenty first and forty fifth day. It was reviewed by three skillful periodontists based on clinical condition of wound repair, tissue color and contour. For biometrical assessment reference composite inserted at medial section of buccal plane then the pocket depth, keratinized gingival distance and distance with gingival margin were calculated. Clinical visits showed better wound repair in laser group patients after the third day post-op. On twenty first and twenty eighth day biometric assessment showed improvement in laser group patients. Finally, Amorim et al., 22 concluded that application of low-level laser along with gingivectomy improved better condition and faster repair^{14,15}. A pilot study on wound healing after gingivectomy by low-level irradiation conducted by Ozcelik et al.²³. 20 patients participated with an increased two-sided gingival volume in at least six teeth. In this split mouth randomized controlled clinical trial, for one week in the test group low-level laser was radiated to target points for five minutes after surgery. Parameters with wavelength of 588nm power of 120mW in continuous mode were used. Same periodontist done all the operation dressing was not used. Pain relievers were not prescribed. To check the presence or absence of epithelium, lack of keratinization Mira-2-tone detector solution was used after each laser application. Using image analysis software comparison made. There was no difference between two groups for color after third, seventh and fifteenth day. Laser applied group had fewer colored areas ($p < 0.001$). Therefore application of low-level laser results in increased epithelization and wound healing following gingivectomy and gingivoplasty^{16,17}. After gingivectomy in patients with fixed orthodontics for aesthetic purposes using diode low-level laser showed faster and painless wound healing it was proved by

Sobouti et al., 2014 in comparison with those for whom surgical knife was used^{18,19}.

5. Low-Level Laser and Periodontal Flaps

A Split mouth study on coronally advanced flap adjunct with low intensity laser therapy on 10 patients with 74 symmetrical gingival recessions of Miller's classes I and II was conducted by Ozturan et al²⁰. Due to traumatic brushing, patient affected with atleast two buccal gingival recessions of Millers classes I and II which has been adjacent to each other were participated. Depth and width of gingival recession, Probe depth, Keratinized gingival thickness and joint commissure prior to surgery and 12 months post-op were calculated. Laser radiated to target area after CAF and before suturing. Parameters include 588nm power of 120mW with continuous mode and 5 mins radiation duration. Laser was radiated to target area following suturing. Laser therapy given for 7 days for 5 mins. Dressing was not used. Following CAF surgery laser was used to blind the patients mind i.e., in switched off form in the control group. In width, depth of gingival recession, keratinized Gingival thickness and clinical attachment level ($P=0.018$, $P=0.009$, $P=0.015$, $P=0.014$) difference were found. Complete root coating in test group ($n=7.70\%$) was more than that of control group ($n=3.30\%$). Considering these authors suggested laser application may enhance treatment prognoses following CAF^{21,22}.

13 patients were studied in a split mouth randomized controlled clinical trial, a study on the effect of 810 nm diode laser on post-op. Pain and tissue response following modified widmanflap surgery in humans performed by Sanz-Moliner et al²³. Aluminium-Gallium-Zinc-Arsenide diode laser with 810nm power 1W was used in continuously radiated for 10 seconds and for 30 seconds it was stopped. And again power of 0.1W was radiated. The switched-off laser was radiated to target area in control group after MWF performance.

Between two surgeries the time span was 3 weeks. The same person performed all surgeries. Patient were prescribed Ibuprofen (200mg) for pain relief every 8 hrs after the operations. Based on modified visual analogue scale from 0-10, patient were asked to note their pain level for a week every night & also number of sedative tablets taken was noted. Considering color and tissue edema

tissue response was also documented as a secondary variable in physical examination. Between the two groups for tissue edema ($P < 0.041$), dose of sedative drug taken ($P < 0.001$), post-op pain ($P < 0.001$) significant difference was found. But for tissue color ($P = 0.98$) there was no difference. After the second surgery patient reported more pain. Therefore the application of diode laser 810 nm along with MWF results into pain reduction and post-op edema. Therefore author concluded laser application is useful as compared to surgery^{24,25}.

