

differential system or inclusions of fractional order we refer to^{9,10,12} and the references therein.

By the above fact we create a fundamental attempt to realise the study of existence and uniqueness conditions for random impulsive quasilinear neutral functional differential evolution equation using fixed point approach.

In Section 2, we introduce some definitions and example theorems. In section 3, we examine the existence results of quasilinear random impulsive neutral differential equations and example.

2. Preliminaries

We look at the Cauchy problem for the quasilinear IVP.

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) + B(t, x)x(t) = f(t, x(t)), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, \\ x(s) = v \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

with an operator $-B(t, x)$. Assume the following assumptions.

(h1) The domain $D(B(t, x(t))) = D$ of $B(t, x(t)), 0 \leq t \leq T$ is dense in \mathbb{X} .

(h2) For $t \in L$, the resolvent $R(r; B(t, x(t))) = (\gamma I - B(t, x(t)))^{-1}$ of $B(t, x(t))$ exists for all r with $Re\ r \leq 0$ and there is a constant T such that for $Re\ r \leq 0, t \in L$,

$$\|R(r; B(t, x(t)))\| \leq T[|r| + 1]^{-1}$$

(h3) There exists constants G and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ such that $t, s \in L$,

$$B(t, x(t)) - B(s, x(t)) \leq G|t - s|^\alpha$$

Theorem 2.1 ([15]). Let $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathcal{Y}$ and $B(t, c)(t, c) \in I \times \mathbb{C}$ be a family of operators satisfying

(h1) - (h3), there is a unique evolution system $\mathcal{S}_x(t, s)$ on $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$, satisfying

(i) $\mathcal{S}_x(t, s) \leq \mathcal{N}_0$ for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$.

(ii) For $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, \mathcal{S}_x(t, s) : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow D$ and $t \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_x(t, s)$ is strongly differentiable in \mathcal{Y} . The derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{S}_x(t, s) \in \mathbb{C}(\mathcal{Y})$ and it is strongly continuous on $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$.

Furthermore,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{S}_x(t, s) + B(t, x)\mathcal{S}_x(t, s) = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{S}_x(t, s) = B(t, x)\mathcal{S}_x(t, s) \leq \mathcal{N}_0(t - s)^{-1} \text{ and}$$

$$B(t, x)\mathcal{S}_x(t, s)B^{-1}(s, x) \leq \mathcal{N}_0, \text{ for } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T.$$

(iii) For every $\varpi \in D, t \in L, \mathcal{S}_x(t, s)\varpi$ is differentiable with respect to \mathcal{S} on $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{S}_x(t, s)\varpi = -\mathcal{S}_x(t, s)B(s, x(s))\varpi.$$

(iv) $\mathcal{S}_x(t, s)$ is strongly continuous for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ and

$$\mathcal{S}_x(t, \varrho) = \mathcal{S}_x(t, s)\mathcal{S}_x(s, \varrho) \text{ for } \varrho \leq s \leq t,$$

$$\mathcal{S}_x(t, t) = I.$$

From the assumption (h2) and that D is dense in \mathcal{Y} given that for each $t \in [0, T], -B(t, x(t))$.

Define the classical solution of (2) as a function $x : [s, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ which is continuous for $s \leq t \leq T$ continuously differentiable for $s \leq t \leq T, x(t) \in D$ for $s \leq t \leq T, x(s) = v$ and $x'(t) + B(t, x)x(t) = f(t, x(t))$ holds for $s \leq t \leq T, x(t)$ as a solution of the initial IVP (2) if it is a classical solution of the problem.

Theorem 2.2 Let $B(t, x)x(t), 0 \leq t \leq T$ satisfy the condition (h1) - (h3) and let $\mathcal{S}_x(t, s)$ be the evolution system in Theorem 2.1. If f is Holder continuous on $[0, T]$, then the initial value problem (2) has for every $v \in \mathcal{Y}$, a unique solution $x(t)$ given by,

$$x(t) = \mathcal{S}_x(t, s)v + \int_s^t \mathcal{S}_x(t, v)f(v, u(v))dv.$$

The above theorem's proofs can be found in [11].

A function $x \in C(I; v)$ such that $(t) \in D(B(t, x(t)))$

for $t \in (0, a], x \in C^1((0, a]; v)$ and satisfied (2) in \mathcal{Y} is called a classical solution of (2) on I . Moreover \exists a constant $\kappa > 0$ s.t for each $x, v \in C(I; \mathcal{Y})$ with values in C and every $\varpi \in \mathbb{F}$ we have

$$\mathcal{S}_x(t, s)\varpi - \mathcal{S}_v(t, s)\varpi \leq \kappa\varpi \int_s^t x(v) - v(v)dv.$$

Definition 2.1 ([3])

A semigroup $\{\mathcal{S}(t), t \geq t_0\}$ is said to be uniformly bounded if $\mathcal{S}(t) \leq C$ for all $t \geq t_0$

where $C \geq 1$ is some constant. If $C = 1$, then the semigroup is said to be contraction semigroup.

