

Indian Journal of Chemical Technology Vol. 30, November 2023, pp. 805-811 DOI: 10.56042/ijct.v30i6.6544



Oxidative degradation of phenol in aqueous solution by using heat, ZVI, AC, heat/ZVI, or heat/AC activated persulfate

Yabing Qi

School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Xi'an University of Architecture and Technology, Xi'an 710 055, Shaanxi, P. R. China *E-mail: qiyabing123@163.com, yabingqi@xauat.edu.cn

Received 15 August 2022; accepted 29 September 2023

Degradation of phenol by heat-activated, ZVI-activated, AC-activated, heat/AC-activated, and heat/ZVI-activated persulfate have been investigated. As a result, the degradation of phenol fitted well with pseudo-first order kinetic model. For the solution with phenol concentration of 100 mg/L, degradation efficiency of nearly 100% and reaction rate constant of 0.0506 min⁻¹ are realized by heat-activated persulfate when reaction temperature is 70°C, concentration of persulfate is 15 g/L, reaction time is 120 min under neutral pH. Besides, the highest degradation efficiency and reaction rate constant of phenol by ZVI-activated are respectively, 92.85% and 0.0198 min⁻¹ when concentration of ZVI is 5 g/L, concentration of persulfate was 15 g/L, and reaction time is 120 min under neutral pH. Furthermore, the highest degradation efficiency and reaction efficiency and reaction rate constant of phenol by AC-activated persulfate are 99.1% and 0.0373 min⁻¹, respectively with persulfate concentration of 5 g/L and AC concentration of 5 g/L under neutral pH in 120 min. Moreover, the heat/AC-activated and heat/ZVI-activated persulfate are respectively, 0.0512 and 0.0561 min⁻¹. The radical scavenger experiments proved that both SO₄^{-•} are predominant radicals in degradation of phenol.

Keywords: Activated persulfate, Free radicals, Oxidative degradation, Phenol degration, Reaction kinetics

Refractory phenolic pollutants are often present in industrial wastewater from industries such as coal, petrochemical, pharmaceutical, plastic processing, paint, papermaking and wood processing wastewater, etc.¹⁻⁵. Phenolic pollutants are so toxic and biorefractory that they are classed by the related official environmental protection agency as one kind of primary pollutant that abide by specific regulation. Therefore, phenolic pollutants should be treated before discharging into the environment. At present, the treatment method of phenolic pollutants include steam distillation, absorption, extraction, membrane separation. chemical oxidation. and advanced oxidation, etc.². Compared with the other treatment technologies of phenolic pollutants, advanced oxidation processes have unparalleled advantages. such as high degradation and mineralization efficiency, low reagent dosage, low energy consumption, and low secondary pollution.

The degradation of refractory organic pollutants by advanced oxidation process based on sulfate radicals (SO_4^{-}) has attracted much attention due to its economical, efficient, environmentally friendly, safe and stable advantages. Peroxydisulfate (PMS), or

persulfate (PS) caused by the activators could generate highly active sulfate radicals and hydroxyl radical (OH•) to oxidize and degrade refractory organic pollutants⁶. Up to now, degradation of phenolic pollutants by activated PMS/PS contains external energy, external catalyst, and synergistic activation. The external energy activation involves heat-activated, microwave irradiation-activated, lightactivated, sono-activated, electro-activated, and plasma-activated PMS/PS, etc.⁷⁻¹². Besides, the external catalyst activation embraces transition metals-activated, and carbon materials-activated PMS/PS, etc.¹³⁻¹⁴. Among them, heat-activated, transition metals-activated, and carbon materialsactivated PMS/PS are the most common degradation methods of phenolic pollutants. Recently, Bisphenol A (BPA) is oxidized and degraded by heat-activated persulfate at temperature of 40~70°C and initial pH of 3~11. The acidic and neutral pH values are more favourable for BPA degradation than basic pH values¹⁵. Furthermore. the degradation of chloroxylenol in aqueous solution by thermally activated persulfate has been realized at neutral pH. The sulfate radicals and hydroxyl radicals work