6. Low-Level Laser and Free Gingival Graft

In split mouth randomized clinical trial a study on utilization of low-intensity laser during healing of free gingival grafts was conducted by Almeida et al. In one month 10 patients underwent double-sided gingival graft in the mandible done by the same surgeon. Diode-Aluminium-Gallium-Arsenide laser with a wavelength of 660nm (RED) for fast repair effect and with a wavelength of 780 nm (Infrared) for anti-pain effect following graft in the test group. The parameters with a power of 40mW energy dose of 10j/cm²^{26,27}. Continuously emitted onto each side after surgery and 48 hrs post-op laser was used twice. To make them believe switched off laser was used in control group following free gingival graft. At 7, 15, 30 & 60 days post-op photographs were produced that was studied based on morphology, texture and shade by 5 skillful periodontist. Based on visual analogue scale from 0-10. Patients were asked to record their pain level for 3 hrs, 24 hrs and 7 days post-op. Between two groups no difference were found. So from this low-level laser would not be useful in pain reduction and wound healing was concluded^{28,29}. A Split mouth randomized clinical trial a study on "Evaluation of effect of 660nm low power laser on pain and healing in palatal donor site conducted by Moslemi et al. In that 12 patients participated. Diode laser with 660 nm and power of 200mW was applied for 32 seconds to target site on 1,2,4,7 post-op following free gingival graft OPS in the test group. Switched -off laser was used in the control group in the same way. Photographic images were used to evaluate amount of epithelialization. H₂O₂ and for clinical repair observations³⁰. To assess pain scale, sedative drugs taken were recorded. Palatal

group in laser -applied group was significantly better healed than control group regarding clinical repair and epithelialization in day 14. Epithelialization amount was better in laser-applied group than control group in day 21. Therefore author finally concluded low-level laser may heal wound in palatal graft site^{31,32}.

By reducing biochemical markers, oxidative stress and edema in a dose-related relation (active dose range 0.3 to 19 j/cm² with average dose 7.5j/cm² low level laser may able relieve pain it was mentioned by Bjordal et al.,^{33,34} in a systematic review article. In the first 72 hours POST-OP the anti pain effect of low level laser with a high radiation density is more effective. For faster pain relief low level laser doses have to be continued it was concluded by author previous studies shown that low density laser would relieve pain faster and high doses reduce reproduction of fibroblast and growth factor release. Anyway low level laser radiation depends. On various parameters the difference in research results attributed to such parameters^{35,36}.

7. Clinical Applications of Low-Level Laser Therapy

It includes promotion of wound healing in sites like surgical wounds extraction sites recurrent aphthous ulcerations etc³⁷. Main advantage of using LLLT in dental and periodontal treatment it has the ability to speed up healing process³⁸. Also used in pain management in the treatment of gingivectomies fibroblast keratinocyte motility, collagen synthesis angiogenesis and growth factor release all these were facilitated by low level laser^{39,40}.

7.1 Advantage

- Low Level Laser Therapy is an effective treatment against chronic and acute injuries.
- laser therapy has also been shown to effectively reduce pain and inflammation caused by musculoskeletal conditions such as temporomandibular joint disorder.
- The low-level light stimulates your body's own healing process without the risk of burning.
- If you're sensitive or allergic to harsh medications, LLLT is a wonderful alternative that is safe, natural, and effective.

7.2 Disadvantage

- Patients do not typically get full relief or resolution from their pain symptoms after the first treatment.
- Patients often have to return to the doctor for treatments at least 2 to 4 times per week.
- Old injuries may be aggravated for a few days after treatments, but for most patients this sensation is short term, lasting for a couple of days.

8. Conclusion

Thus after LLLT, enhanced cell metabolic function shows activation of photo-receptors within the electron transport chain of mitochondria.