Definition 2.2 ([3])

For a given $T \in (t_0, +\infty)$, a stochastic process $\{x(t) \in \mathcal{B}_T, -\infty < t \leq T\}$ is called a mild solution to system (1) in $(\Omega, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{F}_t)$, if

$$x(t) \in \mathcal{B}_T \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_t \text{ adapted;}$$

$$x(t_0 + s) = \psi(s) \text{ when } s \in (-\infty, 0], \text{ and}$$

$$\psi x(t) = \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(v_j) B_0^\delta \mathcal{S}_x(t, 0) [\varphi(0) - g(0, \varphi)] \right.$$

$$- \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(v_j) B_0^\delta g(t, x_t)$$

$$- \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(v_j) B_0^\delta$$

$$\int_{\varsigma_{i-1}}^{\varsigma_j} \mathcal{S}_x(t, s) B(s, x) g(s, x_s) ds$$

$$- \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_0^\delta \int_{\varsigma_k}^t \mathcal{S}_x(t, s) B(s, x) g(s, x_s) ds$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(v_j) B_0^\delta \int_{\varsigma_{i-1}}^{\varsigma_j} \mathcal{S}_x(t, s) f(s, x_s) ds$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_0^\delta \int_{\varsigma_k}^t \mathcal{S}_x(t, s) f(s, x_s) ds \Big] I_{[\varsigma_k, \varsigma_{k+1}]}(t)$$

where $\prod_{j=m}^n (\cdot) = 1$ as $m > n$,

$$\prod_{j=1}^k (b_j(\tau_j)) = b_k(\tau_k) b_{k-1}(\tau_{k-1}) \dots b_1(\tau_1), \text{ and}$$

$I_A(\cdot)$ is the index function, i.e.,

$$I_A(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } t \in A \\ 0, & \text{if } t \notin A \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.3

Let B be a convex subset of a Banach space E and assume 0 belongs to B . Let $F : B \rightarrow B$ be a completely continuous operator and let

$$U(F) = \{x \in B : x = \mathcal{V}Fx \text{ for some } 0 < \mathcal{V}\}$$

Then either $U(F)$ is unbounded or F has a fixed point.

Lemma 2.4 ([3])

Assume that the following conditions hold:

For $0 < \eta \leq 1$, X_η is a Banach space

For $0 < \eta \leq \beta \leq 1$, the embedding $X_\beta \rightarrow X_\eta$ is continuous.

There exists a constant $C_\eta > 0$ depending on $0 < \eta \leq 1$ such that

$$A^\eta \mathcal{S}(t) \leq \frac{C_\eta}{t^{2\eta}}, t > 0$$

3. Existence Results

In this section, we tend to discuss regarding the solutions for quasilinear differential equation with random impulsive condition by using fractional powers of operators and Schauder fixed point approach.

Let $s > 0$, take $B_s = \{v \in X; v_{pc} < s\}$ and assume the subsequent conditions.

(d1) There exists a constant $E_\zeta > 0$ depending on $0 < \zeta \leq 1$ such that

$$B^\zeta \mathcal{S}(t) \leq \frac{E_\zeta}{t^{2\zeta}}, t > 0$$

(d2) The mapping $g : [t_0, T] \times \hat{E} \rightarrow X$ satisfy the

there exists a number $\zeta \in [0, 1]$ such that for any $x,$

$y \in \hat{E}, t \in [t_0, T]$ and $g(t, x_t) \in D(B^\zeta)$ and

$$B^\zeta g(t, x_t) - B^\zeta g(t, y_t) \leq D_g x - y_t,$$

$$D_g > 0$$

(d3) For all $t \in [t_0, T]$, it follows that $f(t, 0), B^\zeta g(t, 0) \in L^1$ such that

$$f(t, 0) \leq P_f$$

$$B^\zeta g(t, 0) \leq P_g,$$

where $P_f, P_g > 0$ are constants.

(d4) The condition

$$\max_{i,k} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(v_j) \right\} \leq M$$

(d5) $x_0 \in D(B_0^\delta)$, for any $\lambda > \delta$ and $B_0^\delta x_0 < r$

(d6) The function $f(\cdot, \omega)$ is strongly measurable on $[0, T] \forall \omega \in \hat{E}$ and $f(t, \cdot) \in E(\hat{E}, X)$ for each $t \in [0, T]$. There exists a non-decreasing function $Y_f \in E(R^+, (0, \infty))$ and $n_f \in H^p([0, T], R^+)$ such that

$$f(t, \omega_t) \leq n_f(t) Y_f(\omega_t), \text{ for all } (t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \hat{E}$$