together in combination, and sulfate radicals play the predominant role during process of degradation of chloroxylenol¹⁶. Besides, the mixture of nonylphenol and triclosan in aqueous solution by thermally activated persulfate has been effectively degraded. It is proved that a single-electron coupling reaction induced by OH• as the degradation dominant route in the mixed system¹⁷. Except for heat-activated PMS/PS, there are also some examples on transition metals-activated PMS/PS. For example, the synergistic degradation of phenol and reduction of chromium(VI) by FeS₂/Fe⁰(ZVI) activated persulfate have been successfully achieved with a pH range of $3.0 \sim 9.0^{(\text{Ref. 18})}$. The degradation of phenol by FeCo₂O₄ activated PMS/PS are also carried out under near neutral and alkaline conditions. The results indicate that sulfate radical (SO_4^{-}) , hydroxyl radical (OH^{\bullet}) and singlet oxygen $({}^{1}O_{2})$ play significant roles in degradation of phenol in PMS system. At the same time, both non-radical and free radical mechanisms between PS and the FeCo₂O₄ catalyst surface play major roles in phenol degradation¹⁹. Moreover, the degradation of phenol by biochar activated PS is reported. It indicates that $SO_4 \bullet$, $OH \bullet$ and 1O_2 are responsible for degradation of phenol. ¹O₂ plays the dominant role, OH• takes a secondary position and SO_4^{-} plays the least role²⁰.

However, there are few attempts on degradation of phenol by heat-activated, Fe⁰(ZVI)-activated, and activated carbon (AC)-activated PMS/PS. Therefore, the degradation processes of phenol by heat-activated, ZVI-activated, AC-activated, heat/AC-activated, and heat/ZVI-activated PS have been investigated in this paper. The reaction rate constants have been also calculated. Finally, free radicals in degradation process of phenol have been inferred through free radical scavenger experiments and the related literature.

Experimental Section

Materials and instruments

The details of materials in the paper are listed in Table 1. All the materials were purchased from Shanghai Macklin Biochemical Co., Ltd. and Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. The details of the instruments were listed in Table 2.

Experimental procedures

100 mL phenol solution of concentration of 100 mg/L was injected into the reactor. Then, certain

Table 1 — Details of the materials used in the paper							
Materials	Mass fraction	Source					
Phenol	≥99.5%	Shanghai Macklin					
Sodium persulfate	≥99.0%	Biochemical Co.,					
Iron powder (Fe ⁰)	≥99.5%	Ltd					
Granular activated carbon							
tert-Butanol	≥99.8%						
Methanol	≥99.9%						
4-Aminoantipyrine	≥98.5%	Sinopharm					
Potassium ferricyanide	≥99.5%	Chemical Reagent					
Ammonium chloride	≥99.5%	Co., Ltd.					
Ammonium hydroxide							
Sodium thiosulfate	≥99.0%						

Table 2 — Details of the instruments used in the paper

Instruments	Pattern	Producer
Digital electric agitator	EUMIX-R30	Changzhou Nuo Ji Instrument Co., Ltd.
Water bath	HH-6	Changzhou Tian Rui Instrument Co., Ltd.
Magnetic stirrer	MPLK-701	Jin Tan Da Di Instrument Co., Ltd.
Spectrophotometer	752N	Shanghai Exact Science Instrument Co., Ltd.
Electronic analytical balance	ZA22OR4	Shanghai Zan Wei Weighing Apparatus Co., Ltd.

amount of sodium persulfate and catalysts were successively put in the reactor. The reaction temperature was set and the stirrer was turned on until the experiment completed. After degradation experiment, the solution was filtered by filter paper for several times and the clear filtrate was ready for determination of phenol concentration.

Determination of phenol concentration

The phenol concentration in aqueous solution is analyzed by a 4-aminoantipyrine (4-AAP) spectrophotometric method described in the previous literature².

Pseudo-first order kinetic model

The pseudo-first order kinetic model during degradation of phenol can be expressed as follows²¹:

$$d(C_t/C_0)/dt = -k_{obs}(C_t/C_0)$$
 ... (1)

Where C_t and C_0 are concentration of phenol at time t and initial concentration of phenol, respectively, k_{obs} is reaction rate constant, *t* is reaction time, *C* is related constant. Eq. (2) can be obtailed from Eq. (1).

$$\ln(C_t/C_0) = -k_{obs}t + C \qquad \dots (2)$$

 $\ln(C_t/C_0)$ and t are taken as vertical ordinate and horizontal ordinate, respectively, and a straight line is

plotted. k_{obs} is equal to the absolute value of slope of the straight line.