9. References

1. Talmor M, Bleustein CB, Poppas DP. Laser tissue welding: A biotechnological advance for the future. *Arch Facial Plast Surg.* 2001; 3:207–13. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archfaci.3.3.207> PMID:11497508
2. Carroll JD, Milward MR, Cooper PR, Hadis M, Palin WM. Developments in low level light therapy (LLLT) for Dentistry. *Dent Mater.* 2014; 30:465–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2014.02.006> PMID:24656472
3. Smith KC. Ten Lectures on Basic Science of Laser Phototherapy. *Photochemistry and Photobiology.* 2007; 83:1539–40. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-1097.2007.00229.x>
4. Oton-Leite AF, Silva GB, Morais MO, Silva TA, Leles CR. Effect of low-level laser therapy on chemo radiotherapy-induced oral mucositis and salivary inflammatory mediators in head and neck cancer patients. *Lasers Surg Med.* 2015; 47:296–305. <https://doi.org/10.1002/lsm.22349> PMID:25824475
5. Morais MO, Elias MR, Leles CR, Dourado Pinezi JC, Mendonça EF. The effect of preventive oral care on treatment outcomes of a cohort of oral cancer patients. *Support Care Cancer.* 2015; 1-8.
6. Arbabi-Kalati F, Arbabi-Kalati F, Moridi T. Evaluation of the effect of low level laser on prevention of chemotherapy induced mucositis. *Acta Med Iran.* 2013; 51:157–62. PMID:23605599
7. McCarthy DK. *Laser curettage using the pulsed Nd:YAG Laser in Vivo.* Boston, Massachusetts, USA: North American Academy of Laser Dentistry; 1990.
8. Midda M. Innovation et technologie en biologie et médecine. Actes du deuxieme congre modial. L, impact des lasers en sciences odontologiques. Paris, France; 1990. p. 105.
9. Yukna RA, Bowers GM, Lawrence JJ, et al. A clinical study of healing in humans following the excisional new attachment procedure. *J Periodontol.* 1976; 47:696–700. <https://doi.org/10.1902/jop.1976.47.12.696>
10. Robert AC, Donald JC. *Laser Fundamentals. Principles and Practice of Laser Dentistry.* Mosby; 2011. p. 12–26. PMID:21805451
11. Einstein A. Zur Quantentheorie der Strahlung. *Phys Z.* 1917; 18:121–8.
12. Maiman TH. Stimulated optical radiation by ruby. *Nature.* 1960; 187:493–4. <https://doi.org/10.1038/187493a0>
13. Goldman L, Hornby P, Meyer R, Goladman B. Impact of the lasers on dental caries. *Nature.* 1964; 203:417. <https://doi.org/10.1038/203417a0> PMID:14197393
14. Ishikawa I, Aoki A, Takasaki AA, Mizutani K, Sasaki KM, Izumi Y. Application of lasers in periodontics: true innovation or myth? *Periodontol 2000.* 2009; 50:90–126. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0757.2008.00283.x> PMID:19388956
15. Mester E, Korényi-Both A, spiry T, Tisza S. The effect of laser irradiation on the regeneration of muscle fibers (preliminary report). *Z Exp Chir.* 1975; 8(4):258–62. PMID:1053185
16. Lanzafame RJ, Stadler I, Coleman J, Haerum B, Oskoui P, Whittaker M, et al. Temperature-controlled 830-nm low-level laser therapy of experimental pressure ulcers. *Photomed Laser Surg.* 2004; 22(6):483–8. <https://doi.org/10.1089/pho.2004.22.483> PMID:15684747
17. Woodruff LD, Bounkeo JM, Brannon WM, Dawes KS, Barham CD, Waddell DL, et al. The efficacy of laser therapy in wound repair: A meta-analysis of the literature. *Photomed Laser Surg.* 2004; 22(3):241–7. <https://doi.org/10.1089/1549541041438623> PMID:15315732
18. Conlan MJ, Rapley JW, Cobb CM. Biostimulation of wound healing by low-energy laser irradiation A review. *J Clin Periodontol.* 1996; 23(5):492–6. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-051X.1996.tb00580.x> PMID:8783057
19. Pejic A, Kojovic D, Kesic L, Obradovic R. The effects of low level laser irradiation on gingival inflammation. *Photomed Laser Surg.* 2010; 28(1):69–74. <https://doi.org/10.1089/pho.2008.2301> PMID:19929224
20. Qadri T, Miranda L, Tunér J, Gustafsson A. The short-term effects of low-level lasers as adjunct therapy in the treatment of periodontal inflammation. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2005; 32(7):714–9. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-051X.2005.00749.x> PMID:15966876
21. Gordon SA, Surrey K. Red and far-red light action on oxidative phosphorylation. *Radiat Res.* 1960 Apr; 12:325–39. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3571041> PMID:13851234
22. Lubart R, Eichler M, Lavi R, Friedman H, Shainberg A. Low-energy laser irradiation promotes cellular redox