(d7) Now, from the properties of $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ and f , the Bochner's criterion for integrable functions and the inequality,

$$T(t-s) f(s, x_s + z_s)^2 \leq E_0^2 n_f(s) Y_f \frac{(x_s + z_s)^2}{(t-s)^{2\beta}}$$

(d8) The function f is continuous and for all $\beta > 0$ with $[0, \beta](\pi, \hat{E}) \subset [0, T] \times \hat{E}$, there exists

$$\beta_{f, \beta} \in \mathbb{R}^q([0, T], R^+) \text{ such that,}$$

$$f(s, \psi_1) - f(s, \psi_2) \leq \beta_{f, \beta}(s) \psi_1 - \psi_2$$

And suppose the following conditions are:

(G1) The operator $B_0 = B(0, x_0)$ is a closed operator with domain D , dense in \mathcal{Y} and

$$(\lambda I - B_0)^{-1} \leq T_1 [\lambda + 1]^{-1}, \forall \lambda \text{ with } Re \lambda \leq 0.$$

(G2) For some α belongs to $[0, 1)$ and for any v belongs to \mathbb{B}_s , the operator $B(t, B_0^{-\alpha})v$ is well defined on D for all t belongs to L . Also, for any t, v belongs to L and for $v, \varpi \in \mathbb{B}_s$,

$$\left[B(t, B_0^{-\alpha} v) - B(t, B_0^{-\alpha} \varpi) \right] B_0^{-1} \leq T_2 |t - v|^\delta + v - \varpi^p$$

Where $0 < \epsilon \leq 1, 0 < \rho \leq 1$.

(G3) For every $t, v \in L$ and $v, \varpi \in \mathbb{B}_s$,

$$g(t, B_0^{-\alpha} v) - g(t, B_0^{-\alpha} \varpi) \leq T_3 \left[|t - v|^\delta + v - \varpi^p \right]$$

(G4) For every $t, v \in L$ and $v, \varpi \in \mathbb{B}_s$,

$$f(t, B_0^{-\alpha} v) - f(t, B_0^{-\alpha} \varpi) \leq T_4 \left[|t - v|^\delta + v - \varpi^p \right]$$

(G5) $x_0 \in D(B_0^\lambda)$, for any $\lambda > \delta$ and $B_0^\delta x_0 < r$

Theorem 3.1

If the hypotheses (d1) - (d8) be hold. Then there exists a mild solution of $x(t)$ of equation (1).

Proof:

Let T be an arbitrary number $t_0 < T < +\infty$. Define $\Psi: B \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi x(t) = & \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(v_j) B_0^\delta \mathcal{S}_x(t, 0) [\varphi(0) - g(0, \varphi)] \right. \\ & - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(v_j) B_0^\delta g(t, x_t) \\ & - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(v_j) B_0^\delta \\ & \int_{\varsigma_{i-1}}^{\varsigma_i} \mathcal{S}_x(t, s) B(s, x) g(s, x_s) ds \\ & - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_0^\delta \int_{\varsigma_k}^t \mathcal{S}_x(t, s) B(s, x) g(s, x_s) ds \\ & + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(v_j) B_0^\delta \int_{\varsigma_{i-1}}^{\varsigma_i} \mathcal{S}_x(t, s) f(s, x_s) ds \\ & \left. + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_0^\delta \int_{\varsigma_k}^t \mathcal{S}_x(t, s) f(s, x_s) ds \right] I_{[\varsigma_k, \varsigma_{k+1}]}(t) \end{aligned}$$

Step:1 Ψ is bounded

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi x(t) \leq & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\prod_{j=1}^k e_j(v_j) B_0^\delta \mathcal{S}_x(t, 0) \varphi(0) - g(0, \varphi) \right] I_{[\varsigma_k, \varsigma_{k+1}]}(t) \\ & + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\prod_{j=1}^k e_j(v_j) B^{-\varsigma} B^\varsigma g(t, x_t) \right] B_0^\delta \\ & I_{[\varsigma_k, \varsigma_{k+1}]}(t) \\ & + \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\max_{i,k} \left\{ 1, \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(v_j) \right\} \right) B_0^\delta \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\int_{t_0}^t B^{1-\lambda}(s, x) \mathcal{S}_x(t, s) B^\lambda g(s, x_s) ds \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t) + \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\max_{i,k} \left\{ 1, \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) \right\} \right) B_0^\delta \left(\int_{t_0}^t \mathcal{S}_x(t,s) f(s, x_s) ds \right) \right]$$

$$I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t) \psi x(t) \leq +r \left[\max_k \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) \right\} \right] B^{-\lambda} [B^\lambda g(t, x_t) \ominus B^\lambda g(t, 0) + B^\lambda g(t, 0)] + Cr \left[\max_k \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) \right\} \right] (t - t_0) \int_{t_0}^t B^{1-\lambda}(s, x) B^\lambda g(s, x_s) ds + Cr \left[\max_k \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) \right\} \right] (t - t_0) \int_{t_0}^t f(s, x_s) ds$$