Degradation efficiency

The degradation efficiency (*D*) of phenol is defined as follows:



Fig. 1 — Degradation of phenol by heat activated persulfate (other conditions: $C_0=100$ mg/L, $C_{PS}=15$ g/L, near neutral pH)

$$D = (C_0 - C_t) / C_0 \times 100\%$$
 ... (3)

Results and Discussion

Degradation of phenol by activated persulfate

Heat-activated persulfate

The effects of reaction temperature and time on degradation of phenol by heat-activated persulfate are shown in Fig. 1 and Table 3. It was seen that dimensionless concentration of residual phenol (C_t/C_0) decreased sharply with reaction time in the first 60 minutes, then decreased slowly and remained steady at 120 min. The dimensionless concentration phenol decreased of residual with reaction temperature ranging from 50 to 100°C. The dimensionless concentration of residual phenol decreased from 1 to almost 0 in 120 min at 70-100°C. It meant that the degradation efficiency of phenol could be close to 100% at 70-100°C in 120 min under neutral pH. In view of degradation efficiency, heatactivated persulfate might be a good choice for degradation of phenol. However, the process of heatactivated persulfate consumed more energy. Hence, comprehensive consideration was needed when reaction temperature was chosen. The degradation process of phenol by heat-activated persulfate fitted well with pseudo-first order kinetic model. The reaction rate constant k_{obs} increased rapidly from 0.0020 min⁻¹ (in 120 min) to 0.0506 (in 120 min) to 0.1213 min⁻¹ (in 60 min) at reaction temperature ranging from 50 to 70 to 100 °C under neutral pH. These results were similar with the findings of previous literature¹⁷. The reason can be explained by Arrhenius equation as given below.

$$\ln(k_{obs}) = \ln A - E_a / (RT)$$

		0				0 0		1	
Activation	$C_0/\mathrm{mg}\cdot\mathrm{L}^{-1}$	$C_{ m Fe}/ m g\cdot L^{-1}$	$C_{\rm AC}/{\rm g}\cdot{\rm L}^{-1}$	$C_{\rm PS}/{\rm g}\cdot{\rm L}^{-1}$	<i>T</i> /°C	pН	<i>t</i> /min	D/%	$k_{\rm obs}/{\rm min}^{-1}$
heat	100	_	_	15	50	near neutrality	120	19.17	0.0020
heat	100	_	_	15	70		120	100	0.0506
heat	100		_	15	100		60	100	0.1213
Fe ⁰	100	1	_	1	—		120	14.02	0.0011
Fe ⁰	100	1	_	3	_	near neutrality	120	23.12	0.0019
Fe ⁰	100	5	_	5	_		120	40.38	0.0044
Fe ⁰	100	5	_	15	_		120	92.85	0.0198
AC	100		1	1	—		120	57.45	0.0070
AC	100		1	3	_	near neutrality	120	27.73	0.0028
AC	100		5	5	_		120	99.1	0.0373
AC	100		5	15	—		120	97.9	0.0283
Heat/Fe ⁰	100	5	_	15	70	near neutrality	120	100	0.0512
Heat/AC	100	_	5	5	70		120	100	0.0561

Table 3 — Degradation efficiencies and reaction rate constants during the degradation of phenol



Fig. 2 — Degradation of phenol by Fe^0 activated persulfate (other conditions: $C_0=100 \text{ mg/L}$, room temperature, near neutral pH)

In the above equation, A is the pre-exponential factor, E_a is the apparent activation energy (kJ mol⁻¹), R is universal gas constant (8.314 × 10⁻³ kJ·mol⁻¹·K⁻¹), T is reaction temperature (K). A and E_a are constants in the specific reaction system. Therefore, k_{obs} increases with reaction temperature. More SO₄^{-•} and OH• could be produced at higher reaction temperature leading to more phenol being degraded. Taking the degradation efficiency and energy saving into consideration, 70°C is chosen as the optimal reaction temperature in degradation of phenol by heat-activated persulfate.