- activity. *Photomed Laser Surg.* 2005 Feb; 23(1):3–9. <https://doi.org/10.1089/pho.2005.23.3> PMID:15782024
23. Yamada EF, Villaverde AGJB, Munin E, Zângaro RA, Pacheco MTT. Effect of low power laser therapy on edema dynamics: sensing by using the electrical capacitance method. *Proc SPIE.* 2004; 5319:355–62. <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.528105>
 24. Kato K, Shinzawa K, Yoshikawa S. Cytochrome oxidase is a possible photoreceptor in mitochondria. *Photobiochem Photobiophys.* 1981 Jan; 2:263–9.
 25. Dourado DM, Fávero S, Matias R, de Tarso P, Carvalho C, da Cruz-Hofling MA. Low-level laser therapy promotes vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1 expression in endothelial and nonendothelial cells of mice gastrocnemius exposed to snake venom. *Photochem Photobiol.* 2011 Mar-Apr; 87(2):418–26. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-1097.2010.00878.x> PMID:21166811
 26. Cury V, Moretti AIS, Assis L, Bossini P, Crusca JS, Neto CB, Fangel R, de Souza HP, Hamblin MR, Parizotto NA. Low level laser therapy increases angiogenesis in a model of ischemic skin flap in rats mediated by VEGF, HIF-1 α and MMP-2. *Photochem Photobiol.* 2013; 125:164–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2013.06.004> PMID:23831843 PMCID:PMC3759230
 27. Asimova M, Thanh NC. Laser induced photodissociation of oxyhemoglobin: optical method of elimination of hypoxia (oxygen deficiency in biotissue). *Opt Spectrosc.* 2011 Aug; 111(2):224–9. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0030400X11080066>
 28. Heu F, Forster C, Namer B, Dragu A, Lang W. Effect of low-level laser therapy on blood flow and oxygen-hemoglobin saturation of the foot skin in healthy subjects: A pilot study. *Laser Therapy.* 2013; 22(1):21–30. <https://doi.org/10.5978/islsm.13-OR-03> PMID:24155546 PMCID:PMC3799046
 29. Carrera M, Pereira MC, Bacellar de Pinho C, Medradoa ARP, de Araújo Andradec Z, de Almeida Reis SR. Influence of 670 nm low-level laser therapy on mast cells and vascular response of cutaneous injuries. *J Photochem Photobiol B: Biology.* 2010; 98:188–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2009.12.005> PMID:20117017
 30. Mi XQ, Chen JY, Zhou LW. Effect of low power laser irradiation on disconnecting the membrane-attached haemoglobin from erythrocyte membrane. *J Photochem Photobiol B: Biology.* 2006; 83:146–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2005.12.018> PMID:16481193
 31. Visser H, Mausberg R. Free gingival grafts using a CO₂ Laser: Results of a clinical study. *J Clin Laser Med Surg.* 1996; 14(2):85–8. <https://doi.org/10.1089/clm.1996.14.85> PMID:9484081
 32. Pfeifer J. The growth of gingival tissue over denuded bone. *J Periodontol.* 1963; 34:10–6. <https://doi.org/10.1902/jop.1963.34.1.10>
 33. Mlinek A, Smukler H, Buchner A. The use of free gingival grafts for the coverage of denuded roots. *J Periodontol.* 1973; 44(4):248–54. <https://doi.org/10.1902/jop.1973.44.4.248> PMID:4511384
 34. Dello Russo NM. Esthetic use of a free gingival autograft to cover an amalgam tattoo: report of case. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 1981; 102(3):334–5. <https://doi.org/10.14219/jada.archive.1981.0036> PMID:6936474
 35. Farnoush AA. Techniques for the protection and coverage of the donor sites in free soft tissue grafts. *J Periodontol.* 1978; 49(8):403–5. <https://doi.org/10.1902/jop.1978.49.8.403> PMID:288907
 36. Griffin TJ, Cheung WS, Zavras AI, Damoulis PD. Postoperative complications following gingival augmentation procedures. *J Periodontol.* 2006; 77(12):2070–9. <https://doi.org/10.1902/jop.2006.050296> PMID:17209793
 37. Wessel JR, Tatakis DN. Patient outcomes following sub-epithelial connective tissue graft and free gingival graft procedures. *J Periodontol.* 2008; 79(3):425–30. <https://doi.org/10.1902/jop.2008.070325> PMID:18315424
 38. Almeida AL, Esper LA, Sbrana MC, Ribeiro IW, Kaizer RO. Utilization of low-intensity laser during healing of free gingival grafts. *Photomed Laser Surg.* 2009; 27(4):561–4. <https://doi.org/10.1089/pho.2008.2292> PMID:19514815
 39. Moslemi N, Heidari MM, Fekrazad R, Nokhbatolfoghahaie H, Yaghoobee S, Shamshiri A, et al. Evaluation of the effect of 660nm low power laser on pain and healing in palatal donor site: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *J Dent Med-Tehran Univ Med Sci.* 2014; 27(1):71–7.
 40. Bjordal JM, Johnson MI, Iversen V, Aimbire F, Lopes-Martins RA. Low-level laser therapy in acute pain: a systematic review of possible mechanisms of action and clinical effects in randomized placebo-controlled trials. *Photomed Laser Surg.* 2006; 24(2):158–68. <https://doi.org/10.1089/pho.2006.24.158> PMID:16706694