By lemma 2.4, (d2) and (d3), the following relation holds:

$$B^{1-\lambda}(s, x) B^\lambda g(s, x_s) = B^{1-\lambda}(s, x) B^\lambda g(s, x_s) - B^\lambda g(s, 0) + B^\lambda g(s, 0) \leq \frac{C_{1-\lambda}}{(s, x)^{2(1-\lambda)}} [D_g x + P_g]$$

Then,

$$\psi x(t) \leq MrC\varphi(0) - g(0, \varphi) + rMB^{-\lambda} [D_g x + P_g] + 2Cr \max\{1, M\} T \int_{t_0}^t \frac{C_{1-\lambda}}{(s, x)^{2(1-\lambda)}} [D_g x + P_g] ds$$

$$+ rC \max\{1, M\} T \int_{t_0}^t [D_f x + P_f] ds$$

Taking supremum over 't', we get

$$\psi x(t) \leq E_1 + E_2 x$$

Where, $E_1 = MrC\varphi(0) - g(0, \varphi)$

$$E_2 = rMB^{-\lambda} [D_g x + P_g]$$

$$+ 2Cr \max\{1, M\} T$$

$$\int_{t_0}^t \frac{C_{1-\lambda}}{(s, x)^{2(1-\lambda)}} [D_g x + P_g] ds$$

$$+ rC \max\{1, M\} T \int_{t_0}^t [D_f x + P_f] ds$$

Where $E_i = 1, 2, \dots$ are constants.

Hence ψ is bounded.

Step: 2 θ is continuous

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a convergent sequence of elements of x in B . Then for each t belongs to $[0, T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi x_n(t) - \psi x(t) \\ & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta [\mathcal{S}_{x_n}(t, 0) - \mathcal{S}_x(t, 0)] \\ & (\varphi(0) - g(0, \varphi)) \\ & + \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta \left(\int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} \mathcal{S}_{x_n}(t, s) B(s, x_n) g(s, x_s) - \mathcal{S}_x(t, s) B(s, x) g(s, x_s) ds \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_0^\delta \left(\int_{\zeta_k}^t \mathcal{S}_{x_n}(t, s) B(s, x_n) g(s, x_s) - \mathcal{S}_x(t, s) B(s, x) g(s, x_s) ds \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta \left(\int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} \mathcal{S}_{x_n}(t, s) f(s, x_s) - \mathcal{S}_x(t, s) f(s, x_s) ds \right) \right] \\ & \psi x_n(t) - \psi x(t) \\ & \leq Mr [\mathcal{S}_{x_n}(t, 0) - \mathcal{S}_x(t, 0)] \varphi(0) - g(0, \varphi) \\ & + \left[\left[\max_{i,k} \left\{ 1, \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) \right\} \right] B_0^\delta \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\int_{t_0}^t \mathcal{S}_{x_n}(t,s)B(s,x_n)g(s,x_s) - \mathcal{S}_x(t,s)B(s,x)g(s,x_s) ds \right) \\
 & + B_0^\delta \left[\max_{i,k} \left\{ 1, \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) \right\} \right] \\
 & \left(\int_{t_0}^t \mathcal{S}_{x_n}(t,s)f(s,x_s) - \mathcal{S}_x(t,s)f(s,x_s) ds \right) \Big] I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t) \\
 & \leq Mr \left[\mathcal{S}_{x_n}(t,0) - \mathcal{S}_x(t,0) \right] \varphi(0) - g(0, \varphi) \\
 & + r \max \{1, M\} \left[\int_{t_0}^t \mathcal{S}_{x_n}(t,s)B(s,x_n)g(s,x_s) - \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \mathcal{S}_x(t,s)B(s,x)g(s,x_s) ds \right] I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t) \\
 & + r \max \{1, M\} \left[\int_{t_0}^t \mathcal{S}_{x_n}(t,s)f(s,x_s) - \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \mathcal{S}_x(t,s)f(s,x_s) ds \right] I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t) \\
 & \psi x_n(t) - \psi x(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