Fe⁰-activated persulfate

The degradation of phenol by Fe^{0} -activated persulfate are shown in Fig. 2 and Table 3. As can be seen that concentration of Fe^{0} and persulfate play vital roles in degradation of phenol. Increasing concentration of Fe^{0} and persulfate facilitated degradation of The dimensionless phenol. concentration of residual phenol was 0.8598 and the degradation efficiency of phenol was 14.02% in 120 min when the concentration of Fe⁰ and persulfate were 1 g/L under neutral pH. Likewise, the dimensionless concentration of residual phenol decreased to 0.5962 and the degradation efficiency of phenol increased to 40.38% in 120 min when the concentration of Fe^0 and persulfate were 5 g/L under Furthermore, dimensionless neutral pH. the concentration of residual phenol decreased to 0.0715 and the degradation efficiency of phenol was up to 92.85% in 120 min when concentration of Fe⁰ was 5 g/L, and concentration of persulfate was 15 g/L under neutral pH. It is due to the increased amount of Fe^{0} increasing the release of Fe^{2+} and then facilitating activation of persulfate to produce SO_4^{-22} . The existence of Fe²⁺ can significantly affect the degradation efficiency of organic pollutants. A similar result was found in the literature²³. Furthermore, more persulfate molecular could react with Fe⁰ and Fe²⁺ on surface of iron powder due to increasing of persulfate concentration and result in improvement of degradation of phenol. The reaction rate constant k_{obs} were 0.0011, 0.0019, 0.0044 and 0.0198 min⁻¹, respectively, in 120 min when the concentration of Fe^o and persulfate were 1 g/L and 1 g/L, 1 g/L and 3 g/L, 5 g/L and 5 g/L or 5 g/L and 15 g/L under neutral pH. In order to gain high degradation efficiency of phenol, the recommended concentration of Fe⁰ and persulfate were respectively, 5 g/L and 15 g/L.

AC-activated persulfate

The degradation of phenol by AC activated persulfate are shown in Fig. 3 and Table 3. It could be seen that the increased concentration of persulfate hindered degradation of phenol with the same dosage of AC. The dimensionless concentration of residual phenol with addition of persulfate concentration of 3 g/L was higher than that with 1 g/L. Similarly, the degradation of residual phenol with addition of persulfate concentration of 15 g/L was also higher than that with 5 g/L. Although, increasing the concentration of persulfate could improve the degradation of phenol when there was lack of free radicals in solution. However, the existence of excessive free radicals could lead to quenching between free radicals and decrease the degradation of phenol when the dosage of persulfate was excessive. The increased persulfate and AC concentration simultaneously could improve the degradation of



Fig. 3 — Degradation of phenol by AC activated persulfate (other conditions: $C_0=100$ mg/L, room temperature, near neutral pH)

phenol. The dimensionless concentration of residual phenol with addition of persulfate concentration of 5 g/L and AC concentration of 5 g/L was lower than that with addition of persulfate concentration of 1 g/L and AC concentration of 1g/L. The least dimensionless concentration of residual phenol reached 0.009 and the highest degradation efficiency of phenol was 99.1% with persulfate concentration of 5 g/L and AC concentration of 5 g/L in 120 min under neutral pH. It was explained that there were two degradation paths of phenol by AC-activated persulfate. One was free radical path and the other was non-radical path²⁰. The excessive AC offered non-radical path more active sites and facilitated degradation of phenol. On the contrary, the excessive persulfate caused quenching between free radicals and weakened degradation of phenol. Once the nonradical contribution to degradation of phenol exceeded free radical contribution to degradation of phenol, the degradation of phenol was improved by



Fig. 4 — Degradation of phenol by synergistic activation of persulfate (other conditions: $C_0=100$ mg/L, near neutral pH)

the increased persulfate and AC concentration simultaneously. The highest reaction rate constant k_{obs} was 0.0373 min⁻¹ with persulfate concentration of 5 g/L and AC concentration of 5 g/L under neutral pH.

Synergistic activation

The degradation of phenol by heat/AC-activated and heat/Fe⁰-activated persulfate are shown in Fig. 4 and Table 3. The dimensionless concentrations of residual phenol by heat/AC-activated and heat/Fe⁰activated persulfate were far lower than that by heatactivated persulfate in the first 60 min. The heat/ACactivated and heat/Fe⁰-activated persulfate showed obvious synergistic effect during degradation process of phenol. However, all the final degradation efficiencies of phenol by heat, heat/AC-activated and heat/Fe⁰-activated persulfate were close to 100% in 120 min. Besides, the degradation of phenol by heat/AC-activated and heat/Fe⁰-activated persulfate



Fig. 5 — Degradation of phenol by AC activated persulfate with and without scavengers (other conditions: $C_0=100$ mg/L, $C_{AC}=5$ g/L, $C_{PS}=5$ g/L, room temperature, near neutral pH, $C_{TBA}=7.75$ g/L, $C_{MA}=7.92$ g/L)

fitted well with pseudo-first order kinetic model. The reaction rate constants with heat/AC-activated and heat/Fe⁰-activated persulfate were 0.0512 and 0.0561 min⁻¹, respectively.