Step: 3 ψ is equicontinuous

Let t_1, t_2 belongs to $[t_0, T]$ and if $t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < T$, then by using hypothesis (d6)- (d7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \psi x(t_2) - \psi x(t_1) = \\
 & \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta \mathcal{S}_x(t_2,0) [\varphi(0) - g(0, \varphi)] \right. \\
 & - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta g(t_2, x_{t_2}) \\
 & - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta \\
 & \quad \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2,s)B(s,x)g(s,x_s) ds \\
 & - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_0^\delta \int_{\zeta_k}^{t_2} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2,s)B(s,x)g(s,x_s) ds \\
 & + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2,s)f(s,x_s) ds + \\
 & \quad \left. \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_0^\delta \int_{\zeta_k}^{t_2} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2,s)f(s,x_s) ds \right] I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_2) \\
 & - \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta \mathcal{S}_x(t_1,0) [\varphi(0) - g(0, \varphi)] \right. \\
 & - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta g(t_1, x_{t_1}) \\
 & - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta \\
 & \quad \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} \mathcal{S}_x(t_1,s)B(s,x)g(s,x_s) ds \\
 & - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_0^\delta \int_{\zeta_k}^{t_1} \mathcal{S}_x(t_1,s)B(s,x)g(s,x_s) ds \\
 & + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} \mathcal{S}_x(t_1,s)f(s,x_s) ds + \\
 & \quad \left. \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_0^\delta \int_{\zeta_k}^{t_1} \mathcal{S}_x(t_1,s)f(s,x_s) ds \right] I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_1) \\
 & = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta [\varphi(0) - g(0, \varphi)] \right\} \\
 & \quad \left\{ \left[\mathcal{S}_x(t_2,0) - \mathcal{S}_x(t_1,0) \right] \right\} \\
 & \quad \left[I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_2) - I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right] \\
 & + \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta (g(t_2, x_{t_2}) - g(t_1, x_{t_1})) \right] \\
 & \quad \left[I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_2) - I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right] \\
 & + \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta \right. \\
 & \quad \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2,s)B(s,x)g(s,x_s) ds \\
 & + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_0^\delta \int_{\zeta_k}^{t_2} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2,s)B(s,x)g(s,x_s) ds \\
 & \quad \left. \left[I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_2) - I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right] \right. \\
 & + \left. \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} [\mathcal{S}_x(t_2,s) \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. - \mathcal{S}_x(t_1,s)] B(s,x)g(s,x_s) ds \right. \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left. \begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_0^\delta \int_{\zeta_k}^{t_1} [\mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) - \mathcal{S}_x(t_1, s)] B(s, x) g(s, x_s) ds \\
 & + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s)(s, x) g(s, x_s) ds \\
 & I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_1) \\
 & + \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) f(s, x_s) ds \right. \\
 & \left. + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_0^\delta \int_{\zeta_k}^{t_2} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) f(s, x_s) ds \right] \\
 & \left[I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_2) - I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right] \\
 & + \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} [\mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) - \mathcal{S}_x(t_1, s)] \right. \\
 & \left. \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} [\mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) - \mathcal{S}_x(t_1, s)] f(s, x_s) ds + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) f(s, x_s) ds \right] \\
 & \left. I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right]
 \end{aligned} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi x(t_2) - \psi x(t_1) & \leq I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + \\
 & I_5 + I_6 \quad (2.1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Where,

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 & = \left\{ \begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta [\varphi(0) - g(0, \varphi)] \\
 & [\mathcal{S}_x(t_2, 0) - \mathcal{S}_x(t_1, 0)]
 \end{aligned} \right\} \\
 I_2 & = \left[I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_2) - I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right] \\
 I_3 & = \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta (g(t_2, x_{t_2}) - g(t_1, x_{t_1})) \right] \\
 I_4 & = \left[I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_2) - I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right] \\
 I_5 & = \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) B(s, x) g(s, x_s) ds \right. \\
 & \left. + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_0^\delta \int_{\zeta_k}^{t_2} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) B(s, x) g(s, x_s) ds \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_2) - I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right] \\
 I_4 & = \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} [\mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) \right. \\
 & \left. - \mathcal{S}_x(t_1, s)] B(s, x) g(s, x_s) ds \right. \\
 & \left. + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_0^\delta \int_{\zeta_k}^{t_1} [\mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) - \mathcal{S}_x(t_1, s)] B(s, x) g(s, x_s) ds \right. \\
 & \left. + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s)(s, x) g(s, x_s) ds \right. \\
 & \left. I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right] \\
 I_5 & = \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) f(s, x_s) ds \right. \\
 & \left. + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_0^\delta \int_{\zeta_k}^{t_2} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) f(s, x_s) ds \right] \\
 & \left[I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_2) - I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_6 & = \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} [\mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) \right. \\
 & \left. - \mathcal{S}_x(t_1, s)] f(s, x_s) ds \right. \\
 & \left. + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_0^\delta \int_{\zeta_k}^{t_1} [\mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) - \mathcal{S}_x(t_1, s)] \right. \\
 & \left. f(s, x_s) ds + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) f(s, x_s) ds \right] \\
 & \left[I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Consider,

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 & \leq \\
 & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta \varphi(0) - g(0, \varphi) \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, 0) - \mathcal{S}_x(t_1, 0) \\
 & \left[I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_2) - I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right] \\
 & \leq \max \left\{ 1, \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) \right\} B_0^\delta \varphi(0) - g(0, \varphi) \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, 0) - \mathcal{S}_x(t_1, 0)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_2) - I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right] \\
 & \leq \max \{1, M\} rK \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, 0) - \mathcal{S}_x(t_1, 0) \\
 & \left[I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_2) - I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right] \\
 & \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t_2 \rightarrow t_1 \quad (2.2) \\
 I_2 & \leq \\
 & \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta \left(g(t_2, x_{t_2}) - g(t_1, x_{t_1}) \right) \right] \\
 & \left[I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_2) - I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right] \\
 & \leq \max \left\{ 1, \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) \right\} B_0^\delta \left(g(t_2, x_{t_2}) - g(t_1, x_{t_1}) \right) \\
 & \left[I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_2) - I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right] \\
 & \leq \max \{1, M\} r \left(g(t_2, x_{t_2}) - g(t_1, x_{t_1}) \right) \\
 & \left[I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_2) - I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right] \\
 & \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t_2 \rightarrow t_1 \quad (2.3) \\
 I_3 & \leq \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta \right. \\
 & \left. \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) B(s, x) g(s, x_s) ds \right. \\
 & \left. + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_0^\delta \int_{\zeta_k}^{t_2} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) B(s, x) g(s, x_s) ds \right] \\
 & \left[I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_2) - I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right] \\
 & \leq \max \left\{ 1, \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) \right\} TB_0^\delta \\
 & \int_0^{t_2} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) B(s, x) g(s, x_s) ds \left[I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_2) - I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right] \\
 & \leq \max \{1, M\} rTC \int_0^{t_2} B^{1-\hat{u}}(s, x) B^{\hat{u}} g(s, x_s) ds
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_2) - I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right] \leq \max \{1, M\} rTC \\
 & \int_0^{t_2} \frac{C_{1-\hat{u}}}{(s, x)^{2(1-\hat{u})}} [D_g x + P_g] ds \left[I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_2) - I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right] \\
 & \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t_2 \rightarrow t_1 \quad (2.4) \\
 I_4 & \leq \\
 & \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) - \mathcal{S}_x(t_1, s) \right. \\
 & \left. B(s, x) g(s, x_s) ds \right. \\
 & \left. + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_0^\delta \int_{\zeta_k}^{t_1} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) - \mathcal{S}_x(t_1, s) B(s, x) g(s, x_s) ds \right. \\
 & \left. + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) B(s, x) g(s, x_s) ds \right] I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}]}(t_1) \\
 & \leq \max \{1, M\} rT \int_0^{t_2} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) - \mathcal{S}_x(t_1, s) B^{1-\hat{u}} \\
 & (s, x) B^{\hat{u}} g(s, x_s) ds \\
 & + r(t_2 - t_1) \\
 & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) B^{1-\hat{u}}(s, x) B^{\hat{u}} g(s, x_s) ds \\
 & \leq \max \{1, M\} rT \int_0^{t_2} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) - \mathcal{S}_x(t_1, s) \frac{C_{1-\hat{u}}}{(s, x)^{2(1-\hat{u})}} [D_g x + P_g] ds \\
 & + r(t_2 - t_1) \\
 & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) \frac{C_{1-\hat{u}}}{(s, x)^{2(1-\hat{u})}} [D_g x + P_g] ds \\
 & \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t_2 \rightarrow t_1 \quad (2.5) \\
 I_5 & \leq \\
 & \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\varsigma_{i-1}}^{\varsigma_j} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) f(s, x_s) ds \\
 & + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_0^\delta \int_{\varsigma_k}^{t_2} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) f(s, x_s) ds \Bigg] \\
 & \left[I_{[\varsigma_k, \varsigma_{k+1}]}(t_2) - I_{[\varsigma_k, \varsigma_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right] \\
 & \leq \max \left\{ 1, \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) \right\} B_0^\delta \\
 & \int_0^{t_2} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) f(s, x_s) ds \\
 & \left[I_{[\varsigma_k, \varsigma_{k+1}]}(t_2) - I_{[\varsigma_k, \varsigma_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right] \\
 & \leq \max \{1, M\} rT \int_0^{t_2} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) f(s, x_s) ds \\
 & \left[I_{[\varsigma_k, \varsigma_{k+1}]}(t_2) - I_{[\varsigma_k, \varsigma_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right] \\
 & \leq \max \{1, M\} rTE_0^2 \int_0^{t_2} \frac{n_f(s) y_f x_s}{(t, s)^{2\delta}} ds \\
 & \left[I_{[\varsigma_k, \varsigma_{k+1}]}(t_2) - I_{[\varsigma_k, \varsigma_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right] \\
 & \leq \max \{1, M\} rTE_0^2 \int_0^{t_2} \frac{n_f(s)}{(t, s)^{2\delta}} ds \\
 & \left[I_{[\varsigma_k, \varsigma_{k+1}]}(t_2) - I_{[\varsigma_k, \varsigma_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right] \\
 & \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t_2 \rightarrow t_1 \quad (2.6) \\
 & I_6 \leq \left[\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta \\ & \int_{\varsigma_{i-1}}^{\varsigma_j} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) - \mathcal{S}_x(t_1, s) f(s, x_s) ds \\ & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_0^\delta \int_{\varsigma_k}^{t_1} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) - \mathcal{S}_x(t_1, s) f(s, x_s) ds \\ & + B_0^\delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) f(s, x_s) ds \end{aligned} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[I_{[\varsigma_k, \varsigma_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right] \\
 & \leq \max \{1, M\} rT \int_0^{t_1} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) - \mathcal{S}_x(t_1, s) f(s, x_s) ds \\
 & + r(t_2 - t_1) \\
 & \int_{t_2}^{t_1} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) f(s, x_s) ds \\
 & \leq \max \{1, M\} rT \int_0^{t_1} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) - \mathcal{S}_x(t_1, s) n_f(s) y_f(s) f(s, x_s) ds \\
 & + r(t_2 - t_1) \\
 & \int_{t_2}^{t_1} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) f(s, x_s) n_f(s) y_f(s) ds \\
 & \leq \max \{1, M\} rTE \int_0^{t_1} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) - \mathcal{S}_x(t_1, s) n_f(s) ds \\
 & + r(t_2 - t_1) E \int_{t_2}^{t_1} \mathcal{S}_x(t_2, s) f(s, x_s) n_f(s) ds \\
 & \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t_2 \rightarrow t_1 \quad (2.7)
 \end{aligned}$$