Radical scavenger experiments

According to the previous experiments, the major oxidative capacity was attributed to generation of active species in degradation system. Therefore, the radical scavenger experiments were performed to seek the active species. As previously mentioned tertbutanol (TBA) and methanol (MA) were regarded as two effective quenching agents for sulfate (SO_4^{-}) and hydroxyl (OH•) radicals, respectively. The reaction rate constants of SO4• and OH• with MA were $1 \times 10^7 \text{ mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{L} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ and $9.7 \times 10^8 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ L} \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively. Hence, MA was used as scavenger for SO₄• and OH• due to the high reaction rate constants with these radicals. The reaction rate constants of SO4- and OHwith TBA were $4 \sim 9.1 \times 10^5$ mol⁻¹ L s⁻¹ and $3.8 \sim 7.6 \times 10^8$ mol⁻¹ L s⁻¹, respectively. The reaction rate constant of OH• with TBA was almost 3 orders of magnitude greater than that of SO₄• with TBA. Therefore, TBA could be selected as scavenger for OH•^{8, 24-27}.

The degradation of phenol by AC activated persulfate with and without scavengers are shown in Fig. 5. The degradation efficiency of phenol by AC activated persulfate without scavengers was 99.1% in 120 min. The degradation efficiency of phenol by AC activated persulfate with methanol decreased to 52.92% in 120 min. The degradation efficiency of phenol by AC activated persulfate with tert-butanol



Fig. 6 — Degradation of phenol by Fe⁰ activated persulfate with and without scavengers (other conditions: $C_0=100$ mg/L, $C_{\rm Fe}=5$ g/L, $C_{\rm PS}=15$ g/L, room temperature, near neutral pH, $C_{\rm TBA}=7.75$ g/L, $C_{\rm MA}=7.92$ g/L)

decreased to 87.5% in 120 min. The results showed that the inhibited degree of phenol degradation efficiency with methanol was comparatively stronger than that with tert-butanol at the same concentration of scavengers. It meant that both $SO_4^{-\bullet}$ and OH^{\bullet} were significant radicals in degradation of phenol. $SO_4^{-\bullet}$ played more important role than OH^{\bullet} in degradation of phenol. The contribution of $SO_4^{-\bullet}$ could be calculated by the following equation²⁴.

Contribution of
$$SO_4 = (D_{OH}/D) \times 100\%$$
 ... (5)

where $D_{.OH}$ was degradation efficiency of phenol with \cdot OH scavenger, D was degradation efficiency of phenol without scavenger. Therefore, the contribution of SO₄[•]• and OH• were 88.29% and 11.71%, respectively, in degradation of phenol by AC activated persulfate.

The degradation of phenol by Fe⁰ activated persulfate with and without scavengers are shown in Fig. 6. The degradation efficiency of phenol by Fe^{0} activated persulfate without scavengers was 92.85% in 120 min. The degradation efficiency of phenol by Fe⁰ activated persulfate with methanol decreased to 14.79% in 120 min. The degradation efficiency of phenol by Fe⁰ activated persulfate with tert-butanol decreased to 88.41% 120 min. It indicated that inhibited degree of phenol degradation efficiency with methanol was comparatively stronger than that with tert-butanol at the same concentration of scavengers. Hence, both SO_4 · and ·OH were significant radicals in degradation of phenol, and SO₄ • are predominant radicals in degradation of phenol by Fe⁰ activated persulfate. The contribution of SO_4^- and OH were 95.21% and 4.78% respectively.