From the Equations (2.2)-(2.7), it follows that the R.H.S of (2.1) approaches to 0 as t_2 gives t_1

Hence ψ is equicontinuous.

Step: 4 ψ is uniqueness

Let T be an arbitrary number $t_0 < T < +\infty$. Define $\psi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ as follows

Now we have to show that ψ is a contraction mapping.

$$\psi x(t) - \psi y(t)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \leq \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta \int_{\varsigma_{i-1}}^{\varsigma_j} \mathcal{S}_x(t, s) B(s, x) [g(s, x_s) - g(s, y_s)] ds \right. \\
 & + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_0^\delta \int_{\varsigma_k}^t \mathcal{S}_x(t, s) B(s, x) [g(s, x_s) - g(s, y_s)] ds \\
 & + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(\nu_j) B_0^\delta \int_{\varsigma_{i-1}}^{\varsigma_j} \mathcal{S}_x(t, s) [f(s, x_s) - f(s, y_s)] ds \\
 & \left. + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_0^\delta \int_{\varsigma_k}^t \mathcal{S}_x(t, s) [f(s, x_s) - f(s, y_s)] ds \right] I_{[\varsigma_k, \varsigma_{k+1}]}(t)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left[\max \left\{ 1, \prod_{j=1}^k e_j(v_j) \right\} B_0^\delta \right. \\ &\int_{t_0}^t \mathcal{S}_x(t,s) B(s,x) [g(s,x_s) - g(s,y_s)] ds \\ &I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_k+1]}(t) \\ &\leq \max \{1, M\} rTC \frac{C}{t^2} \int_{t_0}^t \mathfrak{Z}_{g,\mathfrak{z}} x_s - y_s ds \\ &+ \max \{1, M\} rTC \int_{t_0}^t \mathfrak{Z}_{f,\mathfrak{z}} x_s - y_s ds \\ &\leq \left[\max \{1, M\} rT \frac{C^2}{t^2} \mathfrak{Z}_{g,\mathfrak{z}} \right. \\ &\left. + \max \{1, M\} rTC \mathfrak{Z}_{f,\mathfrak{z}} \right] x - y \\ &\leq \Xi x - y \\ &\text{With } \Xi = \max \{1, M\} rT \frac{C^2}{t^2} \mathfrak{Z}_{g,\mathfrak{z}} + \max \{1, M\} rTC \mathfrak{Z}_{f,\mathfrak{z}} \end{aligned}$$

Then we can suitable $0 < T_1 < T$ sufficient small such that $\Xi < 1$, and hence ψ is a contraction on $B \rightarrow B$.

Lastly, by the Schauder's fixed point technique, ψ has a fixed point and which is a mild solution of the problem (1).

3.1 Example

Consider the following nonlinear parabolic random impulsive differential equation

In a barrel $\mathcal{Q}_T = \rho \times (0, T)$ with coefficients in $\overline{\mathcal{Q}_T}$, where ρ is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $\partial\rho$ the boundary of ρ , ν is the outward normal. Here the parabolicity means that for any vector $z \neq 0$ and for arbitrary values of $y, t, x, Dx, \dots, D^{2n-1}x$,

$$(-1)^n \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha|=2n} a_\alpha(y, t, Dx, \dots, D^{2n-1}x) z^\alpha \right\} \geq T |z|^{2m}, > 0$$

Assume that v_k is the random variable defined on $D_k \equiv (0, d_k)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, where $0 < d_k < +\infty$. Moreover assume that v_i & v_j are not dependent of each other as $i \neq j$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots; \zeta_0 = t_0, \zeta_k = \zeta_{k-1} + \nu_k$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and $\max_{i,k} \prod_{j=1}^k q_k(v_j) < \infty$. Here $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_x$

is an arbitrarily given real number.

$$\text{If } x_0(y) \in T^{2n-1}(\bar{\rho}), \text{ then } B_0 x = \sum_{|\alpha|=2n} a_\alpha(y, t, Dx, \dots, D^{2n-1}x) D^\alpha x$$

is a strongly elliptic operator with continuous coefficients. So (G1) holds. Let us take \mathcal{W} to be $\mathcal{L}^p(\rho) < p < \infty$. Then B_0^{-1} maps bounded subsets of $\mathcal{L}^p(\rho)$ in to bounded subsets of $\mathcal{W}^{2n,p}(\rho)$, so it is a completely continuous

operator in $\mathcal{L}^p(\rho)$. Further, if $\frac{2n-1}{2n} < \alpha < 1$, then

$|D^\beta B_0 - \alpha x|_{0,p}^\rho \leq T |x|_{0,p}^\rho, 0 \leq |\beta| \leq 2n-1$, where T depends simplest on a sure at the coefficients B_0 on a module of robust ellipticity and on a modulus of continuity of the main coefficients. Hence the norm is described as,

$$|x|_{0,p}^\rho = \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha| \leq j, \rho} \int |D^\alpha x(y)|^p dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

For any positive integer j and a real number $p, 1 \leq p < \infty$. After that if f and a_α are continuously differentiable in all variables, then (G2)-(G3) hold with $\mathbf{P} = \nu = 1$. Hence there exist fundamental operator solution $\mathcal{S}_y(t, s)$ for Equation (3). The nonlinear function f fulfilled the (G3)-(G5). Hence by the theorem 3.1 there exists a local solution of (3).

4. References

- Balachandran K, Park DG. Existence of solutions of quasi linear integro differential equations in Banach spaces. Bull Korean Math Soc. 2009; 46(4):691-700. <https://doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.2009.46.4.691>
- Kato T. Quasilinear equations of evolution with applications to partial differential equations. Lecture Notes in Math. 1975; 448:25-70. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0067080>
- Vinodkumar A, Gowrisankar M, Mohamkumar P. Existence, uniqueness and stability of random impulsive neutral partial differential equations. Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society. 2015; 23:31-6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joems.2014.01.005>
- Anguraj A, Vinodkumar A, Malar K. Existence and stability results for random impulsive fractional pantograph equations. Fac Sci Math. 2016; 3839-54. <https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1614839A>
- Ranjini MC, Anguraj A. Existence of mild solutions of random impulsive functional differential equations with almost sectorial operators. Nonlinear Sci Appl. 2012; 5:174-85. <https://doi.org/10.22436/jnsa.005.03.02>

6. Lakshmikanthan V, Bainov DD, P.S.Simeonov PS. Theory of impulsive differential equations. Singapore: World Scientific; 1989. <https://doi.org/10.1142/0906>
7. Samoilenko AM, Perestyuk NA. Impulsive differential equations. Singapore: World Scientific; 1995. <https://doi.org/10.1142/2892>
8. AAl-Omair R, Ibrahim AG. Existence of mild solutions of a semilinear evolution differential inclusions with nonlocal conditions. *Electron J Differ Eqn.* 2009; 42:1–11.
9. Agarwal RP, Benchohra M, Slimani BA. Existence results for differential equations with fractional order and impulses. *Mem Differ Equ Math Phys.* 2008; 44:1–21.
10. Ddas EA, Benchohra M, Hamani S, Impulsive fractional differential inclusions involving the caputo fractional derivative *Fract. Calc Appl Anal.* 2009; 12:15–36.
11. Fan Z. Impulsive problems for semilinear differential equations with nonlocal conditions. *Nonlinear Anal: TMA.* 2010; 72:1104–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2009.07.049>
12. Henderson J, Ouahab A. Impulsive differential inclusions with fractional order. *Comput Math Appl.* 2010; 59:1191–226. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2009.05.011>
13. Cardinali T, Rubbioni P. Impulsive mild solution for semilinear differential inclusions with nonlocal conditions in Banach spaces. *Nonlinear Anal:TMA.* 2012; 75:871–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2011.09.023>
14. Malar K. Existence and uniqueness results for random impulsive integro-differential equation. *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics.* ISSN 0973-1768. 2018; 14(6):809–17.
15. Pazy A. Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations. New York: Springer-Verlag; 1983. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-5561-1>