Conclusion

The degradation of phenol by heat-activated, Fe⁰activated, AC-activated, heat/AC-activated, and heat/Fe⁰-activated PS have been carried out. The degradation of phenol fitted well with pseudo-first order kinetic model. During degradation of phenol by heat-activated PS, reaction temperature played a significant role in degradation of phenol. The degradation efficiency and reaction rate constant of phenol were nearly 100% and 0.0506 min⁻¹ respectively, when reaction temperature was 70°C, concentration of persulfate was 15 g/L, reaction time was 120 min under neutral pH. During degradation of phenol by Fe⁰-activated PS, increasing concentration of Fe⁰ and persulfate facilitated degradation of phenol. The highest degradation efficiency and reaction rate constant of phenol were 92.85% and 0.0198 min⁻¹, respectively when concentration of Fe^0 was 5 g/L, concentration of persulfate was 15 g/L, and reaction time was 120 min under neutral pH. During degradation of phenol by AC-activated PS, the increased persulfate and AC concentration simultaneously could improve degradation of phenol. The highest degradation efficiency and reaction rate constant of phenol by AC-activated PS were 99.1% and 0.0373 min⁻¹, respectively, with persulfate concentration of 5 g/L and AC concentration of 5g/L in 120 min under neutral pH. The heat/AC-activated and heat/Fe⁰-activated persulfate showed obvious synergistic effect during degradation process of phenol, respectively. The degradation efficiency of phenol by heat/AC-activated and heat/Fe⁰-activated persulfate were close to 100% in 120 min while the reaction rate constants with heat/AC-activated and heat/Fe⁰-activated persulfate were 0.0512 and 0.0561 \min^{-1} , respectively. The radical scavenger experiments proved that both SO4- and OH- were significant radicals in degradation of phenol by Fe^{0} -activated and AC-activated persulfate, and SO_{4}^{-} . are predominant radicals in degradation of phenol.

Acknowledgement

This work was granted by Scientific Research Project of Beilin District of Xi'an City (No.GX2323), Youth Foundation of Xi'an University of Architecture and Technology (No.QN1509), Talent Foundation of Xi'an University of Architecture and Technology (No.RC1714).

References

- 1 Raza W, Lee J & Raza N, *J Ind Eng Chem*, 71 (2019) 1.
- 2 Qi Y B & Zhang S J, Can J Chem Eng, 101 (2023) 1027.
- 3 Othman N, Noah N F M & Shu L Y, *Chin J Chem Eng*, 25 (2017) 45.
- 4 Ji Q J, Tabassum S & Hena S, J Clean Prod, 126 (2016) 38.
- 5 Yang X Y, Wang B J & Luo H Q, *Chem Eng Res Des*, 157 (2020) 104.
- 6 Matzek L W & Carter K E, Chemosphere, 151 (2016) 178.
- 7 Cai J J, Zhou M H & Yang W L, Chemosphere, 121 (2018) 784.
- 8 Hu L M, Zhang G S & Wang Q, ACS Sust Chem Eng, 7 (2019) 11662.
- 9 Kilic M Y, Abdelraheem W H & He X X, *J Hazard Mater*, 367 (2019) 734.
- 10 Darsinou B, Frontistis Z & Antonopoulou M, Chem Eng J, 280 (2015) 623.
- 11 Ding J, Bu L J & Zhao Q L, J Hazard Mater, 388 (2020) 121789.
- 12 Shang K F, Li W F & Wang X J, Sep Purif Technol, 218 (2019) 106.
- 13 Wang C, Jia S Y & Zhang Y C, Appl Catal B-Environ, 270 (2020) 118819.
- 14 Liang J, Xu X Y & Zhong Q J, J Hazard Mater, 398 (2020) 122861.
- 15 Olmez-Hanci T, Arslan-Alaton I & Genc B, *J Hazard Mater*, 263 (2013) 283.
- 16 Sun Y J, Zhao J J & Zhang B T, Chem Eng J, 368 (2019) 553.
- 17 Qi Y M, Wei J Y & Qu R J, Cheml Eng J, 403 (2021) 126396.
- 18 Yang W C, Li X M & Xi D D, Chemosphere, 281 (2021) 130957.
- 19 Wang C, Zhao J Y & Chen C M, *Appl Surf Sci*, 562 (2021) 150134.
- 20 Miserli K, Kogola D & Paraschoudi I, *Chem Eng J Adv*, 9 (2022) 100201.
- 21 Qi C D, Liu X T & Lin C Y, Chem Eng J, 315 (2017) 201.
- 22 Hussain I, Zhang Y & Huang S, *Chem Eng J*, 203 (2012) 269.
- 23 Li R C, Jin X Y & Megharaj M, *Chem Eng J*, 264 (2015) 587.
- 24 Ghorbanian Z, Asgari G & Samadi M T, J Mol Liq, 296 (2019) 111873.
- 25 Du X D, Zhang Y Q & Si F, Chem Eng J, 356 (2019) 178.
- 26 Ma Q L, Zhang H X & Zhang X Y, *Chem Eng J*, 360 (2019) 848.
- 27 Furman O S, Teel A L & Watts R J, Environ Sci Technol, 44 (2010) 6423.